

1 JAMIE S. GORELICK (*pro hac vice*)
jamie.gorelick@wilmerhale.com
2 CATHERINE M.A. CARROLL
catherine.carroll@wilmerhale.com
3 CHRISTOPHER E. BABBITT (SBN: 225813)
christopher.babbitt@wilmerhale.com
4 CLAIRE M. BERGERON
claire.bergeron@wilmerhale.com
5 SHEILA E. MENZ
sheila.menz@wilmerhale.com
6 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
7 1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006
8 Telephone: 202 663 6000
Facsimile: 202 663 6363

9 *Attorneys for Amici Curiae*

10
11
12
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

16 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; EDMUND
GERALD BROWN JR., GOVERNOR OF
20 CALIFORNIA, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY; AND XAVIER BECERRA,
21 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA,
IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY,

22 Defendants.
23
24
25

Case No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN

**PROPOSED BRIEF FOR TAHIRIH
JUSTICE CENTER, ET AL., AS AMICI
CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

Hearing Date: June 20, 2018

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: Courtroom 6

Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez

Action Filed: March 6, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE..... 1

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT 2

ARGUMENT 4

I. California’s Community Trust Laws Enhance Survivor Safety 4

II. California’s Community Trust Laws Enhance Public Safety 9

III. California’s Community Trust Laws Align With, And Enhance The Effectiveness Of,
State And Federal Laws Intended To Protect Survivors..... 13

A. Violence Against Women Act 13

B. Trafficking Victims Protection Act 14

CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page(s)

CASES

Arizona v. United States,
567 U.S. 387 (2012).....3, 15

City of Chicago v. Sessions,
888 F.3d 272 (7th Cir. 2018)10, 12

United States v. Morrison,
529 U.S. 598 (2000).....12

DOCKETED CASES

Statement of Interest on Behalf of the United States, *City of El Cenizo v. Texas*, No.
5:17-cv-404-OLG (W.D. Tex. June 23, 2017), ECF No. 9012

FEDERAL STATUTES

8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(T).....14

8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U).....14

CALIFORNIA STATUTES

A.B. 450, California Immigrant Workers Protection Act.....2, 4

A.B. 103, California omnibus bill.....2

S.B. 54, California Values Act.....2, 5

Cal. Gov’t Code § 7284.2(b).....4, 5

Cal. Gov’t Code § 7284.2(c).....4, 5

PUBLIC LAW

Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (“TVPA”), Pub. L. No. 106-386, 114
Stat. 1464 (Oct.28, 2000).....3, 13, 14, 15

Pub. L. No. 106-386, § 107.....13

Pub. L. No. 106-386, §§ 1501-151313

Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1502(a)(1).....15

Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1512.....14

Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1513(a)(2)(A).....4, 15

Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1513(a)(2)(B)4, 15

Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (“VAWA”), Pub. L. No. 103-322, 108 Stat.
1796 (Sept. 13, 1994).....3, 6, 13, 14, 15

Pub. L. No. 103-322, tit. IV, §§ 40701-40703.....13, 14

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

1
2 159 Cong. Rec. H705 (daily ed. Feb. 28, 2013)13
3 159 Cong. Rec. S597 (daily ed. Feb. 12, 2013).....13
4 H.R. Rep. No. 103-395 (1993).....4, 14

REGULATIONS

5
6 Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, Exec. Order No. 13768,
7 82 Fed. Reg. 8799 (Jan. 25, 2017)7

OTHER AUTHORITIES

8
9 Elizabeth M. McCormick, *Rethinking Indirect Victim Eligibility for U Non-Immigrant*
10 *Visas to Better Protect Immigrant Families and Communities*, 22 STAN. L. &
POL’Y REV. 587 (2011)9
11 Emma E. Fridel, *A Multivariate Comparison of Family, Felony, and Public Mass*
12 *Murders in the United States*, SAGE Journals, Journal of Interpersonal
Violence (Nov. 8, 2017), <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0886260517739286>11
13 James Queally, *Fearing Deportation, Many Domestic Violence Victims Are Steering*
14 *Clear of Police and Courts*, L.A. Times (Oct. 9, 2017),
15 [http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-undocumented-crime-reporting-](http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-undocumented-crime-reporting-20171009-story.html)
[20171009-story.html](http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-undocumented-crime-reporting-20171009-story.html).....8, 9
16 Jane Mayer, *The Link Between Domestic Violence and Mass Shootings*, The New
17 Yorker (June 16, 2017), [https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-](https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-link-between-domestic-violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-steve-scalise)
18 [link-between-domestic-violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-](https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-link-between-domestic-violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-steve-scalise)
[steve-scalise](https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-link-between-domestic-violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-steve-scalise)11
19 John Burnett, *New Immigration Crackdowns Creating “Chilling Effect” On Crime*
20 *Reporting*, NPR (May 25, 2017),
[https://www.npr.org/2017/05/25/529513771/new-immigration-crackdowns-](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/25/529513771/new-immigration-crackdowns-creating-chilling-effect-on-crime-reporting)
21 [creating-chilling-effect-on-crime-reporting](https://www.npr.org/2017/05/25/529513771/new-immigration-crackdowns-creating-chilling-effect-on-crime-reporting).....10
22 Letter from the Major County Sheriffs’ Association and Major Cities Chiefs
23 Association Opposing S. 2146 (Oct. 19, 2015),
<http://www.aila.org/infonet/letter-county-sheriffs-opposing-s-2146>.....9
24 Liz Robbins, *Police Fear Trump Immigration Orders May Handcuff Efforts to Fight*
25 *Gangs*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 22, 2017),
[https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/nyregion/police-fear-trump-](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/nyregion/police-fear-trump-immigration-orders-may-handcuff-effort-to-fight-gangs.html)
26 [immigration-orders-may-handcuff-effort-to-fight-gangs.html](https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/nyregion/police-fear-trump-immigration-orders-may-handcuff-effort-to-fight-gangs.html) 9-10
27 Mai Thi Nguyen & Hannah Gill, *Interior Immigration Enforcement: The Impacts of*
28 *Expanding Local Law Enforcement and Authority*, 53 Urb. Stud. J. 302 (2015).....7

