

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

CITY OF EL CENIZO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-404-OG

[Lead Case]

EL PASO COUNTY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-459-OG

[Consolidated Case]

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-489-OG

[Consolidated Case]

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS OR TRANSFER TEXAS
ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC
COUNTY JUDGES AND COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS' COMPLAINT
IN INTERVENTION (ECF NO. 142)**

On June 30, 2017, the Court granted the Texas Association of Hispanic County Judges and County Commissioners' Motion to Intervene. ECF No. 141. Texas now moves, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 7 and 12(b)(3), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404 and 1406, for this Court to dismiss the Association's Complaint in Intervention (ECF No. 142) or transfer it for consolidation with a related, first-filed matter pending in this Court's Austin Division, *Texas v. Travis County, Texas, et al.*, No. 1:17-cv-425-SS.¹

For the reasons discussed in the Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or Transfer the Consolidated Cases, ECF No. 32-1, which Defendants incorporate herein, venue is improper in the San Antonio Division and proper in the Austin Division. This is true regardless of the fact that some members of the Association may reside in this Division. *See* Complaint, ECF No. 142 ¶ 4; Memorandum of Law, ECF No. 32-1 at 4. It appears, moreover, that many members of the Association are located outside of this Division, further demonstrating why venue is improper here. Complaint, ECF No. 142 ¶ 4. Texas therefore requests that the Association's claims be dismissed, or alternatively, transferred to the Austin Division for consolidation with Case No. 1:17-cv-425-SS.²

¹ On June 20, 2017, the Court held Texas's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer the Consolidated Cases in abeyance pending a decision on subject matter jurisdiction in the Austin Division case. ECF No. 66. Texas is aware of the Court's order but files this Motion to ensure that there is a responsive pleading to the Association's operative complaint on file. Moreover, Texas asserts that this case should be dismissed or transferred regardless of the outcome of the Austin Division case.

² Courts within the Fifth Circuit have routinely accepted and addressed the merits of arguments that have been incorporated by reference to earlier-filed motions. *See, e.g., Chaverri v. Dole Food Co., Inc.*, 2012 WL 2087409, at *3 (E.D. La. June 8, 2012) (noting that it was sensible for the defendant to incorporate by reference arguments raised in earlier motions to dismiss because the defendant raised many of the same arguments in those earlier motions); *Phoenix Licensing L.L.C. v. CenturyLink Inc.*, 2015 WL 5786582, at *1 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 30, 2015). Indeed, in Travis County's reply brief in support of its motion for intervene in this matter, the County incorporated by reference its earlier briefing in this case and its briefing in the Austin litigation. *See* ECF No. 47 at 1–2.

Respectfully submitted this the 14th day of July, 2017.

KEN PAXTON
Attorney General of Texas

JEFFREY C. MATEER
First Assistant Attorney General

BRANTLEY D. STARR
Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

MICHAEL C. TOTH
Special Counsel to the First Assistant
Attorney General

ANDREW D. LEONIE
Associate Deputy Attorney General

AUSTIN R. NIMOCKS
Associate Deputy Attorney General

/s/ Darren McCarty

DARREN MCCARTY
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation
Texas Bar No. 24007631
darren.mccarty@oag.texas.gov

DAVID J. HACKER
Senior Counsel

JOEL STONEDALE
Counsel
Office of Special Litigation
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
P.O. Box 12548, Mail Code 009
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
Tel.: (512) 936-1414
Fax: (512) 936-0545

ATTORNEYS FOR TEXAS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Darren McCarty, hereby certify that on this the 14th day of July, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was transmitted using the CM/ECF system, which automatically sends notice and a copy of the filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Darren McCarty
DARREN MCCARTY

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

CITY OF EL CENIZO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-404-OG

[Lead Case]

EL PASO COUNTY, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-459-OG

[Consolidated Case]

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 5:17-cv-489-OG

[Consolidated Case]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS OR TRANSFER TEXAS
ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC
COUNTY JUDGES AND COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS' COMPLAINT
IN INTERVENTION (ECF NO. 142)**

Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or Transfer the Texas Association of Hispanic County Judges and County Commissioners' Complaint in

Intervention (ECF No. 142). The Court finds that the motion is meritorious and should be **GRANTED**.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Texas Association of Hispanic County Judges and County Commissioners' Complaint in Intervention is [dismissed for improper venue] [transferred to the Austin Division of this Court].

SO ORDERED this the _____ day of _____, 2017.

HON. ORLANDO L. GARCIA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE