1 LESLEY HOLMES (BAR NO. 271903) NORTON ROSE FÜLBRIGHT US LLP 2 555 South Flower Street Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 892-9200 3 4 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494 lesley.swanson.holmes@nortonrosefulbright.com 5 MARK EMERY (PRO HAC VICE) NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 6 799 9th Street NW Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20001-4501 Telephone: (202) 662-0200 7 Facsimile: (202) 662-4643 8 mark.emery@nortonrosefulbright.com 9 Attorneys for Defendant 10 THE GÉO GROUP, INC. 11 (Additional counsel listed on next page) 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 RAUL NOVOA, individually and on CASE NO. 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHKx 15 behalf of all others similarly situated, DEFENDANT GEO GROUP'S FIRST 16 *Plaintiff*, AMENDED ANSWER AND **COUNTERCLAIM TO PLAINTIFF'S** 17 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT v. 18 THE GEO GROUP, INC., JUDGE: Hon. Jesus G. Bernal 19 Defendant. 20 THE GEO GROUP, INC., 21 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Counter-Claimant, 22 Amended Complaint Filed: 7/6/18 v. 23 RAUL NOVOA, individually and on 24 behalf of all others similarly situated, 25 Counter-Defendant. 26 27 28

THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S FIRST AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 2 3 4	CHARLES A. DEACON ( <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ) <b>NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP</b> 300 Convent Street Suite 2100 San Antonio, TX 78205-3792 Telephone: (210) 270-7133 Facsimile: (210) 270-7205 charlie.deacon@nortonrosefulbright.com
5 6 7 8 9	ROBERT J. HERRINGTON (BAR NO. 234417) ROBERT FREUND (BAR NO. 287566) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, California 90067-2121 Telephone: 310.586.7700 Facsimile: 310.586.7800 herringtonr@gtlaw.com freundr@gtlaw.com
0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8	SCOTT A. SCHIPMA (PRO HAC VICE) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2101 L Street NW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: 202.331.3100 Facsimile: 202.331.3101 schipmas@gtlaw.com
9	
20	
21   22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Defendant The GEO Group, Inc. ("GEO") answers the First Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Damages ("FAC"; Dkt. #47) of Plaintiff Raul Novoa, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), and states its affirmative defenses, as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Paragraph 1 of the FAC states Plaintiff's characterization and understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. GEO admits that it owns and operates the Adelanto Facility, an immigration processing and detention center, for profit. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 of the FAC.
- GEO admits it owns and operates correctional, detention and community reentry facilities in the United States and abroad for profit. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the FAC.
  - 4. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the FAC.
- GEO admits that immigration detainees who choose to participate in the 5. federally-mandated Voluntary Work Program may receive a \$1 allowance for each day of participation regardless of tasks performed, work accomplished, or duration of participation. The \$1 allowance per detainee is passed from ICE to detainees via a detainee trust account. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the FAC.
- 6. Paragraph 6 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the FAC. Voluntary Work Program participants choose to perform self-care tasks like meal preparation, basic housekeeping chores, and grooming to eliminate idle time while in

detention. The Voluntary Work Program is administered in the secured environment of the detention facility, pursuant to federal detention standards.

- 7. Paragraph 7 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the FAC. Civil immigration detainees who participate in the Voluntary Work program are not employed by GEO, and Plaintiff has no basis for claiming minimum wage payments, individually or on behalf of a class.
- 8. Paragraph 8 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the FAC. California's Minimum Wage Law ("MWL") does not establish an employment relationship between detainees and GEO. Federal immigration detainees have no right to claim competitive wages while detained at government expense. GEO specifically denies any violation of California's Unfair Competition Law or the federal and state Trafficking Victims Protection Act.
- 9. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 9 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. GEO denies that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit.
- 11. Plaintiff alleges that the Court has jurisdiction over this case based on his allegations and GEO's citizenship. GEO has no basis to affirm or deny the factual averments regarding jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), and therefore denies them. GEO further denies that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit.

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

27 28

26

- GEO admits that to the extent the Court has jurisdiction, venue is proper in this 12. District. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 12 of the FAC.
- GEO admits that it conducts business in Adelanto, San Bernardino County, 13. California. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the FAC.
- Paragraph 14 of the FAC refers to Plaintiff's request for the Court to exercise 14. supplemental jurisdiction over his state law claims. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to Plaintiff's requests. GEO denies that the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over this suit.

#### **PARTIES**

- GEO admits that ICE detained Plaintiff at the Adelanto Facility for a period of 15. time and that he received \$1 per day as an allowance for participating in the Voluntary Work Program. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth as Paragraph 15 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- GEO admits it is a for-profit Florida corporation providing correctional, 16. detention, and community reentry services. GEO's principal office is located at 621 NW 53rd Street, Suite 700, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Immigration detention is civil – not criminal.** A.

- Paragraph 17 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the 17. action rather than alleging any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the FAC.
- GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 18. truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 18 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.

- 19. Paragraph 19 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the FAC.
- 20. Paragraph 20 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the FAC.
- 21. Paragraph 21 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 of the FAC.

# B. The privatization of immigration detention and GEO's economical windfall.

- 22. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 22 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 23. GEO admits that it engages in lobbying efforts. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations regarding other private prison corporations set forth as Paragraph 23 of the FAC. GEO denies the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 23 of the FAC.
- 24. Paragraph 24 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 24 of the FAC.
- 25. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 25 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.

12

17

18 19

20

22

21

2425

23

2627

28

- 26. GEO admits it is a publicly traded corporation that is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth as Paragraph 26 of the FAC.
- 27. Paragraph 27 of the FAC states Plaintiff's understanding of the nature of the action rather than alleging any facts for GEO admit or deny. To the extent any facts are alleged, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 27 of the FAC.

#### C. GEO withholds necessary care from detainees at the Adelanto Facility.

- 28. GEO denies it contracts with ICE to operate the Adelanto Facility. ICE contracts with the City of Adelanto for operation of the Adelanto Facility to enable ICE to meet its immigration processing needs, with GEO serving as the City's subcontractor. The Adelanto Facility has capacity for approximately 1,940 detainees. All other facts not expressly admitted in Paragraph 28 are denied.
  - 29. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 29 of the FAC.
  - 30. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30 of the FAC.
  - 31. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 31 of the FAC.
  - 32. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32 of the FAC.
  - 33. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33 of the FAC.
  - 34. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34 of the FAC.
  - 35. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 35 of the FAC.
  - 36. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 36 of the FAC.
  - 37. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 37 of the FAC.

#### D. GEO uses detainees to clean, maintain, and operate the Adelanto Facility.

38. GEO admits that detainees who choose to participate in the federally-mandated Voluntary Work Program may receive a \$1 allowance for each day of participation regardless of tasks performed, work accomplished, or duration of participation. The \$1

allowance per detainee is passed from ICE to detainees via a detainee trust account. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 38 of the FAC.

- 39. Paragraph 39 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 of the FAC. Voluntary Work Program participants choose to perform self-care tasks like meal preparation, basic housekeeping chores, and grooming to eliminate idle time while in detention. The Voluntary Work Program is administered in the secured environment of the detention facility, pursuant to federal detention standards.
- 40. Paragraph 40 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 of the FAC. Civil immigration detainees who participate in the Voluntary Work Program are not employed by GEO, and they have no basis to claim minimum wage payments, individually or as a class.
- 41. Paragraph 41 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 of the FAC.
- 42. GEO admits that it provides detainees with personal protection equipment as appropriate for self-care tasks. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth as Paragraph 42 of the FAC.
- 43. GEO admits that the \$1 daily allowance is credited to participating detainees' trust accounts. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth as Paragraph 43 of the FAC.
  - 44. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 44 of the FAC.
- 45. GEO admits that Voluntary Work Program participants may choose to perform self-care tasks like meal preparation, basic housekeeping chores, and grooming to eliminate

idle time while in detention. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 45 of the FAC.

- 46. GEO admits that Voluntary Work Program participants may choose to perform self-care tasks like meal preparation, basic housekeeping chores, and grooming to eliminate idle time while in detention. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 46 of the FAC.
- 47. Paragraph 47 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 47 of the FAC.
- 48. Paragraph 48 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 48 of the FAC. California's MWL does not establish an employment relationship between detainees and GEO. Competitive employment opportunities covered by the MWL serve no purpose in this environment and conflict with federal immigration and detention policies. Federal immigration detainees similarly have no right to claim competitive wages while detained at government expense.
- 49. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 49 of the FAC. The ICE contract may require compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws, but GEO expressly denies the applicability of California's laws to GEO as stated by Plaintiff and the putative class.
- 50. Paragraph 50 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 50 of the FAC.
  - 51. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 51 of the FAC.

#### E. Plaintiff Novoa's employment at the Adelanto Facility.

- 52. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 52 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 53. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 53 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 54. GEO admits Plaintiff was detained at the Adelanto Facility for a period of time. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 54 of the FAC.
- 55. GEO admits that Plaintiff received \$1 per day as an allowance for participating in the Voluntary Work Program, as provided by Congress. Plaintiff did not "work for GEO" and Plaintiff and other federal immigration detainees have no right to claim competitive wages while detained at government expense. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth as Paragraph 55 of the FAC.
- 56. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 56 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 57. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 57 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 58. GEO admits that Plaintiff received \$1 per day as an allowance for participating in the Voluntary Work Program, as provided by Congress. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 58 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
  - 59. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 59 of the FAC.
  - 60. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60 of the FAC.

