

RECEIVED
USDC, WESTERN DISTRICT OF LA
TONY R. MOORE, CLERK
DATE 8 / 14 / 14
JB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

LOUISIANA COLLEGE

CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-0463

-vs-

JUDGE DRELL

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, et al.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KIRK

AMENDED JUDGMENT

The Court having been informed of a confusing statement in disposition of this case in its Judgment of August 13, 2014, and for the reasons detailed in a separate ruling issued on August 13, 2014, the Court enters the following dispositions:

- (1) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment (Doc. 81) is hereby **DENIED in PART**, only as it pertains to Plaintiff's Religious Freedom and Restoration Act claim. In all other respects, Defendants' motion will be considered **MOOT**; and
- (2) Plaintiff's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 91) is hereby **GRANTED in PART**, as to Plaintiff's Religious Freedom and Restoration Act claim and insofar as we find the following federal regulations are invalid as to Plaintiff, Louisiana College: 26 C.F.R. § 54.9815-2713(a)(1)(iv); 26 C.F.R. § 54.9815-2713A(a); 29 C.F.R. § 2590.715-2713(a)(1)(iv); 29 C.F.R. § 2590.715-2713A(a); 45 C.F.R. § 147.130(a)(1)(iv); and 45 C.F.R. § 147.131(b). In all other respects, Plaintiff's motion will be considered **MOOT**.

Plaintiff's remaining claims under (1) the First Amendment Free Exercise Clause, (2) the First Amendment Establishment Clause, (3) the First Amendment Free Speech Clause, (4) the First Amendment Freedom of Association Clause, (5) the Fifth Amendment Due Process Clause, and (6) the Administrative Procedure Act are hereby **DISMISSED as MOOT and WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, reserving to Plaintiff the right to re-urge such claims in the event the Court's ruling is not otherwise affirmed.

SIGNED on this ^{ye}14 day of August, 2014 at Alexandria, Louisiana.



DEE D. DRELL, CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT