

**U.S. District Court  
District of Columbia (Washington, DC)  
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:01-cv-00400-EGS**

ARTIS, et al v. GREENSPAN  
Assigned to: Judge Emmet G. Sullivan  
Demand: \$180,000,000  
Case in other court: 09-05121  
USCA, 15-05260  
Cause: 42:2000 Job Discrimination (Race)

Date Filed: 02/22/2001  
Date Terminated: 07/22/2015  
Jury Demand: Plaintiff  
Nature of Suit: 442 Civil Rights: Jobs  
Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

**Plaintiff**

**CYNTHIA ARTIS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
WALTER T. CHARLTON &  
ASSOCIATES  
213 Morgan Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
(410) 571-8764  
Fax: (410) 571-8764  
Email: [charltonwt@comcast.net](mailto:charltonwt@comcast.net)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**SHARON LOGAN**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**SHARON ELLIS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**KIMBERLY HARDY**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**CRYSTAL CLAY**  
*TERMINATED: 09/29/2014*

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**MICHELLE MCGHEE**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
**LEAD ATTORNEY**  
**ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED**

**Plaintiff**

**DONNA ANN DOREY**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**BARBARA CARTER**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**GEORGIANNA TERRELL**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**EARNESTINE HILL**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**YVETTE WILLIAMS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL**  
*TERMINATED: 04/05/2013*

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**LINDA PROCTOR**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**SHERYL COHEN**  
*for themselves and all others similarly  
situated*

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*  
*ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED*

**Plaintiff**

**ALL PLAINTIFFS**

represented by **Walter T. Charlton**  
(See above for address)  
*LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

**Defendant**

**ALAN GREENSPAN**  
*Chairman of the Board of Governors of  
the Federal Reserve System*  
TERMINATED: 07/07/2011

represented by **Gerald S. Hartman**  
LAW OFFICES OF JERRY HARTMAN  
3607 Whispering Lane  
Falls Church, VA 22041  
(703) 919-0423  
Email: [jerryhartman@mac.com](mailto:jerryhartman@mac.com)  
TERMINATED: 12/19/2003  
LEAD ATTORNEY  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**John L. Kuray**  
BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE  
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM  
Legal Division  
20th & C Streets, NW  
Washington, DC 20551-0001  
(202) 452-3789  
Fax: (202) 736-5615  
Email: [john.kuray@frb.gov](mailto:john.kuray@frb.gov)  
LEAD ATTORNEY  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Kenneth M. Willner**  
PAUL HASTINGS, LLP  
875 15th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 551-1727  
Fax: (202) 551-0127  
Email: [kenwillner@paulhastings.com](mailto:kenwillner@paulhastings.com)  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Defendant**

**BEN S. BERNANKE**  
*Chairman of the Board of Governors of  
the Federal Reserve System*

represented by **John L. Kuray**  
(See above for address)  
LEAD ATTORNEY  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Joshua P. Chadwick**  
BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE  
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM  
20th & Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20551  
(202) 263-4835  
Fax: (202) 736-5615  
Email: [joshua.p.chadwick@frb.gov](mailto:joshua.p.chadwick@frb.gov)  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

**Kenneth M. Willner**  
(See above for address)  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
02/22/2001	1	COMPLAINT filed by plaintiffs CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS,

		KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, and SHERYL COHEN; jury demand; attachment (1) (bm) (Entered: 02/23/2001)
02/22/2001		SUMMONS (3) issued to federal party federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN , and non-parties: U.S. Attorney and U.S. Attorney General. (bm) (Entered: 02/23/2001)
02/22/2001	2	NOTIFICATION OF RELATED CASE: Case related to Case No(s): CA 96-2105; 99-2073 (bm) (Entered: 02/23/2001)
04/26/2001	3	MOTION filed by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN to dismiss complaint [1-1] ; exhibits (A-B) (aet) (Entered: 04/27/2001)
05/14/2001	4	RESPONSE by plaintiffs in opposition to motion to dismiss complaint [1-1] [3-1] by ALAN GREENSPAN.; exhibits (1) (aet) (Entered: 05/15/2001)
05/24/2001	5	REPLY by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN to response in opposition to motion to dismiss complaint [1-1] [3-1] by ALAN GREENSPAN (aet) (Entered: 05/25/2001)
08/15/2001		ALL DOCKET ENTRIES AS OF THIS DATE WILL BE FOUND IN THE LEAD CASE (clv) (Entered 8/17/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
08/16/2001	<u>6</u>	ORDER by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan : granting motion to dismiss complaint [1-1] [3-1] by ALAN GREENSPAN (N) (clv) (Entered: 08/16/2001)
08/21/2001		AMENDED COMPLAINT (second) by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-2073 amending complaint [1-1]; exhibit (1)(td) Modified on 8/22/01 (Entered: 8/22/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
08/31/2001		MOTION filed by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 99-cv-02073 to extend time to 10/01/01 to answer second amended complaint [58-1](td) (Entered: 9/04/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
09/10/2001		REPLY by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-2073 to plaintiff's opposition to motion for enlargement of time to respond to second amended complaint [59-1]. (td)(Entered 9/20/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
10/01/2001		MOTION filed by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-2073 to dismiss second amended complaint [58-1]; attachment (15) (td) (Entered: 10/02/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
10/01/2001		Bulky Pleading by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-2073.(Number Assigned:63) (td) (Entered 10/02/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
10/15/2001		MOTION filed by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-2073 to extend time to 10/22/01 to file opposition to motion to dismiss plaintiffs' second amended complaint. (td) (Entered 10/17/01) (Entered: 01/10/2006)
10/22/2001		RESPONSE by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-02073 in opposition to motion to dismiss second amended complaint [58-1][63-1]; attachments (47) (td) Modified on 10/29/01 (Entered 10/29/01) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
10/22/2001		Bulky Pleadings/Exhibits/Sealed Material by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-02073. Number Assigned: 66) (td) (Entered 10/29/01) (jeb, ) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
10/30/2001		MOTION (Consent) filed by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-02073 to extend time to file its reply to plaintiff's opposition.(td) (Entered: 11/01/2001) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
11/09/2001		REPLY by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-2073 to response in opposition to motion to dismiss second amended complaint <u>58</u> [63-1]by ALAN GREENSPAN (dam) (Entered: 11/13/2001) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
04/08/2002		MOTION filed by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-2073 for a status call for the court's initial scheduling order to be entered, to vacate stay upon discovery and to certify the class. (td) (Entered: 04/09/2002) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
05/07/2002		SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL for federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-02073, substituting Kenneth M. Willner for attorney Gerald Stuart Hartman

