

Honorable Marsha J. Pechman

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

Aurelio DURAN GONZALEZ, Maria C.  
ESTRADA, Maria Luisa MARTINEZ DE  
MUNGUIA, Irma PALACIOS DE  
BANUELOS, Lucia MUNIZ DE  
ANDRADE, Karina NORIS, Adriana  
POUPARINA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY and Janet NAPOLITANO,  
Secretary of the Department of  
Homeland Security,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 06-1411-MJP

**JOINT MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND  
AMENDMENT OF THE CLASS  
DEFINITION**

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Noted for: July 11, 2014

This matter comes before the Court on the parties' request for final approval of the settlement and amendment of the class definition. Following a fairness hearing on July 11, 2014,

PROPOSED ORDER FOR MOTION FOR APPROVAL  
OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT  
OF THE CLASS DEFINITION – 1  
No. C06-1411-MJP

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
OFFICE OF IMMIGRATION LITIGATION  
450 5th Street, N.W., LSB 6100  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530  
Tel (202) 616-9752; Fax (202) 307-8801

1 IT IS ORDERED that the Settlement Agreement and Release (ECF No. 98-1) is APPROVED.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Class Definition is amended as follows:

3 “Any person who:

- 4 1. Is the beneficiary or derivative beneficiary of an immigrant visa petition or labor  
5 certification filed on or before April 30, 2001, provided that, if the immigrant  
6 visa petition or labor certification was filed after January 14, 1998:
- 7 a. the beneficiary was physically present in the United States on  
8 December 21, 2000, or
  - 9 b. if a derivative beneficiary, the derivative beneficiary or the  
10 primary beneficiary was physically present in the United States on  
11 December 21, 2000.
- 12 2. Is inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) of the  
13 Immigration and Nationality Act (“INA”), because he or she entered or  
14 attempted to reenter the United States without being admitted after April 1,  
15 1997, and without permission after having previously been removed;
- 16 3. Properly filed a Form I-485 (Application to Adjust Status) and Form I-485  
17 Supplement A (Adjustment of Status Under Section 245(i)) while residing  
18 within the jurisdiction of the Ninth Circuit on or after August 13, 2004, and on  
19 or before November 30, 2007;
- 20 4. Filed a Form I-212 (Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission Into  
21 the United States After Deportation or Removal) on or after August 13, 2004,  
22 and on or before November 30, 2007;

- 1 5. Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212 were denied by U.S.  
2 Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”) and/or the Executive Office  
3 for Immigration Review (“EOIR”) on or after August 13, 2004, or have not yet  
4 been adjudicated;
- 5 6. Is not currently subject to pending removal proceedings under INA § 240, or  
6 before the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on a petition for  
7 review of a removal order resulting from proceedings under INA § 240; and
- 8 7. Did not enter or attempt to reenter the United States without being admitted  
9 after November 30, 2007.

10 Class members are further divided into three subclasses, as follows:

- 11 1. Subclass A: Class Members (i) who have remained physically present in the  
12 United States since the filing of the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and  
13 Form I-212, and (ii) against whom removal proceedings under INA § 240 were  
14 not initiated with the filing of a Notice to Appear subsequent to the filing of the  
15 Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212 (“Subclass A  
16 Members”);
- 17 2. Subclass B: Class Members: (i) who have remained physically present in the  
18 United States since the filing of the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and  
19 Form I-212; (ii) against whom removal proceedings under INA § 240 were  
20 initiated by the filing of a Notice to Appear, subsequent to the filing of the Form  
21 I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212; (iii) who have a final,  
22 unexecuted order of removal; (iv) who have no pending direct appeals of that  
23 order, including a petition for review before the Court of Appeals for the Ninth



1 Circuit; (v) whose applications to adjust status were denied based upon final  
2 administrative determinations of inadmissibility by the Executive Office for  
3 Immigration Review under INA § 212(a)(9)(C)(i)(II) and whose final orders of  
4 removal were not entered *in absentia*; and (vi) for whom the Ninth Circuit Court  
5 of Appeals did not apply the *Montgomery Ward* test as set forth in the *Garfias-*  
6 *Rodriguez* decision, to determine whether *Matter of Torres-Garcia*, 23 I. & N.  
7 Dec. 866 (BIA 2006), was properly retroactively applied to them (“Subclass B  
8 Member”); and

- 9 3. Subclass C: Class Members (i) who have departed the United States after filing  
10 the Form I-485, Form I-485 Supplement A, and Form I-212, (ii) who remain  
11 physically outside the United States; and (iii) who have properly filed an  
12 immigrant visa application with the United States Department of State, or who  
13 will file an immigrant visa application within one year of the effective date of this  
14 agreement (“Subclass C Members”).”

15 Dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2014.



MARSHA PECHMAN  
Chief United States District Judge

18 *submitted by*