

T. 1/20/78

JAN 23 1978

DSD:FES:LH:baw
DJ 175-52-28

Roy M. Cohn, Esquire
Saxe, Bacon & Bolan, P.C.
39 East 69th Street
New York, New York 10021

Re: United States v. Trump Management
Civil Action No. 73-1529

Dear Mr. Cohn:

4/1/20/78
FES
1/20/78

The Consent Order entered in the captioned case on June 10, 1975, required your client to implement an affirmative program of compliance with the Fair Housing Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 3601 et seq., and permanently enjoined your client and its agents from all forms of discrimination in the rental of dwellings. Over the period of the Court's Order, the affirmative provisions of which expired on September 10, 1977, this Department received a substantial number of complaints of alleged discriminatory conduct by personnel at several different Trump Management buildings. Pursuant to paragraph IX of the Consent Order, we notified both you and Mr. Irving Eskenazi, Trump's property manager, of these complaints. You responded with Trump's version of the events surrounding each incident in your letter of October 3, 1977.

Upon carefully considering this response in light of our independent inquiry concerning each of

cc: Records
Chrono
Trial File
Handley

the alleged discriminatory incidents, we are compelled to say that we are not satisfied that Trump Management has complied substantially with the terms of the Consent Order. We believe that an underlying pattern of discrimination continues to exist in the Trump Management organization.

Pursuant to the terms of Part IX of the Order, we hereby give you notice that we believe that substantial additional action on the part of Trump Management is required to effect full compliance with its terms. We consider that the circumstances call for a program of affirmative action substantially the same as that set out in the original Order, (but strengthened in some respects on the basis of our experience under the original Order) to be carried out for an additional period, which should be long enough to ensure that the effects of past noncompliance have been completely eliminated. If we cannot agree on such a program, we are prepared to move the Court for supplemental relief.

Under the circumstances, we do not feel that we should hold you strictly to the seven day time limitation contained in Part IX, provided that you advise us promptly that you are prepared to agree to a significant extension of the Order and the retention of provisions roughly like those originally negotiated. The precise contents of a new order can be negotiated subsequently. Since we are required by the Act to move expeditiously, we request that you consult with your client and respond to this letter at your earliest convenience, and in any event in no less than two weeks.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Drew S. Days III
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

cc: Mr. Irving
Eskenazi

Harvey L. Handley III
Attorney
Housing and Credit Section