

## Docket (Register of Actions)

### IN RE MARRIAGE CASES

Case Number [S147999](#)

Date	Description	Notes
11/13/2006	Petition for review filed	City and County of San Francisco, Respondent by Therese Stewart, counsel
11/13/2006	Request for judicial notice received (pre-grant)	
11/13/2006	Record requested	
11/14/2006	2nd petition for review filed	counsel for appellant, Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund Andrew Pugno, counsel
11/14/2006	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice filed	counsel for aplt. (Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund), Benjamin W. Bull and Glen Lavy
11/14/2006	3rd petition for review filed	Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, respondent by Shannon Minter, counsel
11/14/2006	4th petition for review filed	Gregory Clinton, et al., respondent by Waukeen Q. Mccoy, counsel
11/14/2006	5th petition for review filed	Equality California, respondent by Shanon Minter, counsel

11/14/2006	Joinder to petition filed	Joinder in petitions of respondent City & County of San Francisco and respondents Lancy Woo, et al. (Rhymer & Frazer) filed by respondents Robin Tyler, et cl. attorney Gloria Allred
11/15/2006	Received:	Errata for Petition for Review for Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund by Andrew P. Pugno, counsel
11/22/2006	2nd record request	
11/22/2006	Received Court of Appeal record	A110449-file jacket/briefs/two boxes
12/01/2006	Filed:	Plaintiff - Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund requesting to file consolidated answer by Timothy Chandler, counsel
12/01/2006	Answer to petition for review filed	Propositions 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, plaintiffs by Timothy Chandler, counsel with permission
12/04/2006	Application filed to:	file one consolidated answer to petitions for review. Respondent, State of California, et. al. by Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
12/04/2006	Answer to petition for review filed with permission	Consolidated answer of Respondent, State of California, et al., by Deputy Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
12/04/2006	Request for depublication (petition for review pending)	California Women's Law Center & Legal Momentum Attorney Elizabeth L. Rosenblatt

12/04/2006	Answer to petition for review filed	Appellant, Campaign for California Families by counsel, Mathew D. Staver (pro hac vice).
12/04/2006	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice filed	Mathew D. Staver of Florida to appear on behalf of appellant, Campaign for California Families.
12/04/2006	Answer to petition for review filed	City and County of San Francisco, et al., respondent by Sherri Sokeland Kaiser, counsel
12/04/2006	Answer to petition for review filed	Respondents, Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et.al. by counsel, Stephen V. Bomse.
12/04/2006	Request for depublication (petition for review pending)	Respondent, Prop.22 Legal Defense and Education Fund. by counsel, Tery L. Thompson
12/06/2006	Received Court of Appeal record	
12/11/2006	Application filed to:	file one consolidated reply to answers to petition for review. Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et. al. by counsel, Shannon Minter.
12/11/2006	Application filed to:	file one consolidated reply to answers Respondent, City and County of San Francisco by counsel Therese M. Stewart
12/13/2006	Reply to answer to petition filed	one consolidated reply of respondents, Joshua Rymer, et. al. by counsel, Shannon Minter.

12/13/2006	Reply to answer to petition filed	one consolidated overlength reply of respondent, City and County of San Francisco by Therese M. Stewart, counsel
12/14/2006	Reply to answer to petition filed	Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund by counsel, Andrew P. Pugno.
12/15/2006	Request for depublication (petition for review pending)	The Equal Justice Society (non-party) by Eva Patterson
12/15/2006	Request for depublication (petition for review pending)	Bay Area Lawyers for Individual Freedom (non-party) by Daniel Powell.
12/20/2006	Petition for review granted (civil case)	The requests to appear as counsel pro hac vice, filed November 14, 2006 and December 4, 2006, are granted. The request for judicial notice, filed November 13, 2006, is granted. All six petitions for review, filed November 13 and 14, 2006, are GRANTED. Votes: George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, and Corrigan, JJ.
01/02/2007	Request for extension of time filed	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco: asking to March 19, 2007 to file the opening brief on the merits. by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
01/03/2007	Filed letter from:	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco re: order granting review by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
01/04/2007	Certification of interested entities or persons filed	Attorney Gloria Allred for respondents, Robin Tyler, et.al.

01/04/2007	Certification of interested entities or persons filed	Deputy Attorney General, Christophe E. Krueger, for appellant, State of California.
01/05/2007	Received:	FAXED letter from Alliance Defense Fund re: clarification of Court's order
01/05/2007	Received:	Request for extension of time to file the opening brief on the merits respondents, Rymer, et al. by counsel, Shannon Minter request not filed as order filed Jan. 5, 2007 has extended time to March 19, 2007, for all parties to file their opening briefs on the merits
01/05/2007	Certification of interested entities or persons filed	Attorney Andrew P. Pugno for respondents Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund.
01/05/2007	Certification of interested entities or persons filed	Attorney Shannon Minter for respondents, National Center for Lesbian Rights filed on behalf of the respondents Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., Intervener and Respondent Equality California, Del Martin and Phyllis Lyon, et al.
01/05/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of the City and County of San Francisco, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its opening brief on the merits is extended to and including March 19, 2007. In light of this request, the time is also extended to March 19, 2007, for other parties to file their opening briefs on the merits. No further extensions of time to file the opening briefs on the merits are contemplated. In response to the request of the City and County of San Francisco for clarification of this court's December 20, 2006, order granting review in these cases, the order should be interpreted as granting review in all of the cases and on all of the issues addressed by the Court of Appeal in its decision in this coordinated matter. Petitioners and intervenor in the cases of CCSF v. State, Woo v. State, Clinton v. State, and Tyler v. State were advised in their opening briefs on the merits that the time to file their opening briefs on the merits is extended to March 19, 2007.

		State may address in their opening briefs on the merits the issues related to whether the marriage statutes violate the California Constitution. Petitioners in the cases of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund v. CCSF and Campaign for California Families v. Newsom may address in their opening briefs on the merits the issue of justiciability or standing addressed by the Court of Appeal.
01/08/2007	Received:	Original copy of letter from Alliance Defense Fund on behalf of Prop. 22 Legal Defense Fund requesting clarification of court's order. by counsel, Glen Lavy.
01/08/2007	Received:	Original request of Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education fund to file their opening brief on the merits. by counsel, Glen Lavy.
01/08/2007	Certification of interested entities or persons filed	Attorney Mary E. McAlister for Appellant, Campaign for California Families.
01/08/2007	Received:	Faxed letter from Attorney Mary E. McAlister re: clarification of court's order.
01/10/2007	Received:	Original of faxed letter sent by Mary E. McAlister.
01/12/2007	Order filed	In response to the separate letters received from counsel for Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund on January 8, 2007, and from counsel for Campaign for California Families on January 10, 2007, the court clarifies that, to facilitate the orderly briefing of the issues addressed by the Court of Appeal in this matter, if either of these parties wishes to brief the issue of justiciability or standing addressed by the Court of Appeal, the party must address that issue in an opening brief on the merits. Each of these parties may file an answer brief on the merits addressing the issues related to whether the marriage statutes violate the California Constitution.
02/14/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	Respondents Robin Tyler, Diane Olson, Troy Perry & Phillip De Blicke Attorneys Gloria Allred, et al.