6

12

1314

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

2526

2728

- 61. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 61 of the FAC.
- 62. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 62 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
  - 63. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 63 of the FAC.
  - 64. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 64 of the FAC.
- 65. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 65 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 66. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 66 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 67. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 67 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
  - 68. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 68 of the FAC.
  - 69. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 69 of the FAC.
  - 70. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 70 of the FAC.
- 71. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 71 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
  - 72. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 72 of the FAC.
  - 73. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 73 of the FAC.
- 74. Paragraph 74 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 74 of the FAC.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

75. Paragraph 75 of the Complaint contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 75 of the FAC.

#### A. Class Definition

- 76. Paragraph 76 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 76 of the FAC.
- 77. Paragraph 77 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 77 of the FAC.

#### B. Class Certification Requirements under Rule 23

- 78. **Numerosity:** Rule 23(a)(1). Paragraph 78 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 78 of the FAC.
- 79. Commonality and Predominance: Rules 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3). Paragraph 79 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 79 of the FAC.

- 80. **Typicality:** Rule 23(a)(3). Paragraph 80 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. GEO specifically denies that Plaintiff or any purported class member was "employed by GEO at the Adelanto Facility." To the extent any additional facts are alleged or a response is necessary, GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 80 of the FAC.
- 81. Adequacy: Rule 23(a(4). GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 81 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 82. **Superiority:** Rules 23(b)(3). Paragraph 82 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 82 of the FAC.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **COUNT I**

## CALIFORNIA MINIMUM WAGE LAW Cal. Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, 1197.1

- 83. GEO incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 82 as if set forth fully herein.
  - 84. GEO admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 84 of the FAC.
- 85. Paragraph 85 of the FAC calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is necessary, GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 85 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
- 86. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 86 of the FAC, including, specifically, the allegation that detainees at the Adelanto Facility have "wage protections."

- 87. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 87 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.
  - 88. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 88 of the FAC.
  - 89. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 89 of the FAC.
- 90. Paragraph 90 of the FAC contains Plaintiff's characterization of the nature of the action rather than averring any facts for GEO to admit or deny and calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent this paragraph alleges any facts or a response is necessary, GEO denies the allegations in Paragraph 90 of the FAC.
- 91. GEO admits detainees who participate in the Voluntary Work Program receive a \$1 allowance per day pursuant to federal law. GEO denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 91 of the FAC.
- 92. GEO is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth as Paragraph 92 of the FAC and accordingly denies the truth of the allegations.

#### <u>COUNT II</u> UNJUST ENRICHMENT California Common Law

- 93. GEO incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 92 as if set forth fully herein.
  - 94. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 94 of the FAC.
  - 95. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 95 of the FAC.
  - 96. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 96 of the FAC.
  - 97. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 97 of the FAC.
  - 98. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 98 of the FAC.

5

#### **COUNT III**

#### CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW

Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.

- 99. GEO incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 98 as if set forth fully herein.
- 100. Paragraph 100 of the FAC calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 100 of the FAC.
  - 101. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 101 of the FAC.
  - 102. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 102 of the FAC.
  - 103. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 103 of the FAC.

#### **COUNT IV**

## CALIFORNIA TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT Cal. Civ. Code §52.5

- 104. GEO incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 103 as if set forth fully herein.
- 105. Paragraph 105 of the FAC calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 105 of the FAC.
- 106. Paragraph 106 of the FAC calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 106 of the FAC.
- 107. Paragraph 107 of the FAC calls for a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 107 of the FAC.
  - 108. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 108 of the FAC.
  - 109. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 109 of the FAC.
  - 110. GEO denies the allegations set forth as Paragraph 110 of the FAC.

25

26

27

28

# COUNT V ATTEMPTED FORCED LABOR 18 U.S.C. §§ 1589(a) & 1594(a)

- 111. GEO incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations in Paragraph 1 through 110 as if set forth fully herein.
  - 112. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 112 of the FAC.
  - 113. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 113 of the FAC.
  - 114. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 114 of the FAC.
  - 115. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 115 of the FAC.
  - 116. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 116 of the FAC.
  - 117. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 117 of the FAC.
  - 118. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 118 of the FAC.
  - 119. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 119 of the FAC.
  - 120. GEO denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 120 of the FAC.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In answering the Prayer for Relief, GEO denies that Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to any of the relief they seek. GEO denies that this matter is suitable for class certification. GEO affirmatively alleges that Plaintiff lacks standing to assert claims on behalf of other individuals, that Plaintiff lacks standing to seek declaratory and/or injunctive relief, and that Plaintiff is not a proper class representative.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each purported cause of action of Plaintiff's FAC fails to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against GEO.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

GEO has immunity from this lawsuit.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff seeks relief barred by the statute of limitations.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim is pre-empted by federal law.

