

842 F.2d 194 (1988)

J. DOE, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees,
v.
Linda REIVITZ and John Erickson, Defendants-Third-Party Plaintiffs-
Appellants,
v.
Otis BOWEN and Kay Wilmoth, Third-Party-Defendants.

[Nos. 86-2350, 86-2561.](#)

United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit.

March 22, 1988.

Before WOOD and CUDAHY, Circuit Judges, and ESCHBACH, Senior Circuit Judge.

ORDER

The court, *sua sponte*, amends the opinion in the above-entitled cause, issued September 28, 1987, 830 F.2d 1441 (7th Cir.1987), by adding the following language to footnote 11 at page 1448 of the opinion:

After we issued our opinion in this case, we received a letter from plaintiffs' counsel suggesting that some of the above-cited sections apply to the Wisconsin program since that program is not entirely *ageneral* WIN program. Neither the state nor the federal government, however, filed a petition for rehearing, and this portion of our statutory analysis remains applicable to the extent the program is a general WIN program. Further, nothing in the letter casts any doubt on the remainder of our analysis or on the result.