	merits filed	etal
02/26/2007	Change of contact information filed for:	Therese M. Stewart, Office of the City Attorney.
02/26/2007	Request for extension of time filed	City and County of San Francisco. Asking to Aoril 2, 2007, to file the opening brief on the merits. by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
02/27/2007	Received:	proof of service of amended cover on Opening/Brief Merits filed 2-14-07 Respondents Robin Tyler, etal Attorneys Gloria Allred, etal
02/27/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of the City and County of San Francisco, and for the reasons set forth in paragraph 10(a) of the supporting declaration, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its opening brief on the merits is extended to and including April 2, 2007. In light of this request, the time is also extended to April 2, 2007, for other parties to file their opening briefs on the merits. No further extensions of time to file the opening briefs on the merits will be granted.
03/07/2007	Request for extension of time filed	Appellant, State of California, et. al., to file one consolidated answer brief on the merits. Asking to June 1, 2007.
03/08/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of the State of California, the request for permission to file a single answer brief on the merits in response to all of the opening briefs is granted, and it is ordered that the time to file that single answer brief on the merits is extended ot and including June 1, 2007. In light of this request, the time is also extended to June 1, 2007, for other parties to file their answer briefs on the merits. No further extensions of time to file the answer briefs on the merits will be granted.
04/02/2007	Received:	Respondents, Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., application to file opening brief in excess word count. by Shanon Minter, counsel

04/02/2007	Motion filed (non-AA)	motion to augment Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., respondents bu Shanon Minter
04/02/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	Respondents, Gregroy Clinton, et al. by counsel, Waukeen W. McCoy.
04/02/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	Respondent, Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund by counsel pro hac vice, Glen Lavy.
04/02/2007	Filed:	Respondent, Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund's Motion to Augment Record by coussel pro hac vice, Glen Lavy.
04/02/2007	Received:	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco's opening brief on the merits in excess of the word count.
04/02/2007	Filed:	Application of Respondent, City and County of San Francisco's application to file brief in excess of the word count. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
04/02/2007	Motion filed (non-AA)	to augment the record. Respondent, City and County of San Francisco by Chief Deputy City Attorney , Therese M. Stewart.
04/03/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	Appellant, Campaign for California Families by Mary E. McAlister, counsel crc.8.25(b)
04/03/2007	Order filed	The application of respondents, Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, filed April 2, 2007, for permission to file respondents' opening brief on the merits in excess of the word limit is hereby granted.
04/03/2007	Order filed	The application of respondent, City and County of San Francisco, filed April 2, 2007, for permission to file respondent's opening brief on the merits in excess of the word limit is hereby granted.



04/03/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	City and County of San Francisco, Respondent by Therese M. Stewart, counsel filed with permission
04/03/2007	Opening brief on the merits filed	Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., respondents by Shannon Minter, counsel filed with permission
04/04/2007	Record augmentation granted	The three separate motions to augment the record, filed on April 2, 2007, by (1) the City and County of San Francisco, (2) counsel for specified same-sex couples (designated in motion as "Respondents"), and (3) Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, are granted.
05/24/2007	Request for extension of time filed	Respondent, State of California et al. Sup. Dep. Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
05/24/2007	Extension of time granted	In light of (1) the declaration appended to the "Second Application of the State of California" for an extension of time in which to serve and file a single answer brief on the merits and (2) the prior order of this court, filed March 8, 2007, addressing the issue of further extensions of time to file that answer brief, the time in which to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 14, 2007. No further extensions of time to file the answer brief on the merits will be granted.
05/25/2007	Received:	Letter from counsel Glen Lavy, counsel for Respondent Proposition 22, dated May 25, 2007, asking clarification of the court's order extending time to file answer rbrief on the merits.
05/25/2007	Order filed	The order of this court filed on May 24, 2007, granting the application of appellant, State of California, for extension of time is hereby amended to read in its entirety: "In light of (1) the declaration appended to the "Second Application of the State of California" for an extension of time in which to serve and file a single answer brief on the merits and (2) the prior order of this court, filed March 8, 2007, addressing the issue of further extensions of time to file that answer brief,

		the time in which to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to June 14, 2007. The time is also extended to June 14, 2007, for other parties to serve and file their answer briefs on the merits." No further extensions of time to file the answer brief on the merits will be granted.
06/07/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	Appellant, Campaign for California Families, to file Answer Brief on the Merits by counsel, Mary E. McAlister.
06/07/2007	Answer brief on the merits filed	Single answer brief in response to opening briefs filed with permission Appellant, Campaign for California Families by counsel, Mary E. McAlister.
06/11/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The Knights of Columbus in support of defendants. by Patrick J. Gorman, counsel
06/14/2007	Application filed to:	file the Answer Brief on the Merits in excess of the word limit. by Christopher E. Krueger, Supervising Deputy Attorney General for the State of California, et al.
06/14/2007	Answer brief on the merits filed	State of California and the Attorney General by Supervising Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger
06/14/2007	Filed:	Substitution of Counsel by Kenneth C. Mennemeier for Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger.
06/14/2007	Answer brief on the merits filed	Respondent, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, et al. by counsel, Kenneth C. Mennemeier.
06/14/2007	Filed:	Application of Respondents Joshua Rymer, et al. , to file a consolidated answer brief on the merits re: justiciability.
06/14/2007	Answer brief on the	Respondents, Joshua Rymer, Tim Frazer, Equality California, etc.

	merits filed	by counsel, Vanessa H. Eisemann. consolidated answer brief on the merits filed with permission.
06/14/2007	Filed:	application of Respondent, City and County of San Francisco to file a consolidated answer brief on the merits.
06/14/2007	Answer brief on the merits filed	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco by counsel, Danny Chou. consolidated answer brief on the merits filed with permission.
06/14/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco by counsel, Danny Chou.
06/15/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Paul Benjamin Linton of the State of Illinois on behalf of amicus curiae Knights of Columbus.
06/15/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Thomas Brejcha of the State of Illinois for amicus curiae Knights of Columbus.
06/15/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Respondent, Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund/ Alliance Defense Fund by counsel, Glen Lavy crc.8.25(b)
06/15/2007	Answer brief on the merits filed	Respondent, Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, Alliance Defense Fund by counsel, Glen Lavy with permission to file combined overlength answer crc.8.25(b)

06/19/2007	Received:	amended proof of service from Paul Benjamin Linton re: amicus curiae brief and pro hac vice applications for Knights of Columbus.
06/20/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Judicial Watch in support of the State of California and the Governor by counsel, Sterling E. Norris.
06/20/2007	Supplemental briefing ordered	<p>Reply briefs on the merits in these consolidated cases are due on or before July 5, 2007. In addition to any reply brief that a party may choose to file, the court requests each party to file, on or before July 18, 2007, a supplemental brief addressing the following questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What differences in legal rights or benefits and legal obligations or duties exist under current California law affecting those couples who are registered domestic partners as compared to those couples who are legally married spouses? Please list all of the current differences of which you are aware.</li> <li>2. What, if any, are the minimum, constitutionally-guaranteed substantive attributes or rights that are embodied within the fundamental constitutional "right to marry" that is referred to in cases such as <i>Perez v. Sharp</i> (1948) 32 Cal.2d 711, 713-714? In other words, what set of substantive rights and/or obligations, if any, does a married couple possess that, because of their constitutionally protected status under the state Constitution, may not (in the absence of a compelling interest) be eliminated or abrogated by the Legislature, or by the people through the initiative process, without amending the California Constitution?</li> <li>3. Do the terms "marriage" or "marry" themselves have constitutional significance under the California Constitution? Could the Legislature, consistent with the California Constitution, change the name of the legal relationship of "marriage" to some other name, assuming the legislation preserved all of the rights and obligations that are now associated with marriage?</li> <li>4. Should Family Code section 308.5 - which provides that "[o]nly marriage between a man and a woman is valid or recognized in California" - be interpreted to prohibit only the</li> </ol>