1 Michael E. Miller, *‘People Here Live in Fear’: MS-13 Menaces a Community Seven*
 2 *Miles from the White House*, Wash. Post (Dec. 20, 2017),
 3 [https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5)
 4 [menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5)
 5 [house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5)
 6 [fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5) 11

7 Michael Runner et al., Family Violence Prevention Fund, *Intimate Partner Violence*
 8 *in Immigrant and Refugee Communities: Challenges, Promising Practices*
 9 *and Recommendations*, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (Mar. 2009),
 10 [https://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/userfiles/file/ImmigrantWomen/IPV_](https://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/userfiles/file/ImmigrantWomen/IPV_Report_March_2009.pdf)
 11 [Report_March_2009.pdf](https://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/userfiles/file/ImmigrantWomen/IPV_Report_March_2009.pdf)..... 4, 5

12 Michael Samsel, *Warning Signs: Escalation, Abuse and Relationships*,
 13 <https://www.abuseandrelationships.org/Content/Basics/escalation.html> (last
 14 visited May 15, 2018) 10

15 National Immigrant Women’s Advocacy Project, *Promoting Access to Justice for*
 16 *Immigrant and Limited English Proficient Crime Victims in an Age of*
 17 *Increased Immigration Enforcement: Initial Report from a 2017 National*
 18 *Survey*, Am. Univ., Wash. Coll. Of Law (May 3, 2018),
 19 [http://library.niwap.org/wp-content/uploads/Immigrant-Access-to-Justice-](http://library.niwap.org/wp-content/uploads/Immigrant-Access-to-Justice-National-Report.pdf)
 20 [National-Report.pdf](http://library.niwap.org/wp-content/uploads/Immigrant-Access-to-Justice-National-Report.pdf) 8

21 Nik Theodore, Dep’t of Urban Planning and Policy, *Insecure Communities: Latino*
 22 *Perceptions of Police Involvement in Immigration Enforcement*, Univ. of Ill.
 23 at Chi. (May 2013),
 24 [http://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_R](http://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_REPORT_FINAL.PDF)
 25 [EPORT_FINAL.PDF](http://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_REPORT_FINAL.PDF) 7

26 Orde F. Kittrie, *Federalism, Deportation, and Crime Victims Afraid to Call the*
 27 *Police*, 91 Iowa L. Rev. 1449 (2006)..... 7

28 Pamela Constable, *For Immigrant Women, Domestic Violence Creates a Double*
 Shadow, Wash. Post (Dec. 2, 2013),
[https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4)
[violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4)
[caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4) 4

Press Release, No Más, *New Study of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault in the*
U.S. Latin@ Community Reveals Barriers to Reporting and High Willingness
to Intervene to Help Survivors (Apr. 21, 2015), [https://nomore.org/press-](https://nomore.org/press-release/no-mas-study-pr/)
[release/no-mas-study-pr/](https://nomore.org/press-release/no-mas-study-pr/) 5

PRI’s The World, *Some Immigrant Women, Victims of Domestic Violence, Afraid to*
Seek Help (Mar. 21, 2013), [https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-21/some-](https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-21/some-immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help)
[immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help](https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-21/some-immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help) 5

1 Randy Capps, et al., *Revving Up The Deportation Machinery: Enforcement and*
 2 *Pushback Under Trump*, Migration Policy Institute (May 2018),
 3 [https://www.migrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/publications/ImmigrationE](https://www.migrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/publications/ImmigrationEnforcement-FullReport-FINAL-WEB.pdf)
 4 [nforcement-FullReport-FINAL-WEB.pdf](https://www.migrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/publications/ImmigrationEnforcement-FullReport-FINAL-WEB.pdf).....8
 5
 6 Scott D. Rhodes et al., *The Impact of Local Immigration Enforcement Policies on the*
 7 *Health of Immigrant Hispanics/Latinos in the United States*, 105 Am. J. Pub.
 8 *Health* 329 (Feb. 2015).7
 9
 10 T. J. Raphael & Dana Roberson, *How Gun Laws Let Domestic Violence Offenders*
 11 *Slip Through the Cracks*, PRI (Nov. 16, 2017),
 12 [https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-11-16/how-gun-laws-let-domestic-violence-](https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-11-16/how-gun-laws-let-domestic-violence-offenders-slip-through-cracks)
 13 [offenders-slip-through-cracks](https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-11-16/how-gun-laws-let-domestic-violence-offenders-slip-through-cracks)11
 14
 15 Tahirih Justice Center, *2017 Advocate and Legal Service Survey Regarding*
 16 *Immigrant Survivors*, [http://www.tahirih.org/wp-](http://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2017-Advocate-and-Legal-Service-Survey-Key-Findings.pdf)
 17 [content/uploads/2017/05/2017-Advocate-and-Legal-Service-Survey-Key-](http://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2017-Advocate-and-Legal-Service-Survey-Key-Findings.pdf)
 18 [Findings.pdf](http://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2017-Advocate-and-Legal-Service-Survey-Key-Findings.pdf) (last visited May 16, 2018).....2
 19
 20 Tahirih Justice Center, *Nationwide Survey: A Window Into the Challenges Immigrant*
 21 *Women and Girls Face in the United States and the Policy Solutions to*
 22 *Address Them* (Jan. 31, 2018), [https://www.tahirih.org/wp-](https://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Tahirih-Justice-Center-Survey-Report-1.31.18.pdf)
 23 [content/uploads/2018/01/Tahirih-Justice-Center-Survey-Report-1.31.18.pdf](https://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Tahirih-Justice-Center-Survey-Report-1.31.18.pdf)1, 5, 8
 24
 25 Tom K. Wong, *The Effects of Sanctuary Policies on Crime and the Economy*, Center
 26 for American Progress (Jan. 26, 2017),
 27 [https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/immigration/reports/2017/01/26/297](https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/immigration/reports/2017/01/26/297366/the-effects-of-sanctuary-policies-on-crime-and-the-economy/)
 28 [366/the-effects-of-sanctuary-policies-on-crime-and-the-economy/](https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/immigration/reports/2017/01/26/297366/the-effects-of-sanctuary-policies-on-crime-and-the-economy/)10
 Virginia Fay, *Back Into the Shadows: Immigrants Retreat from Needed Services as*
Deportation Fears Loom, Peninsula Press (June 12, 2017),
<http://peninsulapress.com/2017/06/12/back-into-the-shadows/>.....9
 Washington State Institute for Public Policy, *Recidivism Trends of Domestic Violence*
Offenders in Washington State (Aug. 2013),
[http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1541/Wsipp_Recidivism-Trends-of-](http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1541/Wsipp_Recidivism-Trends-of-Domestic-Violence-Offenders-in-Washington-State_Full-Report.pdf)
[Domestic-Violence-Offenders-in-Washington-State_Full-Report.pdf](http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1541/Wsipp_Recidivism-Trends-of-Domestic-Violence-Offenders-in-Washington-State_Full-Report.pdf).....11

