

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

FILED \_\_\_\_\_ ENTERED \_\_\_\_\_  
LODGED \_\_\_\_\_ RECEIVED \_\_\_\_\_

FEB 12 2007

AT GREENBELT  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY and  
THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY  
POLICE DEPARTMENT

Defendants

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

BY

Case Number: 04cv185 RWT

**ORDER FOR TERMINATION OF CONSENT DECREE**

Upon consideration of the parties' Joint Motion to Terminate Consent Decree [Paper No. 15], and the arguments of counsel presented at the hearing conducted before the undersigned on February 12, 2006, the Court hereby finds

1. The Defendants have satisfied all of the prospective relief required by the Consent Decree to correct the violations of federal rights by Prince George's County and the Prince George's Police Department set forth in the Complaint; and

2. Based on the Court's assessment of the Defendant's progress, termination of the Consent Decree on March 11, 2007 is appropriate under Fed.R.Civ.Pro. 60(b)(5) because the Defendants have demonstrated "(1) that for a reasonable period of time (2) they have complied in good faith with the [C]onsent [D]ecree (3) to the point that the 'vestiges' of past unlawful behavior have been eliminated 'to the extent practicable,' and thus the purpose of the [D]ecree has been satisfied." *Alexander v. Britt*, 89 F.3d 194, 200 (4th Cir. 1996), quoting *Board of Education of Oklahoma City Public Schools v. Dowell*, 498 U.S. 237, 249-50 (1991).

ghm  
2-12-07

Accordingly, this 12th day of February, 2007, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

**ORDERED**, that the Consent Decree entered on March 11, 2004 is **TERMINATED** effective March 11, 2007.



---

ROGER W. TITUS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE