

MP

Mayweathers v. Newland



PC-CA-016-002

**FILED**

JUL 31 2000

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KARLUK M. MAYWEATHERS, et al.,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-96-1582 LKK JFM P

vs.

CALVIN TERHUNE, et al.,

Defendants.

AMENDED ORDER

On June 30, 2000, defendants filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed June 13, 2000, which denied defendants' April 12, 2000 motion for enlargement of time to file an answer and defendants' April 27, 2000 motion to stay. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed June 13, 2000, is affirmed.

DATED: July 28, 2000.

*Lawrence K. Terlton*  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

248