		<p>recognition in California of same-sex marriages that are entered into in another state or country or does the provision also apply to and prohibit same-sex marriages entered into within California? Under the Full Faith and Credit Clause and the Privileges and Immunities Clause of the federal Constitution (U.S. Const., art. IV, ?? 1, 2, cl.1), could California recognize same-sex marriages that are entered into within California but deny such recognition to same-sex marriages that are entered into in another state? Do these federal constitutional provisions affect how Family Code section 308.5 should be interpreted? The court notes that its request that the parties brief these matters does not necessarily signify that the court will address these points in its opinion. As indicated above, the supplemental briefs addressing these issues are to be served and filed simultaneously by the parties on or before July 18, 2007. The parties may serve and file simultaneous supplemental reply briefs, addressed solely to these questions, on or before August 1, 2007. Because of the nature and number of the questions to be addressed, the limitation on the permissible length of supplemental briefs set forth in California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(d)(2), do not apply to the requested supplemental briefs.</p>
06/20/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	<p>The application of Judicial Watch, Inc. for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the State of California and governor Arnold Schwarzenegger is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs within twenty days after the last date that an application to file an amicus curiae brief may be filed under rule 8.520(f)(2).</p>
06/20/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	<p>Judicial Watch, Inc. in support of the State of California and Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger. by counsel, Sterling E. Norris.</p>
06/20/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	<p>The application of the Knights of Columbus for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the State of California is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs within twenty days after the last date that an application to file an amicus curiae brief may be filed under rule 8.520(f)(2).</p>

06/20/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Paul Benjamin Linton of the State of Illinois for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae, Knights of Columbus, is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40).
06/20/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Thomas Brejcha of the State of Illinois for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae, Knights of Columbus, is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40).
06/20/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Knights of Columbus in support of the State of California by counsel, Patrick J. Gorman, Paul Benjamin Linton (pro hac vice) and Thomas Brejcha (pro hac vice).
06/21/2007	Request for extension of time filed	City and County of San Francisco. Asking to September 3, 2007 to file: the Reply Brief on the Merits. and to Sept. 3, 2007 to file the Supplemental Brief. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
06/22/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of The City and County of San Francisco and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits and supplemental brief is hereby extended to and including August 3, 2007. The due date to file the supplemental reply brief is hereby extended to and including August 17, 2007. The time is also extended for all parties to file the reply briefs and supplemental briefs and supplemental reply briefs. No further extensions of time are contemplated.
07/02/2007	Note: Mail returned and re-sent	to 246 W. Shaw Ave. for Patrick John Gorman, for Amicus Curiae, Knights of Columbus.
07/06/2007	Filed:	Errata to Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund's Answer to Petitioners' Opening Brief on the substantive issues. by counsel, Glen Lavy.

07/19/2007	Request for extension of time filed	Respondents Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et. al. asking to August 17, 2007 to file the reply brief and supplemental briefs. by counsel, Vanessa H. Eisenmann.
07/20/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits and supplemental brief is hereby extended to and including August 17, 2007. Time is extended for all parties to file the reply briefs, supplemental briefs, and supplemental reply briefs. No further extension will be granted.
07/20/2007	Note:	
07/23/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund By counsel, Glen Lavy ( amitted Pro Hac Vice ).
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger and State Registrar of Vital Statistics by counsell, Kenneth C. Mennemeier.
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/17/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco ( Supplemental request). By Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/17/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	City and County of San Francisco to file the consolidated Reply Biref. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/17/2007	Received:	City and County of San Francisco's Reply Brief in excess of the word limit by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.

08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	State of California and Attorney General Edmund G. Brown, Jr. by Senior Assistant Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
08/17/2007	Received:	Oversized Reply Brief on the Merits Gregory Clintyon, et al. by counsel, Waukeen Q. McCoy.
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Gregory Clinton, et al. by counsel, Waukeen Q. McCoy.
08/17/2007	Reply brief filed (case not yet fully briefed)	Respondents Robin Tyler, etal Attorney Gloria Allred, etal
08/17/2007	Received:	Oversized reply brief on the merits Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer et al., by Shannon Minter, counsel
08/17/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer et al.,
08/17/2007	Reply brief filed (case not yet fully briefed)	Filed with permission Joshua Rymer & Tim Frazer, et al. by counsel, Shannon Minter.
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al., by Shannon Minter, counsel
08/17/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer et al., by Shannon Minter, counsel



08/17/2007	Received:	Oversized reply brief on the merits Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund et al, by Glen Lave, counsel
08/17/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund
08/17/2007	Reply brief filed (case not yet fully briefed)	Filed with permission Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund by counsel, Glen Lavy.
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund et al., by Glen Lavy, counsel
08/17/2007	Received:	Oversized reply brief on the merits Campaign for California Families on Justiciability by Mathew D. Staver, counsel
08/17/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	Campaign for California Families on Justiciability by Mathew D. Staver, counsel
08/17/2007	Reply brief filed (case not yet fully briefed)	Campaign for California Families on Justiciability by Mathew D. Staver, counsel Filed with permission
08/17/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Campaign for California Families on Justiciability by Mathew D. Staver, counsel
08/23/2007	Reply brief filed (case not yet fully briefed)	City and County of San Francisco's consolidated reply brief filed with permission. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/23/2007	Received application	The Southern Poverty Law Center [in support of respondents] Attorneys Scott Wm. Davenport, etal

	to file Amicus Curiae Brief	
08/24/2007	Application to file over-length brief filed	Reply Brief on the merits of Gregory Clinton, et al. by counsel, Waukeen Q. McCoy.
08/27/2007	Reply brief filed (case fully briefed)	with permission Gregory Clinton, et al. by counsel, Waukeen Q. McCoy.
08/29/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of the Southern Poverty Law Center for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs within twenty days after the last date that an application to file an amicus curiae brief may be filed under rule 8.520(f)(2).
08/29/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	The Southern Poverty Law Center by counsel, Scott Wm. Davenport.
08/31/2007	Application filed to:	request filing of a consolidated reply of Prop. 22 Legal Defense And Education Fund to supplemental briefs. by counsel, Terry L. Thompson and Glen Lavy
08/31/2007	Application filed to:	request filing of a combined overlength reply of Campaign for California Families to supplemental briefs. by counsel, Mary E. McAlister.
08/31/2007	Application filed to:	request filing of a consolidated reply of City and County of San Francisco to supplemental briefs. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/31/2007	Application filed to:	request filing of a consolidated reply of respondents Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer, et al, to supplemental briefs.