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE

1
2 The Tahirih Justice Center (“Tahirih”) is the largest multi-city direct-services and policy-
3 advocacy organization in the United States specializing in assisting immigrant women and girls who
4 survive gender-based violence, including human trafficking, forced labor, domestic violence, rape
5 and sexual assault, and female genital cutting/mutilation. Tahirih serves clients at five offices,
6 including in San Francisco, and has provided free legal assistance to more than 25,000 individuals.
7

8 Additional amici ASISTA, Asian Pacific Institute on Gender-Based Violence, Immigration
9 Center for Women and Children, and CASA de Esperanza are immigration service providers that
10 serve survivors of gender-based crimes in California, as well as national policy organizations that
11 advocate for legal and other protections for survivors.¹
12

13 Based on their experience serving and advocating for survivors of gender-based violence,
14 amici have a special understanding of how the California laws at issue in this case promote
15 community safety, and advance the State of California’s sovereign interests, by encouraging
16 immigrant survivors of gender-based violence to seek protection from and treatment for the effects
17 of violence. Similarly, amici have a unique understanding of the harms that an injunction of these
18 laws would cause to immigrant women and girls who are survivors of gender-based violence.
19

20 As amici’s experience with their clients demonstrates, many immigrant women who
21 experience domestic violence are hesitant to contact the police or seek child custody or other relief in
22 courts out of fear that doing so will lead to intervention by immigration authorities.² Such fear has
23 been amplified in recent months as the federal government has stepped up its immigration
24 enforcement efforts, including through policies that seek to harness state and local authorities’
25

26 ¹ Amici’s full names and addresses appear at Appendix 1.

27 ² See Tahirih Justice Center, *Nationwide Survey: A Window Into the Challenges Immigrant*
28 *Women and Girls Face in the United States and the Policy Solutions to Address Them* 8 (Jan. 31,
2018), <https://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Tahirih-Justice-Center-Survey-Report-1.31.18.pdf>.

1 participation in immigration enforcement. In response to an April 2017 national survey of over 700
2 service providers, three out of four respondents reported that survivors had expressed concerns about
3 going to court for matters relating to their abusers, and many respondents reported that their clients
4 were expressing increased fear in light of new federal immigration policies.³

5 In amici's experience, the California laws at issue here, which limit state and local
6 entanglement in immigration enforcement, promote the safety of immigrant survivors of gender-
7 based violence by providing some assurance that a survivor can call the police or reach out to a state
8 or local agency for help without risking deportation proceedings or detention. These laws enhance
9 the safety of survivors, by making them more likely to seek help, and may also assist survivors in
10 learning about the federal immigration protections available to them. The challenged laws also
11 improve overall community safety by encouraging immigrant victims and witnesses to report crimes
12 and other activity that may threaten society at large. As the experiences of amici's clients illustrate
13 (*see infra* Part II), survivors who contact the police are critical to the investigation and prosecution
14 of criminal activity on a broader scale.

17 **SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT**

18 Amici respectfully submit this brief to assist the Court in analyzing the public benefits of the
19 California Values Act (S.B. 54), California omnibus bill A.B. 103, and the California Immigrant
20 Workers Protection Act (A.B. 450) (collectively referred to in this brief as the California
21 "Community Trust Laws") as they relate to immigrant survivors of gender-based violence. These
22 benefits confirm that the challenged laws fall squarely within the broad scope of California's police
23 powers, and that the public interest weighs in favor of denying the Department of Justice's motion
24

25
26
27 ³ *2017 Advocate and Legal Service Survey Regarding Immigrant Survivors*,
28 <http://www.tahirih.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2017-Advocate-and-Legal-Service-Survey-Key-Findings.pdf> (last visited May 16, 2018).

1 for a preliminary injunction. *See Arizona v. United States*, 567 U.S. 387, 404 (2012).⁴

2 The public-safety concerns that prompted the California legislature to adopt the Community
3 Trust Laws apply with unique force to immigrant women who are survivors of gender-based
4 violence. This is true for three main reasons. *First*, by limiting state and local entanglement with
5 federal immigration enforcement, the Community Trust Laws encourage and make it safe for
6 survivors to seek protection from, and treatment for, the effects of gender-based violence. These
7 laws provide valuable protections to immigrant survivors of gender-based violence, particularly at a
8 time when the federal government has stepped up its immigration enforcement efforts in a manner
9 that has chilled immigrants’ willingness to interact with state and local authorities, as the
10 experiences of amici’s own clients demonstrate.

12 *Second*, by facilitating the reporting of gender-based violence, the Community Trust Laws
13 strengthen public safety for the whole community. Survivors of domestic violence may have
14 firsthand information relating to a variety of criminal activity. If they are afraid to report it, abusers
15 remain free to continue to act violently toward survivors and others, jeopardizing public safety.