#### **FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has failed to join parties that should be joined under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19.

#### **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any, were caused by the acts of a third party who has not been named a party to this action and over whom GEO had no control.

#### **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's requested relief violates the law, and is otherwise impossible to attain in conformance with the law. Neither Plaintiff nor putative class members have a legal right to work at minimum wage rates because none has sought approval from the U.S. Attorney General for employment with GEO, and none are qualified to work for GEO under ICE's contract terms and federal law. Plaintiff's participation in the Voluntary Work Program was voluntary.

#### **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff lacks standing to brings the claims asserted in the FAC.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim is not ripe.

#### **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claim is not justiciable.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has unclean hands.

4

8

23

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has unreasonably delayed his request for relief, to GEO's prejudice, such that his claims are barred by the defense of laches.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from seeking equitable relief to the extent an adequate remedy exists at law.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The federal Trafficking Victims Protection Act is unconstitutionally vague and/or overbroad as applied to GEO's administration of the VWP.

#### <u>FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

The California Trafficking Victims Protection Act is unconstitutionally vague and/or overbroad as applied to GEO's administration of the VWP.

#### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

California's Minimum Wage Law, and IWC Wage Order are unconstitutionally vague and/or overbroad as applied to GEO's administration of the VWP.

#### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

GEO reserves the right to amend its Answer to Plaintiff's FAC to assert additional defenses, withdraw defenses, and/or add counterclaims as may become necessary after reasonable opportunity, or discovery has occurred, up through and including trial in this matter.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, GEO respectfully requests:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by the FAC;
- 2. A dismissal of the FAC with prejudice and an award of GEO's reasonable attorneys' fees to the extent permitted by law;
  - 3. Judgment in favor of GEO and against Plaintiff;

4. Costs of suit herein; and

5. Such other and further relief, legal and equitable, that the Court may deem proper.

#### **CONDITIONAL AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM**

Counter-Claimant The GEO Group, Inc. ("GEO"), through counsel, brings the following conditional counterclaims against Counter-Defendant Raul Novoa ("Counter-Defendant"), and against any putative class or classes certified by the Court.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. To the extent this Court holds that it has subject matter jurisdiction despite GEO's immunity, the Court will have jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter over this counterclaim. GEO asserts its counterclaim only in the event that the Court has determined it has subject matter jurisdiction. GEO does not waive and expressly reserves its objection to subject matter jurisdiction with respect to Plaintiff's/Counter-Defendant's claims. To the extent GEO is subject to jurisdiction in this Court, jurisdiction over GEO's counterclaim is grounded in diversity pursuant to 28 § U.S.C. 1332. GEO is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in Florida. Raul Novoa is a citizen of Mexico, who alleges he is a resident of California. The amount in controversy on the counterclaims exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 2. Alternatively, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over these counterclaims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), as these counterclaims form part of the same case or controversy as the claims asserted by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court, as the events giving rise to these counterclaims occurred in this district.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. GEO is a Florida corporation with its principal place of business in Florida. GEO is a service provider that operates the Adelanto Facility for the housing of federal immigration detainees in the custody of the federal government, under terms set out in the contracts between the City of Adelanto and ICE, and the City of Adelanto and GEO, and applicable regulations and detention facility standards, and the laws of the United States.
- 5. Raul Novoa is a citizen of Mexico, who alleges he is a resident of California. Counter-Defendant was an ICE detainee at the Adelanto Facility at various times from 2012 to 2015.
- 6. While detained at the Adelanto Facility, Counter-Defendant did not have authorization from the U.S. Attorney General to be employed in the United States under federal law.
- 7. Because Counter-Defendant was in the custody of the federal government while at the Adelanto Facility and participated in the Voluntary Work Program, California's Minimum Wage Law ("MWL") does not apply to him.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### Declaratory Relief—28 U.S.C. § 2201(a)

- 8. GEO incorporates the allegations in Paragraphs 1-24, above, by reference as if fully stated herein.
- 9. At all times relevant to these proceedings, GEO administered a Voluntary Work Program at the Adelanto Facility as required by the ICE contract. That Voluntary Work Program was, and is, subject to federal detention standards.
- 10. The Voluntary Work Program at the Adelanto Facility is critical to the safe and secure operations of the facility. Specifically, the Voluntary Work Program reduces idle time for detainees and promotes institutional efficiency, just as similar programs do in California's own facilities.