		by counsel, Shannon Minter.
08/31/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Supplemental Reply Brief of Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund. with permission by counsel, Glen Lavy.
08/31/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Supplemental Reply Brief of Campaign for California Families. with permission by counsel, Mary E. McAlister.
08/31/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Supplemental Reply Brief of City and County of San Francisco. with permission. by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
08/31/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Supplemental Reply Brief of Respondents, Joshua Rymner and Tim Frazer, et al. with permission. by counsel, Shannon Minter.
08/31/2007	Supplemental brief filed	Supplemental Reply Brief of the State of California and the Attorney General. by Senior Asistant Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
09/06/2007	Received:	letter form Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et al. re: Iowa Dictrict Court 's opinion. by counsel, Vaness H. Eisemann of NCLR.
09/12/2007	Received:	letter from respondent, City and County of San Francisco re: Sixth Circuit opinion. by Chief of Appellate Litigation, Danny Chou.
09/13/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Bar Association of San Francisco in support of City and County of San Francisco by counsel, Amitai Schwartz.
09/14/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Council for Secular Humanism and The Center for Inquiry Attorney Edward Tabash

09/14/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	The application of THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF SAN FRANCISCO for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent, City and County of San Francisco, is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs within twenty days after the last date that an application to file an amicus curiae brief may be filed under rule 8.520(f)(2).
09/20/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Professors of Family Law in support of respondents, City and County fo San Francisco et al., by Michael S. Wald, counsel
09/20/2007	Filed:	Notice of Recent Decision: Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, advise the court of an opinion filed September 18, 2007, by the Court of Appeals in Maryland in the case Conaway, et al vs Dean, et al. by Glen Lavy, counsel for Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund
09/21/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	National Legal Foundation in support of appellants. by Brian Chavez-Ochoa, counsel
09/21/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty in support of appellants. by Derek L. Gaubatz and Roger Severino, Counsel
09/21/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice filed	Roger T. Severino as counsel for The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty.
09/24/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Ammerican Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, Northern California Chapter of the American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, and the California District of the American Academy of Pediatrics in support

		of respondent by Diana E. Richmond, counsel
09/24/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Jay Alan Sekulow for amicus curiae American Center for Law & Justice
09/24/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Stuart J. Roth for amicus curiae American Center for Law & Justice.
09/24/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Laura B. Hernandez for amicus curiae American Center for Law & Justice.
09/24/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Vincent P. McCarthy for amicus curiae American Center for Law & Justice.
09/24/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	American Center for Law & Justice in support of Respondent (Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund). by John D. Hardy, counsel
09/24/2007	Received:	Campaign for California Families' Notice, respondents Notice of supplemental authority by Mary E. Mcalister, counsel
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Anti-Defamation League, Los Angeles Gay and Lesbian Center, Sacramento Gay and Lesbian Center, San Diego Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Community , San Francisco LGBT Community Center, Billy Defrank Center, The Gay and Lesbian Center of Greater Long Beach, Desert Pride Center, Lighthouse Community Pride Center, The Pacific Center, and Stanislaus Pride Center in support of respondents by Clifford S. Davidson, Counsel

09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, City of San Jose, City of Long Beach, City of Oakland, City of Santa Rosa, City of Berkeley, City of Santa Monica, City of Santa Cruz, City of Palm Springs, City of West Hollywood, City of Signal Hill, City of Sebastopol, Town of Fairfax, City of Cloverdale, County of Santa Clara, County of San Mateo, County of Santa Cruz, and County of Marin in Support of respondents. by J. Stephen Lewis, Counsel
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	City of Los Angeles, etal [in support of respondent City & County of San Francisco] J. Stephen Lewis and Michael Jenkins, Legal Srvs Div of City of West Hollywood
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Douglas W. Kmiec, Helen M. Alvare, George W. Dent, JR., Stephen G. Calabresi, Steven B. Presser and Lynn D. Wardle in support of appellant. by Natalie A. Panossian, counsel
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	California State Copnference of the National Associaton for the Advancement of Colored People (Calfiornia NAACP) in support of respondent by Jon B. Eisenberg
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	African-American Pastors in California in support of respondents. by Robert A. Destro, counsel
09/25/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	John Coverdale, Scott Fitzgibbon, Martin R. Gardner, Kris W. Kobach, Earl M. Maltz, Laurence C. Nolan, and John Randall Trahan, Professors of Law in support of appellants by Jeffrey N. Daly, counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Equal Justice Society in support of respondent. by Eva Patterson, counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Pacific Justice Institute and Capitol Resource Institute Attorneys Matthew B. McReynolds & Kevin T. Squires

	to file Amicus Curiae Brief	T. Snider
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The American Psychoanalytic Association, The American Anthropological Association, and The Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights of the San Francisco Bay Area, in support of respondents by Sonya D. Winner, Counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The Unitarian Universalist Association of Congregations, General Synod of the United Church of Christ, The Union for Reform Judaism, Soka Gakkai International-USA, The Universal Fellowship of Metropolitan Community Churches, The California Council of Church, and California Faith for Equality, et al., in Support of appellants by Raoul D. Kennedy, Counsel.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Professors of International Law and The University of Toronto, Faculty of Law International Human Rights Clinic, in support of respondents by Paul S. Marchegiani, Counsel.
09/26/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Tobias Barrington Wolff for Equal Justice Society
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The American Psychological Association; California Psychological Association; American Psychiatric Association; National Association of Social Workers, California Chapter in support of appellants by Anjan Choudhury, Counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Professor Jesse H. Choper in support of Respondents. by counsel, Jon B. Streeter.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Joseph R. Grodin in support of respondents. Joseph R. Grodin, Esq., in pro se.

	Brief	
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	National Gay and Lesbian Task Force Foundation in support of respondents. by counsel, Michael S. Brophy.
09/26/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice filed	Suzanne B. Goldberg for Amicus Curiae National Gay & Lesbian Task Force Foundation.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Santa Clara County Bar Association in support of respondents. by counsel, Christine Peek.
09/26/2007	Received:	request for judicial notice :Amicus Curiae, Professors of International Law, et al., in support of respondents by counsel, Paul S. Marchegiani. with attached exhibits A-M
09/26/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Paul M. Smith and William M. Hohengarten on behalf of amicus curiae, the American Psychological Assoc.
09/26/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Nathalie F.P. Gilfoyle on behalf of amicus curiae the American Psychological Association.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	James Q. Wilson, et al., Legal and Family Scholars in support of appellants. by counsel, Joshua K. Baker.



