

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **CV 85-4544 DMG (AGR<sub>x</sub>)** Date December 12, 2016

Title ***Jenny L. Flores, et al. v. Loretta E. Lynch, et al.*** Page 1 of 1

Present: The Honorable **DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

**KANE TIEN**  
Deputy Clerk

**NOT REPORTED**  
Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s)  
None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s)  
None Present

**Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS - ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' *EX PARTE* MOTION TO STRIKE AND FOR ENLARGMENT OF TIME [291]**

Defendants seek to strike Plaintiffs' Statement of Uncontroverted Facts [Doc. # 288]. The Court **DENIES** Defendants' motion based on the reasons they articulated (i.e., lengthy conclusions of law, legal arguments instead of undisputed facts). While these reasons are not grounds to strike the Statement, they may lead the Court to disregard certain asserted "facts," and Plaintiffs will have to live with the consequences of not having properly submitted a Statement that "contain[s] a narrowly focused statement of fact" that addresses a "single subject as concisely as possible." *See* October 7, 2016 Order at 3 [Doc. # 274].

Defendants also request additional time to submit its second supplemental response in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion to enforce. The Court **GRANTS** Defendants' unopposed request for an enlargement of time. Defendants shall submit their second supplemental response by December 16, 2016. Plaintiffs must submit any Reply by December 23, 2016.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**