

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

MICHAEL AUSTIN, et al,)	
Plaintiffs)	Case No. 95-T-637-N
)	
)	
v.)	
)	
)	
MICHAEL W. HALEY, et al. ,)	
Defendants)	

**RENEWED MOTION FOR RULING OR STATUS CONFERENCE
ON PENDING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES**

Having prevailed in this important case, plaintiffs filed a motion for attorneys' fees on September 16, 2002. Defendants submitted their response, additional briefing occurred, and the matter was submitted to this Court for decision in 2003. On September 30, 2003 – seven years ago today – the Court granted plaintiffs' amended motion for attorneys' fees, stating that the amended motion "is granted to the extent that that plaintiffs' original request is amended." Rec Doc. 534. Since that date, the Court has taken no further action on the issue despite a number of requests from the plaintiffs that the Court rule or hold a status conference to discuss the pending motion.

Plaintiffs hereby renew their request for a ruling on their motion or, in the alternative, for a status conference. The parties and the public share an interest in a just and speedy resolution of this matter. Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of September, 2010

/s/ J. Richard Cohen

J. Richard Cohen ASB-1092-N73J
Mary Bauer ASB 1181-876B
Southern Poverty Law Center
400 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36104

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day, September 30, 2010, the foregoing motion was served on all parties via electronic filing with the Court's ECM/CF system.

/s/ J. Richard Cohen

J. Richard Cohen