09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Asian American Bar Associatin of the Greater Bay Area in support of respondents by counsel, Kevin M. Fong.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Legislators in support of respondents. ( Senators Alquist, et al.) by counsel, Kari Krogseng
09/26/2007	Received:	Request for judicial notice : Amicus Curiae- Legislators- one vol. by counsel, Kari Krogseng.
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Equality Federation and Gay and Lesbian Advocates & Defenders in support of respondents by James V. Weixel, Jr., counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Aguilas, Bienstar Human Services, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights, La Raza Centrol Legal, National Black Justice Coalition, National Lawyers Guild of San Francisco, Zuna Institute in support of respondents by Peter Obstler, counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	CEROM - (California Ethnic Religious Organizations for Marriage) in support of appellants. by Dean R. Broyels, counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Leland Traidman and Stewart Blandon in support of appellant by Janice R. Mazur, counsel
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Bay Area Lawyers for Individual Freedom, Children of Lesbians and Gays Everywhere, The Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund, Family Pride, Freedom to Marry, Human Rights Campagin, Human Rights Commission Foundation, Legal Aid Society, Employment Law Center, Lesbian and Gay Lawman

		Campaign Foundation, Legal Aid Society-Employment Law Center, Lesbian and Gay Lawyers Association of Los Angeles, Marriage Equality USA, The National Lesbian and Gay Law Association, Parents Family and Freinds of Lesbians and Gays, Inc., People for the American Way Foundation, Pride At Work, SacLegal, Tom Homann Law Association in support of respondents by Daniel J. Powell, counsel
09/26/2007	Received:	Supplement to Amicus Curiae Application & Brief City of Los Angeles, etal re: addition of City of Cotati J. Stephen Lewis and Michael Jenkins, Legal Srvc Div of City of West Hollywood
09/26/2007	Received:	Supplement to Amicus Curiae Application & Brief Anti-Defamation League, etal re: addition of Gay & Lesbian Community Services Center of Orange County Attorneys Clifford S. Davidson, etal
09/26/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	United Families International, Family Watch International, and Family Leader Foundation in support of appellant. by Monte N. Stewart, counsel
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund in support of Respondents. by counsel, Walter Rieman
09/27/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Roberta A. Kaplan of NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund
09/27/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Andrew J. Ehrlich of NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund.
09/27/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice (granted case)	Victor A. Bolden of NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund.

09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Out & Equal Workplace Advocates and Levi Strauss Co. in support of respondents by counsel, Jeffrey F. Webb.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Beverly Hills Bar Association, Los Angeles County Bar Assoc., San Francisco Trial Lawyers Assoc., California Women Lawyers, and Women Lawyers Assoc. of Los Angeles in support of respondents. by counsel, Irving Greines and Cynthia E. Tobisman.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Howard University School of Law Civil Rights Clinic by counsel, Barbara J. Chisholm.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Professors of Constitutional Law in support of respondents by counsel, Kathleen M. Sullivan.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	Jews Offering New Alternatives to Homosexuality ( JONAH), et al., in support of Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund. By counsel, John Stewart.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	California Women's Law Center in support of respondents. by counsel, Elizabeth L. Rosenblatt.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	M.V. Lee Badgett and Gary J. Gates in support of the City and County of San Francisco. by counsel, Clifford J. Rosky.
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	William N. Eskridge, Jr., in support of respondents. by Linda M. Burrow, counsel

	Brief	
09/27/2007	Received application to file Amicus Curiae Brief	The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, California Catholic Conference, - CRC 8.25(b) National Association of Evangelicals, and Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America, in support of respondents by Kenneth W. Starr, Counsel.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Howard University School of Law Civil Rights Clinic for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae brief on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Howard University School of Law Civil Rights Clinic in support of respondents. Answer is due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of M.V. Lee Badgett and Gary J. Gates for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	M.V. Lee Badgett and Gary J. Gates in support of the City and County of San Francisco. Answer due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of William N. Eskridge JR., for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	William N. Eskridge, Jr., in support of respondents. Answer is due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Santa Clara County Bar Association for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted.

	amicus curiae brief granted	in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Santa Clara County Bar Association in support of respondents. Answers are due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, California Catholic Conference, National Association of Evangelicals, and Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America in support of the State of California. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, California Catholic Conference, National Association of Evangelicals and Union of Orthodox Jewish Congregations of America in support of the State of California. Answers are due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Douglas W. Kmiec, Helen M. Alvare, George W. Dent, JR., Stephen G. Calabresi, Steven B. Presser, and Lynn D. Wardle, Professors of Law, for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the State of California is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Douglas W. Kmiec, Helen M. Alvare, George W. Dent, JR., Stephen G. Calabresi, Steven B. Presser, and Lynn D. Wardle, Professors of Law in support of the State of California. by Natalie A. Panossian, Counsel Answers are due on before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Beverly Hills Bar Association, Los Angeles County Bar Association, San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association, California Women Lawyers, and Women Lawyers Association of Los

		Angeles, for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Beverly Hills Bar Association, Los Angeles County Bar Association, San Francisco Trial Lawyers Association, California Women Lawyers, and Women Lawyers Association of Los Angeles in support of respondents. By Irving Greines and Cynthia E. Tobisman, counsel Answers are due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Jews Offering New alternatives to Homosexuality (Jonah), Parents and Friends of Ex-Gays & Gays (PFOX), and Evergreen International for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Jews Offering New alternatives to Homosexuality (Jonah), Parents and Friends of Ex-Gays & Gays (PFOX), and Evergreen International in support of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund. by John Stewart, counsel Answers are due on before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of California Women's Law Center, Equal Rights Advocates, and Legal Momentum for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	California Women's Law Center, Equal Rights Advocates, and Legal Momentum in support of respondents. by Elizabeth L. Rosenblatt, counsel Answers are due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Permission to file	The application of Professors of Constitutional Law, Pamela S. Karlan, Paul Brest, Alan E.

	amicus curiae brief granted	Brownstein, William Cohen, David B. Cruz, Mary L. Dudziak, Susan R. Estrich, David Faigman, Phillip B. Frickey, Ronald R. Garet, Kenneth L. Karst, Goodwin Liu, Lawrence C. Marshall, Radiha Rao, Kathleen M. Sullivan. Jonathan D. Varat and Adam Winkler for permission to file an amicus curiae brief is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Professors of Constitutional Law, Pamela S. Karlan, Paul Brest, Alan E. Brownstein, William Cohen, David B. Cruz, Mary L. Dudziak, Susan R. Estrich, David Faigman, Phillip B. Frickey, Ronald R. Garet, Kenneth L. Karst, Goodwin Liu, Lawrence C. Marshall, Radiha Rao, Kathleen M. Sullivan. Jonathan D. Varat and Adam Winkler in support of respondents by Kathleen M. Sullivan, counsel Answers are due on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	The Becket Funds for Religious Liberty. By Derek L. Gaubatz, counsel
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of PROFESSORS OF FAMILY LAW for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the City and County of San Francisco, et al., is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Professors of Family Law. by counsel, Michael S. Wald.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of NATIONAL LEGAL FOUNDATION for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.

10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	National Legal Foundation by counsel, Brian Chavez-Ochoa.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of THE BECKET FUND FOR RELIGIOUS LIBERTY for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Roger T. Severino of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of appellants is hereby granted. (See Cal. rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of AMERICAN ACADEMY OF MATRIMONIAL LAWYERS, NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF MATRIMONIAL LAWYERS and the CALIFORNIA DISTRICT OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, et al. by counsel, Diana E. Richmond.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of THE AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent, Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	The American Center for Law & Justice.



	filed	by counsel, John D. Hardy.
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Jay Alan Sekulow of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted. ( See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Stuart J. Roth of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted.(See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Laura B. Hernandez of the state of Virginia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted. ( See Cal Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Vincent P. McCarthy of the State of Connecticut for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted. See Cal. rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE, LOS ANGELES GAY and LESBIAN CENTER, SACRAMENTO GAY and LESBIAN CENTER, SAN DIEGO LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL and TRANSGENDER COMMUNITY CENTER, SAN FRANCISCO LGBT COMMUNITY CENTER, BILLY DEFRANK CENTER, THE GAY and LESBIAN CENTER of GREATER LONG BEACH, DESERT PRIDE CENTER, LIGHTHOUSE COMMUNITY PRIDE CENTER, THE PACIFIC CENTER, and STANISLAUS PRIDE CENTER for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents. is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.