17 *Finally*, far from conflicting with federal immigration laws, California’s Community Trust
18 Laws directly align with federal laws enacted to protect immigrant survivors of gender-based
19 violence. Congress enacted the Violence Against Women Act (“VAWA”) and the Trafficking
20 Victims Protection Act (“TVPA”) to provide legal protections to immigrant survivors of gender-
21 based violence and to encourage survivors to report abuse. As the text and legislative history of
22 these two laws make clear, Congress desired to: “permit[] battered immigrant women to leave their
23 batterers without fearing deportation”; “encourage law enforcement officials to better serve
24

26 ⁴ *See also* Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction (“Opp.”),
27 *United States v. California*, No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN (E.D. Cal. May 4, 2018), ECF No. 74 at
28 1, 40.

1 immigrant crime victims and to prosecute crimes committed against aliens”; and to “facilitate the
2 reporting of crimes to law-enforcement officials by trafficked, exploited, victimized, and abused
3 aliens who are not in lawful immigration status.” *See* Pub. L. No. 106-386 §§ 1513(a)(2)(A)-(B),
4 114 Stat. at 1533-1534; H.R. Rep. No. 103-395, at 25 (1993). The California Community Trust
5 Laws—which were enacted to foster a relationship of trust between state authorities and California’s
6 immigrant residents—are fully in accord with those federal mandates.⁵

7 ARGUMENT

8 **I. California’s Community Trust Laws Enhance Survivor Safety**

9
10 Of the many women in the United States who are raped or physically assaulted each year,
11 “immigrant and refugee women are especially vulnerable.”⁶ Immigrant women face unique
12 obstacles in seeking protection from gender-based violence, including language barriers, lack of
13 familiarity with social services and law-enforcement systems, and fear of the police based on
14 experiences with law enforcement in their countries of origin.⁷ Survivors of gender-based violence
15 may also fear that contacting authorities to report abuse will put the survivor or a family member at
16 risk of deportation.⁸ In a 2015 survey of 800 Latinos and Latinas nationwide, 41 percent of
17

18
19 ⁵ *See* Cal. Gov’t Code § 7284.2(b), (c) (setting forth legislative findings that “a relationship
20 of trust between California’s immigrant community and state and local agencies is central to the
21 public safety of the people of California” and that the erosion of this trust results in “immigrant
22 community members fear[ing] approaching police when they are victims of, and witnesses to,
23 crimes”); *see also* Opp. at 5 (noting that the state adopted A.B. 450 in order to “ensure that all
24 California workers enjoy the protections afforded to them under state law ‘without fear of
25 harassment, detention, or deportation’” (internal citation omitted)).

26 ⁶ Michael Runner, et al., Family Violence Prevention Fund, *Intimate Partner Violence in*
27 *Immigrant and Refugee Communities: Challenges, Promising Practices and Recommendations* 11,
28 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (Mar. 2009), [https://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/
userfiles/file/ImmigrantWomen/IPV_Report_March_2009.pdf](https://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/userfiles/file/ImmigrantWomen/IPV_Report_March_2009.pdf).

⁷ *Id.* at 4-5.

⁸ Pamela Constable, *For Immigrant Women, Domestic Violence Creates a Double Shadow*,
Wash. Post (Dec. 2, 2013), [https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-
violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-
caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/for-immigrant-women-domestic-violence-creates-a-double-shadow/2013/12/02/5626b85e-55e6-11e3-8304-caf30787c0a9_story.html?utm_term=.cbd37f3285d4).

1 respondents cited fear of deportation as the number-one barrier preventing Latino and Latina
2 survivors of domestic violence from seeking help.⁹

3 Many abusers are well aware of this chilling effect and will deliberately use a survivor’s
4 immigration status against her, threatening to contact immigration authorities and report the survivor
5 if she discloses abuse.¹⁰ While this tool of exploitation and control is especially prominent when the
6 survivor is undocumented, an abuser may also use the threat of deportation to control a survivor who
7 actually holds lawful status by isolating the survivor to prevent her from learning that she has lawful
8 immigration status and capitalizing on her belief that her status is within his control.¹¹

9
10 California’s Community Trust Laws are designed to combat some of the barriers that prevent
11 survivors from seeking help. As the California legislature explained in its statement of intent
12 accompanying S.B. 54, the express purpose of this law is to build “a relationship of trust” between
13 “California’s immigrant community and state and local agencies.” Cal. Gov’t Code § 7284.2(b).
14 Such a relationship is threatened, the legislature found, when “state and local agencies are entangled
15 with federal immigration enforcement, with the result that immigrant community members fear
16 approaching police when they are victims of, and witnesses to, crimes,” and when “seeking basic
17 health services.” Cal. Gov’t Code § 7284.2(c). To that end, the law places several carefully tailored
18 limitations on the ability of state and local officials to act as an arm of federal immigration
19

20
21 ⁹ Press Release, No Más, New Study of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault in the U.S.
22 Latin@ Community Reveals Barriers to Reporting and High Willingness to Intervene to Help
Survivors (Apr. 21, 2015), <https://nomore.org/press-release/no-mas-study-pr/>.

23 ¹⁰ Runner, *supra* note 6, at 4; PRI’s The World, *Some Immigrant Women, Victims of*
24 *Domestic Violence, Afraid to Seek Help* (Mar. 21, 2013), [https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-](https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-21/some-immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help)
25 [21/some-immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help](https://www.pri.org/stories/2013-03-21/some-immigrant-women-victims-domestic-violence-afraid-seek-help) (quoting Sister Rosemary
26 Welsh, Executive Director of Casa de Misericordia in Laredo, Texas, as follows: “One of the ways
men would keep [immigrant women] in a domestic violence situation was saying that ‘I am a U.S.
citizen’ or ‘I am a legal permanent resident, and you call the police, they will deport you and I will
stay with the kids[.]’”); Tahirih Justice Center, *Nationwide Survey*, *supra* note 2, at 8 (observing that
27 “[m]any women are hesitant to contact the police or seek child custody or other relief in courts
because abusers threaten to call immigration authorities if they do”).

