

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> LODGED
<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED	<input type="checkbox"/> COPY
MAR 07 2016	
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
BY _____	DEPUTY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Town of Colorado City, et al.,

Defendants.

CV-12-08123-PCT-HRH

VERDICT

(REDACTED)

VERDICT FORM 1

*(Use only if you find that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office
did engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C.
§ 14141 that violated the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.)*

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

#7
Foreperson

3/7/10
Date

VERDICT FORM 2

(Use only if you find that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 3

(Use only if you find that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution in the following respects (check only those that apply):

- Unreasonable search of property
- Unreasonable seizure of property
- Unreasonable seizure of a person
- Arrest made without probable cause
- Unreasonable investigatory stop
- Use of excessive force in making a lawful arrest or other seizure or detention

#7
Foreperson

_____ 3/7/16
Date

VERDICT FORM 4

(Use only if you find that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 6

(Use only if you find that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Colorado City and Hildale City Marshal's Office did not engage in a pattern or practice of unconstitutional policing under 42 U.S.C. § 14141 that violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 7

(Use only if you find for the United States and against Colorado City regarding the federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Town of Colorado City, Arizona, engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act by (check only those that apply):

 X making housing unavailable or denying housing opportunities to individuals because of religion.

 X discriminating against non-FLDS individuals in the terms, conditions, or privileges of the sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection therewith, because of religion.

 X coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with an individual in the exercise or enjoyment of the right to equal housing opportunities or the right to equal treatment in the terms, conditions, privileges, and services in connection with housing, or on account of that individual having aided or encouraged any other person in the exercise or enjoyment of those rights.

Foreperson #7

Date 3/7/16

VERDICT FORM 8

(Use only if you find against the United States and for Colorado City regarding the federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that the Town of Colorado City, Arizona, did not engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 9

(Use only if you find for the United States and against Hildale City regarding the federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that Hildale City, Utah, engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act by (check only those that apply):

 X making housing unavailable or denying housing opportunities to individuals because of religion.

 X discriminating against non-FLDS individuals in the terms, conditions, or privileges of the sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection therewith, because of religion.

 X coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with an individual in the exercise or enjoyment of the right to equal housing opportunities or the right to equal treatment in the terms, conditions, privileges, and services in connection with housing, or on account of that individual having aided or encouraged any other person in the exercise or enjoyment of those rights.

 #7
Foreperson

 3/7/16
Date

VERDICT FORM 10

(Use only if you find against the United States and for Hildale City regarding the federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that Hildale City, Utah, did not engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 11

(Use only if you find for the United States and against Twin City Water Authority regarding the federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance of the evidence that Twin City Water Authority engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act by (check only those that apply):

 X making housing unavailable or denying housing opportunities to individuals because of religion.

 X discriminating against non-FLDS individuals in the terms, conditions, or privileges of the sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection therewith, because of religion.

 X coercing, intimidating, threatening, or interfering with an individual in the exercise or enjoyment of the right to equal housing opportunities or the right to equal treatment in the terms, conditions, privileges, and services in connection with housing, or on account of that individual having aided or encouraged any other person in the exercise or enjoyment of those rights.

 #7
Foreperson

 3/7/16
Date

VERDICT FORM 12

(Use only if you find against the United States and for Twin City Water Authority regarding the Federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, find by a preponderance the evidence that Twin City Water Authority did not engage in a pattern or practice conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act.

Foreperson

Date

VERDICT FORM 13

(Use only if you find for the United States and against Colorado City, Hildale City, and/or Twin City Water Authority regarding the Federal Fair Housing Act.)

We, the Jury, duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, award the following damages for emotional distress, humiliation, and embarrassment to the following aggrieved persons due to Colorado City's, Hildale City's, and/or Twin City Water Authority's pattern or practice of conduct that violated the federal Fair Housing Act.

Patrick Barlow \$ 100,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to Patrick Barlow (check only those that apply):

- Colorado City
- Twin City Water Authority

John Cook \$ 1,000,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to John Cook (check only those that apply):

- Hildale City
- Twin City Water Authority

Richard Holm \$ 100,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to Richard Holm (check only those that apply):

 X Colorado City and Hildale City

Christopher Jessop \$ 1,000,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to Christopher Jessop (check only those that apply):

 X Colorado City and Hildale City

Elizabeth Wayman \$ 10,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to Elizabeth Wayman (check only those that apply):

 X Colorado City and Hildale City

Jerrold Williams \$ 5,000

The following defendants are responsible for these total damages to Jerrod Williams (check only those that apply):

 X Colorado City and Hildale City

 #7
Foreperson

 3/7/16
Date