

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
 3-72-CV-73 (JMR/RLE)

Ronald W. Harvey et al.)
)
 v.) ORDER
)
 Kenneth Schoen et al.)

Plaintiffs object to the Report and Recommendation, issued January 28, 1999, by the Honorable Raymond L. Erickson, United States Magistrate Judge. Plaintiffs' objections to the Report were timely filed, pursuant to Local Rule 72.1(c)(2). Objections were filed by the Minnesota State Public Defender's office on behalf of the plaintiff class. Additionally, objections were timely filed by multiple pro se plaintiffs: Beck f/k/a David Wayne Vanderbeck, Gale Rachuy, Carey Riley, Preston Ridsdale, Jose Antono Rivera, and Clark Kruger.

Based upon a de novo review of the record herein, the Court adopts the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation in full. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Defendant's Motion to Terminate the Consent Decree [Docket No. 175] is granted.
2. Rivera's Motion in Support of Memoranda of Civil Action and Determination and Protection of Class and Order of Conduct [Docket No. 149] is denied as moot.
3. Rivera's Motion for Clarification and Proper Certificate for Ailing Action [Docket No. 153] is denied as moot.
4. Hendrickson's Motion for Contempt of Court Orders and for Order Prohibiting Further Discipline Prosecution [Docket No. 154] is denied as moot.

FILED 4-7-99
 FRANCIS E. DOSAL, CLERK
 JUDGMENT ENTD _____
 DEPUTY CLERK _____

5. Bruyette's Motion for Contempt of Court Charges Upon Defendants Successors in Office [Docket No. 156] is denied as moot.

6. Kruger's Motion for Contempt of Court and Order for Compensatory Damages Against the Defendants, their Agents, and the Successors in Office [Docket No. 159] is denied as moot.

7. Kruger's Motion for Appointment of Counsel [Docket No. 166] is denied as moot.

8. Kruger's Motion for Contempt of Court [Docket No. 166] is denied as moot.

9. Rachuy's Motion for Contempt of Court [Docket No. 167] is denied as moot.

10. Rivera's Motion to Deny Defendants Motion [Docket No. 171] is denied as moot.

11. Rachuy's Motion to Enjoin Consent Decree [Docket No. 181] is denied as moot.


12. Rachuy's Motion to Enjoin Consent Decree [Docket No. 183] is denied as moot.

13. Ridsdale's Motion to Find Defendants' Successors in Contempt of the Court's Order and Consent Decree [Docket No. 186] is denied as moot.

14. Riley's Motion for Contempt of Court [Docket No. 187] is denied as moot.

15. Ridsdale's Motion to Find the Defendants in Contempt of Court [Docket No. 188] is denied as moot.

Dated: April 7th, 1999



JAMES M. ROSENBAUM
United States District Judge