28 ¹¹ See Runner, *supra* note 6, at 12.

1 authorities. By doing so, the Community Trust Laws ensure that survivors can safely access
2 immediate benefits like protection from abuse and emergency medical care, as well as longer-term
3 benefits, such as restraining orders, without fear of triggering immigration consequences. Taking
4 those steps, once a survivor feels secure in doing so, may also help her to learn about federal legal
5 protections specifically designed to protect immigrant survivors of domestic violence. *See infra*,
6 Part III.

7
8 The example of one of Tahirih’s clients is illustrative: “P” was living in Gibraltar when she
9 met and married a U.S. citizen. After they had a son together, P’s husband convinced her to sell her
10 home, quit her job, and move with him to the United States. Over time, P’s husband grew verbally
11 and physically abusive. On one occasion, he intentionally crashed the couple’s car as he was driving
12 with P and their baby. The abuse escalated, culminating in an incident in which P’s husband
13 screamed at her, strangled her, and scratched her face and eye. P did not immediately call the police,
14 fearing violent retaliation from her husband. Finally, after P’s husband threw her and their child out
15 of the house during a snowstorm, P’s friend encouraged her to call the police, and after doing so, P
16 was able to get a protective order. According to P, she never would have reported the abuse if she
17 thought there were a chance that she would be detained, deported, or separated from her son, who
18 has autism and requires ongoing special care. Through assistance from Tahirih, P obtained lawful
19 permanent residence in 2015 based on a federal Violence Against Women Act “self-petition,” and
20 she will soon be eligible for citizenship. Her son is thriving now that he is not regularly witnessing
21 (or being subjected to) domestic violence.
22

23
24 Studies have shown that when local officials enforce federal immigration law, immigrants
25 are deterred from contacting local officials—be it in an emergency room or by dialing 911—out of
26 fear that doing so will result in detention or deportation. One study illustrates the stark impact such
27 a policy had in North Carolina on women’s and children’s health: In jurisdictions where state and
28

1 local authorities entered into immigration enforcement agreements with federal authorities,
2 Hispanic/Latina mothers sought prenatal care later than non-Hispanic/Latina mothers.¹² The study
3 noted that “participants reported profound mistrust of health services, avoiding health services, and
4 sacrificing their health and the health of their family members.”¹³ Another study of similar North
5 Carolina policies found that “the majority of Hispanic interviewees stated that they would hesitate
6 before reporting crime to authorities out of fear that a friend, neighbour, or family member might be
7 placed in danger of deportation [proceedings].”¹⁴ Survey results confirm these findings. In a 2012
8 survey of 2,000 Latinos in Chicago, Houston, Los Angeles, and Phoenix, 45 percent of respondents
9 reported that as a result of increased cooperation between the police and immigration authorities,
10 they were less likely to contact law enforcement if they were victims of a crime.¹⁵

12 This is not an abstract fear. Since January 2017, when the White House released an
13 Executive Order declaring it to be the “policy of the executive branch to empower State and local
14 law enforcement agencies across the country to perform the functions of an immigration officer ...
15 to the maximum extent permitted by law,” a series of studies, surveys, and media reports have found
16 evidence that immigrant survivors of gender-based violence are increasingly fearful about contacting
17 state and local authorities.¹⁶ In response to an April 2017 survey of more than 700 legal advocates

19 _____
20 ¹² Scott D. Rhodes et al., *The Impact of Local Immigration Enforcement Policies on the*
21 *Health of Immigrant Hispanics/Latinos in the United States*, 105 Am. J. Pub. Health 329 (Feb.
2015).

22 ¹³ *Id.*

23 ¹⁴ Mai Thi Nguyen & Hannah Gill, *Interior Immigration Enforcement: The Impacts of*
24 *Expanding Local Law Enforcement and Authority*, 53 Urb. Stud. J. 302, 15 (2015); *see also* Orde F.
25 Kittrie, *Federalism, Deportation, and Crime Victims Afraid to Call the Police*, 91 Iowa L. Rev.
1449, 1451 (2006) (“to the extent [survivors of domestic violence] believe that [the] police will
report them to immigration authorities ..., ‘women and children will continue to endure ongoing
abuse rather than call for help.’”(internal citations omitted)).

26 ¹⁵ Nik Theodore, Dep’t of Urban Planning and Policy, *Insecure Communities: Latino*
27 *Perceptions of Police Involvement in Immigration Enforcement*, Univ. of Ill. at Chi. (May 2013),
http://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/INSECURE_COMMUNITIES_REPORT_FINAL.PDF.

28 ¹⁶ *See* Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, Exec. Order No. 13768,
82 Fed. Reg. 8799 (Jan. 25, 2017).

1 and legal-services organizations, three out of four respondents reported that their clients had
2 expressed concerns about contacting the police or going to court for matters related to crimes
3 committed against them.¹⁷ In response to a more recent survey of prosecutors conducted by
4 American University Washington College of Law’s National Immigrant Women’s Advocacy
5 Project, survey respondents reported a drop in the level of cooperation in cases of immigrant crime
6 victims, particularly for those involving domestic abuse, sexual assault, and child abuse.¹⁸ And a
7 May 2018 report by the Migration Policy Institute observed that victim advocates and service
8 providers nationwide have witnessed recent “declines in immigrant participation in their services”
9 and a “drop in the number of clients pursuing protection from abusers.”¹⁹
10

11 This chilling effect has also been felt in California. In Los Angeles, reports by Latinos of
12 incidents of spousal abuse dropped by 3.5% in the first six months of 2017 compared to 2016, while
13 reporting among non-Latino survivors remained unchanged.²⁰ San Francisco and San Diego
14 reported even steeper declines in Latino spousal abuse reporting during the same time period, of
15 18% and 13%, respectively.²¹ Beyond law enforcement, many immigrants are disengaging from
16 other critical services in response to stepped-up immigration enforcement. Some families are
17 keeping their children home from school and others have ceased seeking treatment for chronic
18
19

20 ¹⁷ Tahirih Justice Center, *Nationwide Survey*, *supra* note 2.

