

552 Fed.Appx. 747 (Mem)

This case was not selected for publication in the Federal Reporter.

Not for Publication in West's Federal Reporter See Fed. Rule of Appellate Procedure 32.1 generally governing citation of judicial decisions issued on or after Jan. 1, 2007. See also Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3. (Find CTA9 Rule 36-3)

United States Court of Appeals,
Ninth Circuit.

PRISON LEGAL NEWS, a project of the Human Rights Defense Center, Plaintiff–Appellant,
v.

Paul R. BABEU, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Pinal County, Arizona; Pinal County, Arizona; Tonya Delgado, Sgt., in her individual and official capacities; Alyssa Romero; Laurenda Hensley–Salisbury; Cheryl McBirnie; John Johnston; Lauren McVicker, Detention Aides, in their individual capacities; Loretta Valdez; Dalton Gay; Erica Chavez; Dena Kelly, in their individual capacities; Amado Martinez, Sgt., in his individual and official capacities; Leonard Arnold, Sgt., in his individual and official capacities; David Linderholm, Training Specialist, in his individual and official capacities; Frances Hawkins, Lt., in her individual and official capacities; Dennis Rushing; Matthew Hull, Lt., in his individual and official capacities; Darren Rushing, Lt., in his individual and official capacities; Vernita Gant, Lt., in her individual and official capacities; Michele McNeely, Lt., in her individual and official capacities; Gilbert Hoyos, Lt., in his individual and official capacities; Terry Johnson, Captain, in his individual and official capacities; Jayme Valenzuela, Captain, in his individual and official capacities; Ruben Montano, Captain, in his individual and official capacities; James Kimble, Deputy Chief, in his individual and official capacities, Defendants–Appellees.

No. 13–15965. | Argued and Submitted Jan. 13, 2014. | Filed Jan. 22, 2014.

Ernest Galvan, Blake Thompson, Rosen Bien Galvan & Grunfeld, LLP, San Francisco, CA, Dan Pochoda, ACLU of Arizona, Phoenix, AZ, Lance Weber, West Brattleboro, VT, for Plaintiff–Appellant.

Eileen Dennis Gilbride, Esquire, Michele Molinario, Georgia Ann Staton, Esquire, Jones, Skelton & Hochuli, P.L.C., Phoenix, AZ, for Defendants–Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, G. Murray Snow, District Judge, Presiding. D.C. No. 2:11–cv–01761–GMS.

*748 Before: ALARCÓN, TALLMAN, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

MEMORANDUM*

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Cir. R. 36–3.

Prison Legal News appeals the district court's denial of its motion for a permanent injunction against the defendants, who operate the Pinal County Jail. Jurisdiction lies under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1) and we review for abuse of discretion. *Cummings v. Connell*, 316 F.3d 886, 897 (9th Cir.2003). Finding none, we affirm.

“Perhaps the most significant single component in the judicial decision whether to exercise equity jurisdiction and grant permanent injunctive relief is the court's discretion.” Charles Alan Wright et al., 11A Fed. Pract. & Proc. § 2942 & n. 3 (2d ed.2013) (collecting cases). Here, the district court took the extraordinarily cautious step of seeking additional briefing and evidence on the motion before concluding that a permanent injunction was unnecessary. In light this attention and the extraordinary deference we must show, we cannot find an abuse of discretion here.

AFFIRMED.