		for ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-02073 (td) (Entered: 05/09/2002) (jeb, ) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
05/07/2002		MOTION filed by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-02073 for leave to file its memorandum in response to plaintiffs' motion for a status call, for the court's initial scheduling order to be entered, to vacate stay upon discovery and to certify the class; EXHIBIT (Memorandum) (td) (Entered: 05/09/2002) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
05/20/2002		REPLY by plaintiff in 1:99-cv-02073 to response in opposition to motion for a status call, for the court's initial scheduling order to be entered, to vacate stay upon discovery and to certify the class [70-1] by plaintiffs (nmr) (Entered: 05/21/2002) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
09/26/2002	8	ORDER by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan : CA 99-2073 shall remain consolidated with this case pursuant to this Court's 8/15/01 order, but that from this date forward, all filings in these consolidated cases shall be labeled with and docketed under this case number; the Clerk of the Court is directed to administratively close CA 99-2073; status hearing set for 10:00 11/5/02 ; (N) (clv) (Entered: 10/31/2002)
09/26/2002		MEMORANDUM AND ORDER by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan : denying motion to dismiss second amended complaint [58-1] [63-1] by ALAN GREENSPAN in 1:99-cv-02073; denying motion for a status call for the court's initial scheduling order to be entered, to vacate stay upon discovery and to certify the class. [70-1] by plaintiffs in 1:99-cv-02073 ; parties to confer and file a proposed jurisdictional discovery plan by 10/21/02; status hearing set for 10:00 11/5/02 in 1:99-cv-02073, in 1:00-cv-00400 ; the Clerk of the Court is to administratively close CA 99-2073;(N) (clv) (Entered: 09/26/2002) (Entered: 01/11/2006)
10/01/2002		Case Reopened (clv) Modified on 10/31/2002 (Entered: 10/31/2002)
10/18/2002	7	DISCOVERY PLAN by plaintiffs, federal defendant pursuant to Court Order dated 9/26/02 (aet) Modified on 10/30/2002 (Entered: 10/28/2002)
09/22/2003	9	MOTION filed by plaintiffs for leave to file a supplement simplifying, shortening and clarifying plaintiffs' plan for discovery on the issues pertaining to exhaustion of administrative remedies pursuant to Court order dated 9/26/03 (bjsp) (Entered: 09/24/2003)
10/01/2003	10	RESPONSE by federal defendant ALAN GREENSPAN to motion for leave to file a supplement simplifying, shortening and clarifying plaintiffs' plan for discovery on the issues pertaining to exhaustion of administrative remedies pursuant to Court order dated 9/26/03 [9-1] by CYNTHIA ARTIS (bjsp) (Entered: 10/03/2003)
10/07/2003	11	REPLY by plaintiffs to defendant's response to motion for leave to file a supplement simplifying, shortening and clarifying plaintiffs' plan for discovery on the issues pertaining to exhaustion of administrative remedies pursuant to Court order dated 9/26/03 [9-1] by CYNTHIA ARTIS; exhibits (3) (bjsp) (Entered: 10/08/2003)
10/27/2003	<u>12</u>	ORDER regarding plaintiffs' plan for discovery 9 . Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/27/03. (tth, ) (Entered: 10/28/2003)
12/19/2003	<u>13</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Kenneth M. Willner on behalf of ALAN GREENSPAN (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Notice of Substitution of Attorney dated 5/7/2002)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/19/2003)
02/18/2004	<u>14</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . NOTICE by ALAN GREENSPAN of <i>Certificate of Discovery Responses</i> (Willner, Kenneth) Modified on 2/19/2004 (td, ). (Entered: 02/18/2004)
02/19/2004		DOCUMENT NO. <u>14</u> Notice has been Entered In Error and counsel has been notified not to file discovery documents. (td, ) (Entered: 02/19/2004)
05/03/2004	<u>15</u>	MOTION for Order <i>Enforcing the Court's 9/25/02 Order Limiting Discovery</i> by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A- Select Pages from Artis Deposition# <u>2</u> Exhibit B- Select Pages from Nelson Deposition - pp. 48-49, 217-218, 223, 226, 228, 299# <u>3</u> Exhibit C- Select Page from Nelson Deposition - p. 54# <u>4</u> Exhibit D-Select Pages from Nelson Deposition - pp. 52-54, 237-238# <u>5</u>