21 ¹⁸ National Immigrant Women’s Advocacy Project, *Promoting Access to Justice for*
22 *Immigrant and Limited English Proficient Crime Victims in an Age of Increased Immigration*
23 *Enforcement: Initial Report from a 2017 National Survey* 72, Am. Univ., Wash. Coll. Of Law (May
24 3, 2018), <http://library.niwap.org/wp-content/uploads/Immigrant-Access-to-Justice-National-Report.pdf>.

25 ¹⁹ Randy Capps, et al., *Revving Up The Deportation Machinery: Enforcement and Pushback*
26 *Under Trump* 69, Migration Policy Institute (May 2018),
27 <https://www.migrationpolicy.org/sites/default/files/publications/ImmigrationEnforcement-FullReport-FINAL-WEB.pdf>.

28 ²⁰ James Queally, *Fearing Deportation, Many Domestic Violence Victims Are Steering Clear of Police and Courts*, L.A. Times (Oct. 9, 2017), <http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-undocumented-crime-reporting-20171009-story.html>.

²¹ *Id.*

1 illnesses from local clinics for fear of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”) raids.²² In
2 addition, fewer immigrant survivors of gender-based violence are seeking out critical legal services.
3 For example, the legal director of a domestic violence shelter in Orange County observed that after a
4 February 2017 incident in which ICE agents entered a courthouse in Texas to arrest an immigrant
5 woman who was seeking a restraining order, “[w]e went from half our clients being undocumented,
6 to zero undocumented clients.”²³

7 **II. California’s Community Trust Laws Enhance Public Safety**

8 By encouraging immigrant survivors to seek help from state and local agencies, California’s
9 Community Trust laws also increase public safety for the whole community. As state officials and
10 immigrant advocates have observed, when immigrants are afraid to contact the police, they “become
11 targets for other crimes.”²⁴ Former New York City Mayor Rudy Giuliani has emphasized that the
12 public safety at issue is wider than the immigrant community: “If you are an illegal immigrant ...
13 and a crime is committed against you, I want you to report that, because lo and behold, the next time
14 a crime is committed, it could be against a citizen or a legal immigrant.”²⁵ Thus, laws that chill
15 immigrants’ reporting of abuse and other crimes may lead to increased overall criminal activity.
16 That is one reason why major policing groups, including the Major Cities Chiefs Association
17 (“MCCA”), Major County Sheriffs Association, and International Association of Chiefs of Police
18 have opposed efforts to defund so-called “sanctuary” jurisdictions.²⁶ One recent report concluded
19
20
21

22 ²² Virginia Fay, *Back Into the Shadows: Immigrants Retreat from Needed Services as*
23 *Deportation Fears Loom*, Peninsula Press (June 12, 2017),
<http://peninsulapress.com/2017/06/12/back-into-the-shadows/>.

24 ²³ Queally, *supra* note 20.

25 ²⁴ *Id.*

26 ²⁵ Elizabeth M. McCormick, *Rethinking Indirect Victim Eligibility for U Non-Immigrant*
Visas to Better Protect Immigrant Families and Communities, 22 STAN. L. & POL’Y REV. 587,
599-600 (2011).

27 ²⁶ Letter from the Major County Sheriffs’ Association and Major Cities Chiefs Association
28 *Opposing S. 2146* (Oct. 19, 2015), [http://www.aila.org/infonet/letter-county-sheriffs-opposing-s-](http://www.aila.org/infonet/letter-county-sheriffs-opposing-s-2146)
2146; Liz Robbins, *Police Fear Trump Immigration Orders May Handcuff Efforts to Fight Gangs*,

1 that, on average, 35.5 fewer crimes are committed per 10,000 people in so-called “sanctuary”
2 counties than non-“sanctuary” counties.²⁷

3 At the same time, when immigrants are afraid to contact the police, the police are deprived of
4 valuable information about criminal activity.²⁸ After passage of a Texas law last year banning so-
5 called “sanctuary” jurisdictions, members of the Houston Police Department described how the law
6 affected the immigrant communities they work with and made it more difficult to investigate
7 criminal activity in the community. For example, an eyewitness to a burglary said that she would
8 not talk to police about what she saw because she feared being deported. Another woman in
9 Houston reported that she knew her neighbors were selling drugs, but she was too afraid to give the
10 police information about it because she feared talking to them while undocumented. As one member
11 of the Houston Police Department said, “People are afraid to talk to the police, and how does that
12 help us as police do our job?”²⁹

13
14 As the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit recently explained, the
15 consequences of this chilling effect are “magnified” in cases involving domestic violence. *City of*
16 *Chicago v. Sessions*, 888 F.3d 272, 280 (7th Cir. 2018). Unless and until they are stopped,
17 perpetrators of domestic violence often continue to act abusively and, in many instances, escalate
18 their levels of violence.³⁰ Studies show that rates of recidivism for domestic-violence offenders may

19
20
21 N.Y. Times (Feb. 22, 2017), <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/22/nyregion/police-fear-trump-immigration-orders-may-handcuff-effort-to-fight-gangs.html> (quoting a statement by the
22 International Association of Chiefs of Police).

23 ²⁷ Tom K. Wong, *The Effects of Sanctuary Policies on Crime and the Economy*, Center for
24 American Progress (Jan. 26, 2017),
<https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/immigration/reports/2017/01/26/297366/the-effects-of-sanctuary-policies-on-crime-and-the-economy/>.

25 ²⁸ John Burnett, *New Immigration Crackdowns Creating “Chilling Effect” On Crime*
26 *Reporting*, NPR (May 25, 2017), <https://www.npr.org/2017/05/25/529513771/new-immigration-crackdowns-creating-chilling-effect-on-crime-reporting>.

27 ²⁹ *Id.*

28 ³⁰ See Michael Samsel, *Warning Signs: Escalation, Abuse and Relationships*,
<https://www.abuseandrelationships.org/Content/Basics/escalation.html> (last visited May 15, 2018).

