

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<hr/>)
JOY EVANS, <i>et.al.</i>,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
&)
)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Civil Action No. 76-cv-0293 (ESH))
)
Plaintiff-Intervenor,)
)
v.)
)
VINCENT GRAY, <i>et al.</i>,)
)
Defendants.)
<hr/>)

ORDER

Pursuant to the “2010 Revision to the 2001 Plan for Compliance and Conclusion of *Evans v. Fenty*,”¹ (“2010 Plan”), the Special Master, Clarence J. Sundram, has submitted a report and recommendation regarding defendants’ certifications of compliance with the remaining outcome criteria for Goal D.1 – Case Management. (*See* Special Master’s Report and Recommendation Regarding Case Management – Part II, Oct. 22, 2014 [ECF No. 1497] (“R&R”).) The Special Master now recommends that the Court find that defendants have met their burden of proof of compliance with respect to Goal D.1, criteria ii and iii. (*Id.* at 22.) The parties had 30 days to file objections to the Special Master’s report. No objections have been filed.

¹ The 2010 Plan was filed on July 13, 2010 [ECF No. 1200], and approved on August 10, 2010 [ECF No. 1204].

Accordingly, upon consideration of the Special Master's Report and Recommendation, the lack of any objections thereto, and the entire record herein, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Court **APPROVES AND ADOPTS** the Special Master's Report and Recommendation Regarding Case Management – Part II [ECF No. 1497]; and it is further

ORDERED that defendants have achieved compliance with the remaining outcome criteria for Goal D.1 (criteria ii and iii) and the underlying court orders as set forth in the 2010 Plan.

/s/ Ellen Segal Huvelle
ELLEN SEGAL HUVELLE
United States District Judge

Date: November 25, 2014