

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

| | | | |
|----------|--|------|-----------------|
| Case No. | 2:10-cv-1649-SVW-JEM | Date | January 9, 2013 |
| Title | Mary Amador, et al. v. Leroy D. Baca, et al. | | |

Present: The Honorable STEPHEN V. WILSON, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

| | | |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Paul M. Cruz | N/A | |
| Deputy Clerk | Court Reporter / Recorder | Tape No. |

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

N/A

N/A

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS ORDER Re MOTION TO COMPEL and MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

At this Court’s January 7, 2013 status conference, Plaintiffs requested that this Court grant a motion to compel production of various files and data in Defendants’ possession reflecting the identity of prisoners, and number of female prisoners, held in the Los Angeles Sheriff Department’s custody at the Sherriff’s Century Regional Detention Facility between September 23, 2006, through the present. The Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs’ Requests for Production; Defendants shall have until January 25, 2013 to turn over the requested production.

Plaintiffs also requested that the Court re-consider its decision to defer ruling on their pending motion for class certification until after consideration of summary judgment, noting that “many critical facts appear to be in dispute.” However, the pending motion for class certification was filed before the Supreme Court’s decision in Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes, 131 S.Ct. 2541 (2011), which clarified that upon consideration of a motion for class certification, district courts are “required to resolve any factual disputes necessary to determine whether there was a common pattern and practice that could affect the class as a whole.” Ellis v. Costco Wholesale Corp., 657 F.3d 970, 983 (9th Cir. 2011). Therefore, the Plaintiffs are ORDERED to re-file their motion for class certification in light of Dukes and any evidence they have or will obtain during discovery, in accordance with the following briefing schedule:

Plaintiff’s Motion for Class Certification April 15, 2013
 Defendant’s Response April 22, 2013
 Plaintiff’s Reply April 29, 2013

Initials of Preparer

PMC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

| | | | |
|----------|--|------|-----------------|
| Case No. | 2:10-cv-1649-SVW-JEM | Date | January 9, 2013 |
| Title | Mary Amador, et al. v. Leroy D. Baca, et al. | | |

Hearing on this matter is set for May 13, 2013 at 1:30 p.m.

Initials of Preparer _____ : _____
PMC