

EEOC v. Bath Iron Works Corp.

United States District Court for the District of Maine

March 19, 1999, Decided ; March 19, 1999, Received and Filed; March 22, 1999, Entered on Docket
CIVIL NO. 97-355-P-H

Reporter: 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10596
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
AND ANTHONY F. CAMPAGNA, PLAINTIFFS v. BATH
IRON WORKS CORPORATION AND FORTIS BENEFITS
INSURANCE CORPORATION, DEFENDANTS

Prior History: Adopting Magistrate's Document of February
8, 1999, Reported at: [1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10600](#).

Disposition: [*1] Recommended Decision of the Magistrate
Judge ADOPTED. Defendants' motion for summary judgment
GRANTED and the plaintiff EEOC's motion for summary
judgment DENIED.

Counsel: For EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
COMMISSION, plaintiff: MICHAEL J. O'BRIEN, ESQ.,
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,
NEW YORK, NY.

For BATH IRON WORKS CORPORATION, defendant:
MARK L. HALEY, TRACEY G. BURTON, ESQ., PRETI,
FLAHERTY, BELIVEAU, PACHIOS & HALEY, LLC,
BATH, ME.

For FORTIS BENEFITS INSURANCE CORPORATION,
defendant: RICHARD L. O'MEARA, MURRAY, PLUMB &
MURRAY, PORTLAND, ME.

For FORTIS BENEFITS INSURANCE CORPORATION,
defendant: NANCY R. KUHN, ESQ., ALISSA A.
HORVITZ, ESQ., MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS,
WASHINGTON, DC.

For ANTHONY F CAMPAGNA, Interested Party:
CHARLES W. MARCH, ESQ., SUNENBLICK, REBEN,
BENJAMIN & MARCH, PORTLAND. ME.

Judges: D. Brock Hornby, United States Chief District Judge.

Opinion by: D. Brock Hornby

Opinion

ORDER AFFIRMING RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge filed with the court on
February 8, 1999, with copies to counsel, his Recommended
Decision on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgement. The
plaintiff Equal Employment Opportunity
Commission [*2] ("EEOC") filed an objection to the
Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision on February 25,
1999. I have reviewed and considered the Magistrate Judge's
Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I
have made a *de novo* determination of all matters adjudicated
by the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision; and I
concur with the recommendations of the United States
Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in his
Recommended Decision, and determine that no further
proceeding is necessary.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of
the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. The defendants'
motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED** and the
plaintiff EEOC's motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 1999

D. Brock Hornby

United States Chief District Judge