- 11. Pursuant to an express authorization from Congress that ICE may authorize allowances to immigration detainees for work performed while detained, ICE authorized an allowance of \$1.00 per day for each Voluntary Work Program participant.
- 12. ICE must authorize any increase in the allowance rate of \$1.00 per day, as expressed in the ICE contract for the Adelanto Facility. Without an ICE-approved increase in the allowance rate, detainees may not be given an allowance in excess of \$1.00 per day for participation in the Voluntary Work Program at the Adelanto Facility.
- 13. ICE also prohibits GEO from employing any detainees. ICE must clear any GEO employee working at the Adelanto Facility via a background check performed by ICE. ICE also requires GEO to immediately suspend any employee found to have a history of arrests.
- 14. Counter-Defendant and the putative class members were participants in the Voluntary Work Program at the Adelanto Facility. They were not authorized by ICE to work for GEO or anyone else in the United States, and did not complete the requirements for employment specified in the City's detention services agreement with ICE. Counter-Defendant himself was ineligible for work because he was detained by ICE based on his criminal record in the United States, which includes possession of controlled substances, possession of a firearm by a felon, giving a false ID to a peace officer, and domestic violence. Counter-Defendant has not alleged that he, or any other Adelanto detainee, was granted work authorization by the Attorney General.
- 15. Counter-Defendant initiated this lawsuit, claiming California's labor laws apply to him and the putative class members, when they do not. Because Counter-Defendant was in the custody of the federal government while at the Adelanto Facility and participating in the Voluntary Work Program, California's MWL does not apply to them. This matter presents an actual controversy that can be finally resolved by the Court.
  - 16. GEO has already incurred attorneys' fees and other costs defending against

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant's claims, and will continue to do so.

- 17. ICE detainees at the Adelanto Facility, including Counter-Defendant and the putative class members, are federal immigration detainees housed at the Adelanto Facility pursuant to the City's detention services agreement with ICE. They are not employed by GEO, and are not employees of GEO. The work performed by these detainees is performed for reasons other than compensation in order to provide for necessary food and shelter, as detainees participating in the Voluntary Work Program do not participate in commerce and do not depend on the wages they earn for basic necessities such as, for example, housing, food, clothing, and recreation, while detained.
- 18. GEO therefore seeks a declaration that: (1) no employment relationship exists between GEO and detainees who participate in the Voluntary Work Program, including but not limited to Counter-Defendant and the putative class members; (2) such detainees, including but not limited to Counter-Defendant and the putative class members, are not employees of GEO, and GEO is not their employer; (3) as a result, California's labor laws and wage orders do not apply to such detainees, including but not limited to Counter-Defendant and the putative class members; and (4) Counter-Defendant and putative class members are not entitled to disgorgement or other equitable relief for allegedly unpaid wages or allegedly inequitable revenues or profits; (5) GEO has not violated the TVPA; (6) GEO has not violated the California TVPA.

WHEREFORE, GEO prays for the following relief:

- 1. An order enjoining Counter-Defendant and the putative class members from claiming California's labor laws apply to them;
- 2. An order declaring California's labor laws do not apply to ICE detainees at the Adelanto Facility, including but not limited to laws requiring payment of minimum wage and overtime wages;
  - 3. An order declaring that there is no employment relationship between GEO and

	Case 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHK Document 10 #:10	6 Filed 12/19/18 Page 23 of 23 Page ID 31
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	detainees housed at the Adelanto Facility who participate in the Volunteer Work Program specifically that GEO is not the employer of such detainees, and that such detainees are not employees of GEO;  4. An order declaring that GEO has not violated the TVPA or California TVPA  5. An award of attorneys' fees and costs; and  6. Other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	8 Dated: November 29, 2018 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	LESLEY HOLMES MARK EMERY CHARLES A. DEACON NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  ROBERT J. HERRINGTON SCOTT A. SCHIPMA GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  By /s/Robert J. Herrington Robert J. Herrington Attorneys for Defendant The GEO Group, Inc.
17	JURY DEMAND	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Pursuant to FRCP 38(b), GEO dem both the FAC and the Counterclaims.  DATED: November 29, 2018  By /s Rob Atto The	EENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  / Robert J. Herrington ert J. Herrington rneys for Defendant GEO Group, Inc.
20	THE GEO GROUP, INC.'S FIR	ST AMENDED ANSWER AND