1 be higher than for other crimes and that perpetrators of sexual violence often go on to commit other
2 violent acts with tragic results that could have been avoided.³¹ It is no surprise to many researchers
3 that “what perpetrators of terrorist attacks turn out to often have in common more than any particular
4 religion or ideology, are histories of domestic violence.”³² One recent study found that
5 approximately 20% of all of mass murders committed in the U.S. between 2006 and 2016 involved a
6 perpetrator with a history of domestic violence.³³

7
8 When local laws ensure that immigrant survivors of gender-based violence feel safe reporting
9 abuse, survivors may therefore assist local officials in investigating and prosecuting not only gender-
10 based violence, but also other serious criminal activity.³⁴ For example:

- 11 • One Tahirih client was severely abused by a United States Citizen who was also
12 engaged in sex trafficking of young girls. She reported him to the police and went on
13 to serve as a key witness and even wore a wire to help federal prosecutors secure a
14 conviction. The client’s abuser is currently serving a prison sentence.
- 15 • Another Tahirih client called the police to report abuse at the hands of her husband,
16 also a United States Citizen. Her call led to the successful prosecution of that abuser
17

18
19 ³¹ See Washington State Institute for Public Policy, *Recidivism Trends of Domestic Violence*
20 *Offenders in Washington State* (Aug. 2013), [http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1541/
Wsipp_Recidivism-Trends-of-Domestic-Violence-Offenders-in-Washington-State_Full-Report.pdf](http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1541/Wsipp_Recidivism-Trends-of-Domestic-Violence-Offenders-in-Washington-State_Full-Report.pdf).

21 ³² See, e.g., Jane Mayer, *The Link Between Domestic Violence and Mass Shootings*, *The New*
22 *Yorker* (June 16, 2017), [https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-link-between-domestic-
23 violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-steve-scalise](https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-link-between-domestic-violence-and-mass-shootings-james-hodgkinson-steve-scalise); T. J. Raphael & Dana Roberson, *How*
24 *Gun Laws Let Domestic Violence Offenders Slip Through the Cracks*, *PRI* (Nov. 16, 2017),
25 [https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-11-16/how-gun-laws-let-domestic-violence-offenders-slip-through-
26 cracks](https://www.pri.org/stories/2017-11-16/how-gun-laws-let-domestic-violence-offenders-slip-through-cracks).

27 ³³ Emma E. Fridel, *A Multivariate Comparison of Family, Felony, and Public Mass Murders*
28 *in the United States*, *SAGE Journals, Journal of Interpersonal Violence* (Nov. 8, 2017),
<https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0886260517739286>.

³⁴ See Michael E. Miller, *‘People Here Live in Fear’: MS-13 Menaces a Community Seven*
Miles from the White House, *Wash. Post* (Dec. 20, 2017),
[https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-
seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-
fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5](https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/people-here-live-in-fear-ms-13-menaces-a-community-seven-miles-from-the-white-house/2017/12/20/6cebf318-d956-11e7-b859-fb0995360725_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.bc8c964d93e5).

1 on a wide array of criminal charges in addition to domestic abuse, including grand
2 larceny, DWI, burglary, and assault on a police officer.

3 In short, ample evidence supports the California legislature’s determination that public safety
4 is strengthened—a key element of the State’s broad police powers—by laws that foster trust between
5 police and the communities they serve by limiting state and local entanglement in immigration
6 enforcement. See *United States v. Morrison*, 529 U.S. 598, 618 (2000) (“[W]e can think of no better
7 example of the police power ... than the suppression of violent crime and vindication of its
8 victims.”). As the DOJ itself recently argued when it *supported* state legislative action in the
9 immigration field, “[a] state may exercise its sovereign police powers to implement a state-wide
10 policy concerning cooperation with federal [immigration] authorities ... as [the state] has done
11 here.”³⁵

12
13 The public interest weighs heavily against enjoining these laws, as an injunction would chill
14 the willingness of survivors to report crime, and, in turn, have a detrimental impact on public safety.
15 As the Seventh Circuit recently explained:

16
17 [T]he impact on localities forced to comply with [federal measures prohibiting
18 “sanctuary” jurisdictions] could be devastating. Those local and state governments
19 have concluded that the safety of their communities is furthered by a relationship of
20 trust with the undocumented persons and lawful immigrants residing therein—and
21 those localities are clearly in the best position to determine the security needs of their
22 own communities. Such trust, once destroyed by the mandated cooperation and
23 communication with the federal immigration authorities, would not easily be restored.

24
25 *City of Chicago*, 888 F.3d at 291.

26
27
28 ³⁵ Statement of Interest on Behalf of the United States at 25-26, *City of El Cenizo v. Texas*,
No. 5:17-cv-404-OLG (W.D. Tex. June 23, 2017), ECF No. 90.

1 **III. California’s Community Trust Laws Align With, And Enhance The Effectiveness Of,**
2 **State And Federal Laws Intended To Protect Survivors**

3 Finally, as they relate to immigrant survivors of gender-based violence, the California
4 Community Trust Laws do not “obstruct[]” enforcement of federal immigration laws, as the DOJ
5 contends,³⁶ but rather directly align with congressional directives to provide protection and legal
6 relief for immigrant survivors of gender-based violence, as expressed in the Violence Against
7 Women Act of 1994 (“VAWA”), Pub. L. No. 103-322, tit. IV, §§ 40701-40703, 108 Stat. 1796,
8 1902, 1953-1955 (Sept. 13, 1994), and the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (“TVPA”),
9 Pub. L. No. 106-386, §§ 107, 1501-1513, 114 Stat. 1464, 1474-1480, 1518-1537 (Oct.28, 2000).
10 For more than two decades, Congress has recognized that immigrant women are uniquely vulnerable
11 to abuse because their abusers can exploit their immigration status as a means of manipulation and
12 control. To ensure that immigrant women feel safe reporting crimes to local police, Congress has
13 enacted multiple laws that provide assistance and protection to immigrant survivors of gender-based
14 violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. VAWA’s and TVPA’s immigration provisions were
15 designed to encourage survivors to seek out the array of victim protections provided for in both state
16 and federal laws, including emergency services, and protection orders, and to encourage victims to
17 report crimes, and cooperate with local law enforcement in investigations and prosecutions without
18 fear of being turned over to federal immigration authorities. Both statutes, and their subsequent
19 reauthorizations, have enjoyed long-standing and widespread bipartisan support in Congress.³⁷

22 **A. Violence Against Women Act**

23 In passing VAWA, Congress acknowledged that “[m]any immigrant women live trapped and
24

25 _____
26 ³⁶ See Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Injunction at 1, United States v.
California, No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN (Mar. 6, 2018). ECF No. 2.