10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Anti-Defamation League, et al. by counsel, Clifford S. Davidson.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, CITY OF SAN JOSE, CITY OF LONG BEACH, CITY OF OAKLAND, CITY OF SANTA ROSA, CITY OF BERKELEY, CITY OF SANTA MONICA, CITY OF SANTA CRUZ, CITY OF PALM SPRINGS, CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD, CITY OF SIGNAL HILL, CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, TOWN OF FAIRFAX, CITY OF CLOVERDALE, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, and COUNTY OF MARIN for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	City of Los Angeles, et al. by counsel, J. Stephen Lewis.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of CALIFORNIA STATE CONFERENCE OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF COLORED PEOPLE ( CALIFORNIA NAACP) for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	California State Conference of the National Assoc. for the Advancement of Colored People. ( California NAACP. by counsel, Jon B. Eisenberg.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of AFRICAN-AMERICAN PASTORS IN CALIFORNIA for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted.

	amicus curiae brief granted	amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	African-American Pastors in California. by counsel, Robert A. Destro.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of JOHN COVERDALE, SCOTT FITZGIBBON, MARTIN R. GARDNER, KRIS W. KOBACH, EARL M. MALTZ, LAURENCE C. NOLAN, and JOHN RANDALL TRAHAN, PROFESSORS OF LAW for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	John Coverdale, et al. by counsel, Jeffrey N. Daly.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of EQUAL JUSTICE SOCIETY for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Equal Justice Society. by counsel, Eva Paterson
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Tobias Barrington Wolff of the State of New York for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of PACIFIC JUSTICE INSTITUTE and CAPITOL RESOURCE INSTITUTE for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of Campaign for California Families and the Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund is hereby granted.

		<p>hereby granted.</p> <p>Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.</p>
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	<p>Pacific Justice Institute and Capitol Resource Institute.</p> <p>by counsel, Matthew B. McReynolds.</p>
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	<p>The application of the American Psychoanalytic Association, the American Anthropological association, and the Lawyers' Committe for Civil rights of the San Francisco Bay Area for permission to file an amicus curiae biref in support of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted.</p> <p>Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae birefs on or befoe October 29, 2007.</p>
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	<p>American Psychoanalytic Association, et al.</p> <p>by counsel, Sonya D. Winner.</p>
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	<p>The application of the UNITARIAN UNIVERSALIST ASSOCIATION OF CONGREGATIONS, GENERAL SYNOD OF THE UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST, THE UNION FOR REFORM JUDAISM, SOKA GAKKAI INTERNATIONAL-USA, THE UNIVERSAL FELLOWSHIP OF METROPOLITAN COMMUNITY CHURCHES, THE CALIFORNIA COUNCIL OF CHURCHES, and CALIFORNIA FAITH FOR EQUALITY, et al., for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted.</p> <p>Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.</p>
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	<p>Unitarian Universalist Association of Congregations, et al.</p> <p>by counsel, Raoul D. Kennedy.</p>
10/04/2007	Permission to file	<p>The application of PROFESSORS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, FACULTY OF LAW INTERNATIONAL</p>

	amicus curiae brief granted	TORONTO, FACULTY OF LAW INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CLINIC for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. An party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Professors of International Law, et al. by counsel, Paul S. Marchegiani.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of the AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORKERS, and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORKERS, CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	American Psychological Association, et al. by counsel, Anjan Choudhury.
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Nathalie F. P. Gilfoyle of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal.Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of William M. Hohengarten of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal.Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear	The application of Paul M. Smith of the District of Columbia for admission to appear as counsel

	as counsel pro hac vice granted	pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The applicatin of Jesse H. Choper for permission to file an amicus curiae biref in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Jesse H. Choper by counsel, Jon B. Streeter.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of JOSEPH R. GRODIN for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Joseph R. Grodin, Esq., in pro se.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of THE NATIONAL GAY & LESBIAN TASK FORCE FOUNDATION for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	National Gay & Lesbian Task Force Foundation. by counsel, Michael S. Brophy.
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Suzanne B. Goldberg of the State of New York for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of JAMES Q. WILSON, et al., LEGAL AND FAMILY SCHOLARS for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	James Q. Wilson, et al. by counsel, Joshua K. Baker.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of COUNCIL FOR SECULAR HUMANISM and the CENTER FOR INQUIRY for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Council for Secular Humanism, et al. by counsel, Edward Tabash.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of ASIAN AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION OF THE GREATER BAY AREA AND 62 ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Asian American Bar Association of the Greater Bay Area, et al. by counsel, Kevin M. Fong.
10/04/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Amicus Curiae, Professors of International Law, et al., in support of respondents, with attached exhibits A-M. by counsel, Paul S. Marchegiani.

10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of Legislators ELAINE ALQUIST, ELLEN CORBETT, CHRISTINE KEHOE, SHEILA KUEHL CAROLE MIGDEN, DARRELL STEINBERG, NOREEN EVANS, LONI HANCOCK, JARED W. HUFFMAN, DAVE JONES, JOHN LAIRD, MARK LENO, SALLY J. LIEBER, FIONA MA, ANTHONY J. PORTANTINO, and LORI SALDANA for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	LEGISLATORS, et al. by counsel, Kari Krogseng.
10/04/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Amicus Curiae- Legislators- one vol. A-K. by counsel, Kari Krogseng.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of EQUALITY FEDERATION and GAY AND LESBIAN ADVOCATES & DEFENDERS for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Equality Federation and Gay and Lesbian Advocates & Defenders. by counsel, James V. Weixel.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND, AGUILAS, BIENESTAR HUMAN SERVICES, COALITION FOR HUMANE IMMIGRANT RIGHTS, LA RAZA CENTRO LEGAL, NATIONAL BLACK JUSTICE COALITION, NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD OF SAN FRANCISCO, and ZUNA INSTITUTE for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.



		Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Mexican Americal Legal Defense and Educational Fund, et al. by counsel, Peter Obstler.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of CALIFORNIA ETHNIC RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS FOR MARRIAGE ( CEROM ) for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of appellants is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	CEROM - California Ethnic Religious Organizations for Marriage. by counsel, Dean R. Broyles.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of LELAND TRAIMAN and STEWART BLANDON for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the State of California and the Attorney General is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Leland Traiman and Stewart Blandon by counsel, Janice R. Mazur.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of BAY AREA LAWYERS FOR INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM, CHILDREN OF LESBIANS AND GAYS EVERYWHERE, THE DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION AND DEFENSE FUND, FAMILY PRIDE, FREEDOM TO MARRY, HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN, HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN FOUNDATION, LEGAL AID SOCIETY-EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER, LESBIAN AND GAY LAWYERS ASSOCIATION OF LOS ANGELES, MARRIAGE EQUALITY USA, THE NATIONAL

		ASSOCIATION OF LOS ANGELES, MARRIAGE EQUALITY, USA. THE NATIONAL LESBIAN AND GAY LAW ASSOCIATION, PARENTS, FAMILIES & FRIENDS OF LESBIANS AND GAYS, INC., PEOPLE FOR THE AMERICAN WAY FOUNDATION, PRIDE AT WORK, SACLEGAL, and TOM HOMANN LAW ASOCIATION for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Bay Area Lawyers For Individual Freedom, et al. by counsel, Daniel J. Powell.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of United Families International, Family Watch International, and Family Leader Foundation for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the State of California is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	United Familes International, et al. by counsel, Monte N. Stewart.
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of NAACP LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND INC. for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. by counsel, Walter Rieman.
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac	The application of Victor A. Bolden of the State of New York for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule9.40.)

	vice granted	
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Andrew J. Ehrlich of the State of New York for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted	The application of Roberta A. Kaplan of the State of New York for admission to appear as counsel pro hac vice on behalf of respondents is hereby granted. ( See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)
10/04/2007	Permission to file amicus curiae brief granted	The application of OUT & EQUAL WORKPLACE ADVOCATES and LEVI STRAUSS & CO. for permission to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondents is hereby granted. Any party may file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs on or before October 29, 2007.
10/04/2007	Amicus curiae brief filed	Out & Equal Workplace Advocates and Levi Strauss & Co. by counsel, Jeffrey F. Webb.
10/22/2007	Opposition filed	by Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund to Amicus Curiae, Legislators, request for judicial notice. by counsel pro hac vice, Glen Lavy.
10/24/2007	Request for extension of time filed	City and County of San Francisco is asking to Nov. 12, 2007 to file the consolidated answer to amicus curiae briefs. by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
10/25/2007	Extension of time granted	On application of the City and County of San Francisco and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a single consolidated answer to all amicus curiae briefs is extended to and including November 13, 2007. This extension of time applies to all parties.

		No further extensions of time are contemplated.
11/08/2007	Received:	Application of the City of Davis to file the untimely joinder to the Amicus Curiae brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al. by counsel, Harriet A. Steiner.
11/08/2007	Order filed	The application of the City of Davis to join in the Amicus Curiae Brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al., is hereby granted.
11/08/2007	Filed:	Joinder of the City of Davis to the Amicus Curiae brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al. by counsel, Harriet A. Steiner.
11/09/2007	Received:	Application of the City of Sacramento to file the untimely joinder to the Amicus Curiae brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al. by counsel Matthew D. Ruyak
11/13/2007	Filed:	Respondents', Joshua Rymer, et al., application to file joint consolidated answer to amicus curiae briefs in excess of the word limit. by consel, Vanessa H. Eisemann.
11/13/2007	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et al., request for judicial notice is support of response to amicus curiae briefs. by counsel, Vanessa H. Eisemann.
11/13/2007	Response to amicus curiae brief filed	Appellant, Campagin for California Families' consolidated brief in by counsel, Mary E. McAlister
11/13/2007	Response to amicus curiae brief filed	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco consolidated answer to amicus curiae brief. by counsel, Therese M. Stewart
11/13/2007	Order filed	The application of the City of Sacramento to join the Amicus Curiae Brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al., is hereby granted.

11/13/2007	Filed:	The application of the City of Sacramento to join in the Amicus Curiae Brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al., is hereby granted. by counsel, Matthew D. Ruyak, counsel
11/14/2007	Response to amicus curiae brief filed	Single consolidated response of Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et al. Filed with permission by counsel, Vanessa H. Eisemann.
11/14/2007	Received:	Original notice of errata as to exhibit one of respondents' Joshua Rymer, et al., request for judicial notice.
11/29/2007	Filed:	application of San Francisco Chamber of Commerce to file late application to join in the Amicus Curiae brief filed by Equal Workplace Advocates, et al. by counsel James A. Lazarus.
11/30/2007	Filed:	The joinder of San Francisco Chamber of Commerce to join in the Amicus Curiae brief filed by Equal Workplace Advocates, et al. by counsel James A. Lazarus.
11/30/2007	Order filed	The application of San Francisco Chamber of Commerce for permission to join filed amicus curiae brief by Equal Workplace Advocates et al., in support of The City and County of San Francisco is hereby granted.
01/10/2008	Filed:	additional authority of appellant, State of California by Deputy Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger
02/01/2008	Request for Extended Media coverage Filed	by The California Channel by John Hancock, counsel
02/06/2008	Case ordered on calendar	to be argued Tuesday, March 4, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., in San Francisco
02/06/2008	Order filed	The court will allocate three hours to oral argument in this proceeding. For purposes of oral argument, the City and County of San Francisco and the other parties challenging

		<p>the constitutionality of California's current marriage statutes will be deemed petitioners, and the State of California and the other parties supporting the constitutionality of the marriage statutes will be deemed respondents.</p> <p>Counsel for City and County of San Francisco will be allocated a total of 35 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for petitioner Rymer et al. will be allocated a total of 35 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for petitioner Tyler et al. will be allocated a total of 10 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for petitioner Clinton et al. will be allocated a total of 10 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for the State of California will be allocated 45 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for the Governor et al. will be allocated 15 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund will be allocated 15 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>Counsel for Campaign for California Families will be allocated 15 minutes for oral argument.</p> <p>A request by a party or an amicus curiae to use a portion or all of another party's time must be made pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.524.</p>
02/06/2008	Request for judicial notice granted	<p>The following requests for judicial notice are granted:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Request by the City &amp; County of San Francisco, filed on June 14, 2007.</li> <li>2. Request by the Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, filed on June 15, 2007.</li> <li>3. Request by the Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund, filed on July 23, 2007.</li> <li>4. Request by petitioners Rymer et al., filed on August 17, 2007.</li> <li>5. Request by City &amp; County of San Francisco, filed on August 17, 2007.</li> <li>6. Request by amici curiae California legislators, filed on October 4, 2007.</li> <li>7. Request by amici curiae professors of international law, filed on October 3, 2007.</li> <li>8. Request by petitioners Del Martin et al., filed on November 13, 2007.</li> </ol>
02/06/2008	Letter sent to:	counsel regarding Focus Issues to be heard during Oral Argument.
02/06/2008	Request for Extended Media coverage	The request for extended media coverage, filed by The California Channel on February 1, 2008, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.

