

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

EAMMA JEAN WOODS, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JOHN MORTON, et al.,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 07cv1078 DMS (PCL)
**ORDER APPOINTING CLASS
COUNSEL AND GRANTING FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT**

This matter came before the Court on June 10, 2011 for a fairness hearing on whether to approve the proposed settlement negotiated by counsel. Present were David Blair-Loy and Ryan Blair as counsel for Plaintiffs, Samuel P. Go as counsel for Federal Defendants, and Rachel Love as counsel for CCA Defendants. Also present were Class Members Huang Tai-Chien and Vasily Zotov, objecting to the settlement.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement (Doc. No. 82-1), all documents submitted in support of approving the settlement, and the written objections filed by Mr. Huang and Mr. Zotov, and having heard the statements of counsel, Mr. Huang, and Mr. Zotov, the Court finds as follows:

1. Plaintiffs' counsel should be appointed as class counsel, as requested in the Joint Motion filed March 28, 2011. (Doc. No. 82.)

1 2. Reasonable notice was given to all Class Members of the proposed settlement,
2 pursuant to the Court's order of April 21, 2011 (Doc. No. 85) and ¶ 24 of the Settlement
3 Agreement, by posting of the Notice in Spanish and English in each pod of the San Diego
4 Correctional Facility occupied by Class Members and by personal delivery of the Notice to all
5 Class Members in segregated confinement and in the medical unit, as well as making a copy of
6 the Settlement Agreement available to any Class Member who asked to review it. This notice
7 procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and was the best notice practicable.

8 3. The terms of the proposed settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate. The
9 objections to the settlement are respectfully overruled, for the reasons stated on the record at the
10 fairness hearing.

11 4. All other applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) have
12 been satisfied.

13 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:**

14 1. Plaintiffs' counsel is appointed as class counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
15 Procedure 23(g).

16 2. The Settlement Agreement is approved, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
17 Procedure 23(e), and all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement are incorporated
18 herein by reference. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement
19 Agreement.

20 3. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court hereby retains
21 continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement
22 Agreement.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 DATED: June 20, 2011



25
26 HON. DANA M. SABRAW
 United States District Judge