


1 Francis X. Hardiman Bar #140504
Margaret M. Cahill Bar #138231

2 HARDIMAN & CAHILL
3 Attorneys at Law
1560 Brookhollow
4 Suite 114
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92705
(714) 556-2233
5 Facsimile (714) 556-5223

Richard S. v. Dept. of Developmental Serv. of Cal.

MR-CA-004-010

6 Attorneys for: Richard S. et al.

7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11 RICHARD S., CYNTHIA R., VALDINA)
R., and ROES 1 through 800,)
12 individually and on behalf of all)
those similarly situated by)
13 WILLIAM CABLE, M.D. as Guardian)
ad Litem)

14 Plaintiffs,)

15 vs.)

16 DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL)
SERVICES OF THE STATE OF)
17 CALIFORNIA, FAIRVIEW)
DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER, SOUTH)
18 COAST REGIONAL PROJECT, HARBOR)
REGIONAL CENTER, REGIONAL CENTER)
19 OF ORANGE COUNTY, SAN DIEGO)
REGIONAL CENTER, SOUTH CENTRAL)
20 LOS ANGELES REGIONAL CENTER,)
WESTSIDE REGIONAL CENTER, DENNIS)
21 G. AMUNDSON, as Director of the)
DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL)
22 SERVICES STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
HUGH KOHLER, as Executive)
23 Director of FAIRVIEW)
DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER, LILIA TAN)
24 FIGUEROA, M.D., as Medical)
Director of FAIRVIEW)
25 DEVELOPMENTAL CENTER, DAWN)
LEMONDS as director of SOUTH)
26 COAST REGIONAL PROJECT, and Does)
1 through 500, inclusive,)

27 Defendants)
28

CASE NO:

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES
LOCAL RULE 4.3.1

Date: March 20, 1997
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Place: Federal District
Court, Santa Ana, California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF

RECORD:

On December 5, 1996, counsel for WILLIAM CABLE, M.D., filed the within action [SACV 96 1190 GLT (EEX)] in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California in Santa Ana, California.

Thereafter, on January 6, 1997, counsel for WILLIAM CABLE, M.D., filed, before any known responsive pleading was filed, a First Amended Complaint. A Second Amended Complaint was filed on March 17, 1997.


Pursuant to Local Rule 4.3.1 Plaintiff's counsel hereby files the within NOTICE OF RELATED CASES since it appears that the within action and the Cable v. DDS case are related actions:

1. call for determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law and fact; or
2. are likely for other reasons to entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

Dated: March 20, 1997

HARDIMAN & CAHILL
A Professional Corporation

By:



FRANCIS X. HARDIMAN
Attorney for William Cable