

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

---

RAYMING CHANG, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. ) Civ. Action No. 02-2010 (EGS)  
 )  
 )  
 UNITED STATES, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 )  
 )

---

JEFFREY BARHAM, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. ) Civ. Action No. 02-2283 (EGS)  
 )  
 )  
 CHARLES H. RAMSEY, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 )  
 )

---

FRANKLIN JONES, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. ) Civ. Action No. 02-2310 (EGS)  
 )  
 )  
 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, *et al.*, )  
 )  
 )  
 Defendants. )  
 )  
 )

---

_____	)	
JULIE ABBATE, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civ. Action No. 03-767 (EGS)
	)	
CHARLES H. RAMSEY, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	
	)	
JOEL DIAMOND, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civ. Action No. 03-2005 (EGS)
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**ORDER**

Pursuant to the status conference held on November 25, 2003, and for the reasons given in open court, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that all pending motions filed prior to the September 24, 2003, Order on consolidation and class certification are **DENIED**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that all pending dispositive motions are **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that all pending motions for discovery, motions for consolidation for purposes of discovery and trial, the *Chang* plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a sur-reply, and motions for extension of time are **DENIED AS MOOT**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that all Defendants shall file responsive pleadings by no later than **December 9, 2003**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that discovery shall commence on **December 9, 2003**, and conclude by no later than **June 30, 2004**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that discovery shall not be duplicative, and that each party shall provide prior notice to all other parties as to any planned discovery; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that discovery conducted in any one of these cases may be used by the parties in all or any of these cases; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that these cases are referred to Magistrate Judge Kay for the purpose of resolving discovery disputes; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the parties submit a proposed order concerning a stay of discovery as to the defendants invoking qualified immunity defenses by no later than **December 5, 2003**;

and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that, upon representations of counsel that a settlement has been reached, *Jones v. District of Columbia, et al.*, 02-2310, is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and removed from the active calendar of the Court; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the previously scheduled **February 11, 2004**, motions hearing will address only the pending motions pertaining to qualified immunity; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that a status hearing is scheduled in all cases for **April 6, 2004, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom One; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court provide the Honorable Alan Kay with a copy of this order.

Signed: **EMMET G. SULLIVAN**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**  
**November 25, 2003**