	Granted	
02/07/2008	Received:	Application for PERMISSION for The City of Laguna Beach to file LATE its joinder in a.c. briefs of Named Cities & Counties Attorney Philip D. Kohn
02/07/2008	Received:	Application of The City of Laguna Beach TO JOIN in amicus briefs of Named Cities & Counties Attorney Philip D. Kohn
02/11/2008	Change of contact information filed for:	Glen Lavy and Benjamin W. Bull of Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund- Alliance Defense Fund.
02/13/2008	Order filed	The application of the City of Laguna Beach to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al., is hereby granted.
02/13/2008	Filed:	joinder of the City of Laguna Beach to the amicus curiae brief filed by the City of Los Angeles, et al.
02/15/2008	Request for Extended Media coverage Filed	Associated Press, by Stephanie Mullen.
02/19/2008	Filed letter from:	Glen Lavy, Attorney for Prop. 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund (Respondent) re: focus of oral argument presentation.
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Christopher E. Krueger, Sr. Asst. Attorney General for Respondents ( State of Calif, and Attorney General Brown) re: focus of oral argument presentation.
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Mathew D. Staver, of Liberty Counsel, for Campaign for California Families (Appellant) re: focus of oral argument presentation.
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Michael Maroko of Allred, Maroko & Goldberg, for Tyler, Olson. Perry and De Blicek (Respondent)

		(Respondents) re: focus of oral argument presentation.
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Therese M. Stewart, Chief Deputy Attorney General, for the City and County of San Francisco ( Respondent) re: focus of oral argument presentation.
02/20/2008	Request for Extended Media coverage Granted	The request for extended media coverage, filed by the Associated Press on February 15, 2008, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court.
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Shannon Minter, of NCLR, for Rymer, et al., (Respondents) re: focus of oral argument presentation
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Waukeen Q. McCoy, Esq. for Clinton, et al. ( Respondents) re: focus of oral argument presentation
02/20/2008	Filed letter from:	Kenneth C. Mennemeier , Esq., for Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, et al. (Appellants) re: focus of oral argument presentation
02/22/2008	Supplemental brief filed	Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et. al. by counsel, Shannon Minter.
02/22/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Respondents, Joshua Rymer, et.al. by counsel, Vanessa H. Eisenmann
02/25/2008	Received:	Respondent, City and County of San Francisco's supplemental authority with request for judicial notice in same document. Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
02/26/2008	Request for judicial notice granted	The requests for judicial notice filed by the Rymer petitioners on February 22, 2008, and by the City and County of San Francisco on February 25, 2008, are granted.



03/04/2008	Cause argued and submitted	
03/12/2008	Received:	letter from Petitioner, City and County of San Francisco re: post oral argument citations by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
03/17/2008	Received:	opposition letter of respondent, State of California, to letter received 3-12-08 from petitioner by Senior Assistant Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
03/19/2008	Note:	Letter sent to Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart, for Petitioner- letter received by the Court on March 12, 2008 is being returned unfiled.
05/14/2008	Notice of forthcoming opinion posted	
05/15/2008	Opinion filed: Judgment reversed	The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed, and the matter is remanded to that court for further action consistent with this opinion. Opinion by George, C.J. -----joined by Kennard, Werdegar, & Moreno, JJ. Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J. Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Baxter, J. -----joined by Chin, J. Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Corrigan, J.
05/22/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Proposition 22 Legal Defense And Education Fund, respondent by Timothy Donald Chandler, counsel
05/22/2008	Rehearing petition filed	Proposition 22 Legal Defense And Education Fund, respondent by Timothy Donald Chandler, counsel

05/27/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	Respondents Robin Tyler, etal ~Attorney Gloria Allred
05/28/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	Respondents, Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer (answer to Prop. 22's petition for rehearing) by counsel, Shannon Minter.
05/28/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	City and County of San Francisco ( answer to Prop. 22's petition for rehearing) by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
05/28/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	City and County of San Francisco in support of Answer to Petition for Rehearing ( Prop 22's ) by counsel, Therese M. Stewart.
05/29/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	in support of answer to petition for rehearing. respondents, Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer by counsel, Shannon Minter.
05/29/2008	Filed:	notice of errata regarding the answer to petition for rehearing filed by respondents Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer. Re: party designation.
05/29/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	State of California and the Attorney General ( to Prop 22's petition for rehearing). by Senior Assistant Attorney General, Christopher E. Krueger.
05/29/2008	Request for modification of opinion filed	Office of the Attorney General of the States of Alaska, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Michigan, Nebraska, New Hampshire, South Carolina, South Dakota and Utah. by Mark. L. Shurtleff, counsel
05/30/2008	Rehearing petition filed	and request for stay filed by Campaign for California Families, Respondent. by Mary E. McAlister, counsel
05/30/2008	Request for judicial	Campaign for California Familes, Respondent by Mary E. McAlister, counsel

	notice filed (granted case)	
05/30/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	By Gregory Clinton, petitioner, to petition filed by Proposition 22 Legal Defense And Education Fund. Waukeen Q. Mccoy, counsel
06/02/2008	Received:	letter from Attorney General of Texas and Attorney General of Pennsylvania asking to defer decision Attorney General of Texas, Greg Abbott, Attorney General of Pennsylvania, tom Corbett
06/02/2008	Filed:	Response of the City and County of San Francisco to the request of the Utah Attorney General requesting modification . by Chief Deputy City Attorney, Therese M. Stewart.
06/02/2008	Answer to rehearing petition filed	by Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer et al., to petition for rehearing filed by Campaign for California Families. by Shannon Minter, counsel
06/02/2008	Application filed	by Joshua Rymer and Tim Frazer et al., for permission to file answer or letter brief on the Attorney General of nine states. by Shannon P. Minter, counsel
06/03/2008	Filed:	letter from Attorney General Kelly A. Ayotte, of New Hampshire, asking to be withdrawn as a signatory to the Attorney General of Utah's request for modification. by Deputy Attorney General Orville B. Fitch II.
06/03/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education fund in support of petition for rehearing by counsel, Timothy D. Chandler.
06/04/2008	Filed:	joinder in request for modification of opinion from the Office of the Attorney General of Missouri. by Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Attorney General

06/04/2008	Filed:	joinder of Kern County to the modification request of the ten Attorney Generals. by Deputy County Counsel, Steven L. Sanders.
06/04/2008	Request for judicial notice filed (granted case)	Campaing for California Families's second request in support of petition for rehearing. by counsel, Mary E. McAllister.
06/04/2008	Filed:	letter from the Utah Attorney General Mark L. Shurtleff attaching a press release informing that the Attorney General from New Hampshire, Keyy A. Ayotte is withdrawing its signatory to their brief.
06/04/2008	Filed:	letter from Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia joining in the Attorney General of Utah's request for modification. Attorney General, Robert F. McDonnell.
06/04/2008	Rehearing denied	<p>The requests for judicial notice filed on May 22, 2008, by the Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund and on May 30, 2008, by the Campaign for California Families are granted in part and denied in part. The requests for judicial notice of the information regarding authentication of signatures on the "Limit on Marriage" initiative published by the Secretary of State at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/pend_sig/init_sample_1298.pdf">http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/pend_sig/init_sample_1298.pdf</a> are granted. In all other respects, the requests for judicial notice are denied.</p> <p>The request for judicial notice filed on May 28, 2008, by the City and County of San Francisco is granted.</p> <p>The petition for rehearing filed by the Proposition 22 Legal Defense and Education Fund on May 22, 2008, is denied.</p> <p>The petition for rehearing and motion for stay filed by the Campaign for California Families on May 30, 2008, is denied.</p> <p>Baxter, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ., are of the opinion rehearing should be granted.</p> <p>The request to stay the effective date of the decision of the court filed on May 15, 2008, until after the November 2008 election is denied.</p> <p>The decision filed on May 15, 2008 will become final on June 16, 2008 at 5 p.m.</p>

06/05/2008	Filed:	letter from the Attorney General of Utah asking that the court defer the effective date of its' decision.
06/17/2008	Remittitur issued (civil case)	
06/17/2008	Received:	Receipt for remittitur from First Appellate District, Division Three.

[Click here](#) to request automatic e-mail notifications about this case.