27 ³⁷ The most recent reauthorization of VAWA, which included TVPA reauthorization, passed
the Senate by a vote of 78-22 and the House of Representatives by a vote of 286-138. See 159 Cong.
28 Rec. H705, H800 (daily ed. Feb. 28, 2013); 159 Cong. Rec. S597, S616 (daily ed. Feb. 12, 2013).

1 isolated in violent homes, afraid to turn to anyone for help. They fear both continued abuse if they
2 stay with their batterers and deportation if they attempt to leave.” H.R. Rep. No. 103-395, at 26. For
3 some, deportation may involve additional trauma such as forced separation from children. One
4 purpose of VAWA, therefore, was to “permit[] battered immigrant women to leave their batterers
5 without fearing deportation.” *Id* at 38.

6 VAWA fulfilled this purpose in two important ways. First, it prevented abusers who are U.S.
7 citizens or lawful permanent residents from exploiting their spouses’ lack of immigration status. An
8 immigrant married to a citizen or lawful permanent resident formerly had to depend on her spouse to
9 petition for lawful permanent residence on her behalf. This provided a highly effective tool for
10 abusers to deter survivors from reporting abuse. VAWA, however, allowed immigrant survivors of
11 domestic violence and sexual assault to “self-petition” for lawful permanent residence on behalf of
12 themselves and their children without the knowledge or involvement of an abusive spouse or family
13 member. *See* Pub. L. No. 103-322 § 40701, 108 Stat. at 1953-1955. Second, VAWA permitted
14 certain survivors who were already in immigration proceedings to seek cancellation of removal,
15 effectively shielding them from deportation. *See id.* § 40703, 108 Stat. at 1955.

16
17
18 **B. Trafficking Victims Protection Act**

19 In 2000, Congress strengthened VAWA’s protections for immigrant women with the passage
20 of TVPA. Pub. L. No. 106-386, 114 Stat. 1464. This law not only improved access to VAWA’s
21 existing forms of relief, but also established two additional visa classifications for noncitizens: the
22 “U” visa for immigrant victims of violent crime, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), and the “T” visa for
23 immigrant victims of severe forms of human trafficking, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(T). In addition,
24 TVPA expanded access to services for noncitizen survivors of gender-based violence and sexual
25 assault and enhanced funding opportunities for local law enforcement to respond to their unique
26 needs. Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1512, 114 Stat. at 1533.

1 Like VAWA, these provisions were designed “to remove immigration laws as a barrier that
2 kept battered immigrant women and children locked in abusive relationships.” Pub. L. No. 106-
3 386 § 1502(a)(1), 114 Stat. at 1518. To that end, TVPA fosters and relies on greater collaboration
4 between law enforcement and immigrant victims of crimes. The purpose of these protections, as
5 stated in the statutory text, is to “strengthen the ability of law enforcement agencies to detect,
6 investigate, and prosecute cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, trafficking of aliens, and other
7 crimes”; to “encourage law enforcement officials to better serve immigrant crime victims and to
8 prosecute crimes committed against aliens”; and to “facilitate the reporting of crimes to law
9 enforcement officials by trafficked, exploited, victimized, and abused aliens who are not in lawful
10 immigration status.” *Id.* § 1513(a)(2)(A)-(B), 114 Stat. at 1533-1534.

12 Congress thus recognized that local law enforcement succeeds in protecting public health and
13 safety when all members of the community are willing to come forward and cooperate with
14 authorities. It also affirmed that these protections were “in keeping with the humanitarian interests
15 of the United States.” Pub. L. No. 106-386 § 1513(a)(2)(A), 114 Stat. at 1533-1534. Far from
16 “stand[ing] as an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives
17 of Congress,” *Arizona*, 567 U.S. at 399, California’s Community Trust Laws are in harmony with
18 and further Congress’s expressed goals in VAWA and TVPA.

20 CONCLUSION

21 The Court should deny the United States’ motion for a preliminary injunction and grant
22 California’s motion to dismiss.
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: May 18, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/JAMIE S. GORELICK
JAMIE S. GORELICK (*pro hac vice*)
jamie.gorelick@wilmerhale.com
CATHERINE M.A. CARROLL
catherine.carroll@wilmerhale.com
CHRISTOPHER E. BABBITT (SBN: 225813)
christopher.babbitt@wilmerhale.com
CLAIRE M. BERGERON
claire.bergeron@wilmerhale.com
SHEILA E. MENZ
sheila.menz@wilmerhale.com
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: 202 663 6000
Facsimile: 202 663 6363

Attorneys for Amici Curiae

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

APPENDIX

LIST OF AMICI CURIAE

TAHIRIH JUSTICE CENTER

881 SNEATH LANE
SUITE 115
SAN BRUNO, CA 94066

ASISTA

P.O. BOX 12
SUFFIELD, CT 06078

ASIAN PACIFIC INSTITUTE ON GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE

500 12TH STREET
SUITE 330
OAKLAND, CA 94607

CASA DE ESPERANZA

P.O. BOX 40115
ST. PAUL, MN 55104

IMMIGRATION CENTER FOR WOMEN AND CHILDREN

634 SOUTH SPRING STREET
SUITE 727
LOS ANGELES, CA 90014