

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
OCT - 2 2000

BY
DEPUTY DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK

CIVIL ACTION NO. *[Signature]*

COMPLAINT

600CV590

(JURY DEMANDED)

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

TCIM SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to correct unlawful employment practices and to make whole Charging Party, Sharon Boyd. The Commission alleges that the Defendant, TCIM Services, Inc. (hereafter "TCIM"), retaliated against Ms. Boyd because of her opposition to employment practices she believed to be unlawful.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and(3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. 1981a.

2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is an agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-5(a).

4. At all relevant times, Defendant, TCIM Services, Inc., has continuously been and is now doing business in the State of Texas, and has continuously had at least fifteen employees.

5. At all relevant times, Defendant has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Sections 2000e-(b), (g) and (h).

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

6. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Ms. Sharon Boyd filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by the Defendant. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

7. In or about January of 1999, Defendant retaliated against Ms. Boyd in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-3. Specifically, Defendant discharged Ms. Boyd from her position of Branch Recruiter in retaliation for her opposition to unlawful employment practices which she believed discriminated against Black job applicants and employees.

8. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Ms. Boyd of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee.

9. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7, above, were intentional.

10. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 7, above, were done with malice or reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Ms. Boyd.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant, TCIM Services, Inc., its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates in violation of Title VII and, specifically, from subjecting its employees to retaliatory treatment in violation of Title VII.

B. Order the Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for Ms. Boyd, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.

C. Order the Defendant to make whole Ms. Boyd by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest in amounts to be proved at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to rightful place reinstatement of Ms. Boyd.

D. Order the Defendant to make whole Ms. Boyd by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraph 7 above, including but not limited to, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.

E. Order the Defendant to make whole Ms. Boyd, by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 7 and 8, above, in amounts to be determined at trial.


- F. Order the Defendant to pay punitive damages for its malicious or reckless conduct described in paragraph 7, above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.
- H. Award the Commission its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND


The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

C. GREGORY STEWART
General Counsel Designate



ROBERT A. CANINO
Regional Attorney
Oklahoma State Bar No. 011782



SUZANNE M. ANDERSON
Supervisory Trial Attorney
Texas Bar No. 14009470

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
Dallas District Office
207 South Houston Street
3rd Floor
Dallas, Texas 75202
Tel No. (214) 655-3337
Fax No. (214) 655-3331