		Exhibit E– Select Page from Nelson Deposition – p. 207# <u>6</u> Exhibit F– Select Page from Artis Deposition – p. 139# <u>7</u> Exhibit G– Clark Declaration# <u>8</u> Exhibit H– Select Page from Clark Deposition – p. 68# <u>9</u> Exhibit I– Select Pages from Clark Deposition – pp. 32–34, 40–42, 54–56, 67–68, 109–110, 115–120, 126–127, 189–191, 193, 255–259# <u>10</u> Exhibit J– Select Pages from Nelson Deposition – pp. 17–23, 35–36, 130–131, 153–161, 166–167)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/03/2004)
05/18/2004	<u>16</u>	Memorandum in opposition to motion re <u>15</u> filed by plaintiff CYNTHIA ARTIS, etal. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> # (2a) # (2b) # (2c) # <u>3</u> # <u>4</u> # <u>5</u> )(Obrien, Peggy) (Entered: 05/18/2004)
05/25/2004	<u>17</u>	MOTION for Protective Order to <i>prevent depositions of litigation counsel</i> , MOTION to Quash <i>subpoena on litigation counsel</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE–BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON–ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) Additional attachment(s) added on 5/27/2004 (Obrien, Peggy). (Entered: 05/25/2004)
05/25/2004	<u>18</u>	MOTION to Amend/Correct by <i>Adding Omitted Exhibit 1, Notice and Subpoena</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE–BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON–ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/25/2004)
05/26/2004		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>18</u> Motion to Amend/Correct. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on May 25, 2004. (lcegs2) (Entered: 05/26/2004)
05/27/2004	<u>19</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>15</u> <i>Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion for an Order Enforcing the Court's September 25, 2002 Order Limiting Discovery</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Excerpt of Barry Taylor's Deposition Transcripts# <u>2</u> Exhibit Excerpts from Mildred Wiggin's Deposition Transcripts# <u>3</u> Exhibit Excerpts from Sheila Clark's Deposition Transcripts)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/27/2004)
06/08/2004	<u>20</u>	RESPONSE to <i>Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order Regarding Subpoena Upon Plaintiff's Counsel</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit C. Artis Deposition Transcript Excerpts ppgs.224–225# <u>2</u> Exhibit Table of contacts between Defendant's Counsel and Plaintiff's Counsel regarding Depositions of Messrs. Charlton and DeBoe)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 06/08/2004)
06/09/2004		MINUTE ORDER granting, over Opposition, <u>15</u> Motion for Order Enforcing the Court's September 25, 2002, Order Limiting Discovery, and prohibiting the deposition of Penelope Thompson.Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 9, 2004. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/09/2004)
06/17/2004	<u>21</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply of <i>seven days, until June 23, 2004</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE–BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON–ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/17/2004)
06/21/2004		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>21</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply of seven days, until June 23, 2004. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 21, 2004. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/21/2004)
06/23/2004	<u>22</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>17</u> <i>To Quash Subpoenas on Counsel, and for a Protective Order Prohibiting Depositions of Plaintiffs' Counsel</i> filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE–BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS,

		MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, Mr. Barry Taylor, Depo Ex. #5)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/23/2004)
06/29/2004	<u>23</u>	ORDER pertaining to plaintiffs' motion for protective order.Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 29, 2004. (lcegs2) Additional attachment(s) added on 6/30/2004 (lcegs2, ). (Entered: 06/29/2004)
07/09/2004	<u>24</u>	STIPULATION re <u>23</u> Order <i>protecting depositions of counsel entered on June 29, 2004</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/09/2004)
07/12/2004		MINUTE ORDER. Pursuant to this Court's Order of June 29, 2004, and Plaintiffs' <u>24</u> July 9, 2004, stipulation, the <u>17</u> Motion for Protective Order/Motion to Quash Subpoena Upon Plaintiffs' Counsel is GRANTED.Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 12, 2004. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/12/2004)
12/14/2004	<u>25</u>	MOTION to Compel compliance with Court Ordered discovery on exhaustion of Administrative Remedies-the informal counseling proces by Plaintiffs (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Notice regarding attachments to motion to compel)(Burgess, Joe) (Entered: 12/17/2004)
12/23/2004	<u>26</u>	ERRATA by plaintiffs. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 2A (2) EEO Counselor's Report 00492-00498)(Burgess, Joe) (Entered: 12/23/2004)
12/23/2004	<u>27</u>	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply as to <u>25</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Compliance</i> by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/23/2004)
01/03/2005		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>27</u> Motion for Extension of Time to respond to <u>25</u> plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Compliance with Court Ordered Discovery. Defendant shall file its response no later than January 26, 2005. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 3, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/03/2005)
01/26/2005	<u>28</u>	Memorandum in opposition to motion re <u>25</u> <i>compel compliance to court-ordered discovery</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order # <u>2</u> Exhibit # <u>3</u> Exhibit # <u>4</u> Exhibit # <u>5</u> Exhibit # <u>6</u> Exhibit # <u>7</u> Exhibit # <u>8</u> Exhibit)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 01/26/2005)
02/05/2005	<u>29</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply <i>To Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion to Compel filed January 26, 2005</i> , by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/05/2005)
02/07/2005		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>29</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion to Compel filed January 26, 2005. Plaintiff's Reply due by 2/28/2005. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 7, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 02/07/2005)
02/07/2005		Set/Reset Deadlines: Plaintiff's Reply to the Motion to Compel due by 2/28/2005. (clv, ) (Entered: 02/08/2005)
02/27/2005	<u>30</u>	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File a Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/27/2005)

02/28/2005		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>30</u> Consent Motion for Extension of Time to file Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of their Motion to Compel Discovery Responses. Plaintiffs' Reply shall be due on March 14, 2005. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 28, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 02/28/2005)
02/28/2005		Set/Reset Deadlines: Replies due by 3/14/2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 02/28/2005)
03/02/2005		Set/Reset Deadlines: plaintiff's Replies to the Motion to Compel Discovery Responses due by 3/14/2005. (clv, ) (Entered: 03/02/2005)
03/15/2005	<u>31</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>25</u> <i>Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 1# <u>2</u> Exhibit Attachment 2 (Attachment C)# <u>3</u> Exhibit Attachment 4 (Index of Documents by Date))(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/15/2005)
03/15/2005	<u>32</u>	NOTICE <i>Of Additional Exhibit, Attachment 3, Resubmission of Complaints 01/17/97, should have accompanied filing of Reply</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/15/2005)
03/16/2005	<u>33</u>	Consent MOTION for Leave to File <i>Plaintiffs' Reply To Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Compel, Out of Time, and for Leave to File an Amended And Corrected Brief, with Attachments, Within One Week</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/16/2005)
03/22/2005	<u>34</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>25</u> <i>Corrected and Revised Reply, with Corrected and Revised Attachment 1 to Reply Brief</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 1)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/22/2005)
03/22/2005	<u>35</u>	NOTICE <i>Of Filing Bulk Documents in the Bulk Filings Room of the Court</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/22/2005)
03/24/2005		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>33</u> Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Amended Reply. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on March 24, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 03/24/2005)
04/28/2005	<u>36</u>	ORDER regarding jurisdictional discovery. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 28, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/28/2005)
06/30/2005	<u>37</u>	NOTICE <i>OF DELIVERY OF RESPONSE TO COURT ORDER OF APRIL 28TH, 2005, AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Charlton,



		Walter) (Entered: 06/30/2005)
08/01/2005	<u>38</u>	RESPONSE to <u>36</u> ( <i>Parties' Joint Response</i> ) to Court Order of April 28, 2005 filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 08/01/2005)
08/08/2005	<u>39</u>	ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>25</u> Motion to Compel Discovery. Defendant's submission due 9/8/05; plaintiffs' response due 10/10/05; defendant's reply due 10/24/05. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 8, 2005. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/08/2005)
08/09/2005		Set/Reset Deadlines: Responses due by 10/10/2005 Replies due by 10/24/2005. (clv, ) (Entered: 08/09/2005)
09/08/2005	<u>40</u>	MEMORANDUM by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Part 1 of 3# <u>2</u> Exhibit Part 2 of 3# <u>3</u> Exhibit Part 3 of 3)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/08/2005)
09/08/2005	<u>41</u>	NOTICE <i>Certificate of Service of Defendant's Responses to Court Ordered Production of Documents</i> by ALAN GREENSPAN (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/08/2005)
09/08/2005	65	MOTION regarding plaintiffs' failure to satisfy administrative counseling requirements re <u>40</u> Memorandum by ALAN GREENSPAN. (to view document, click on link # <u>40</u> ) (jf, ) (Entered: 10/03/2006)
10/11/2005	<u>42</u>	RESPONSE to Court Order of August 8th, 2005 with Attachments 1 and 2. filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 1# <u>2</u> Exhibit Attachment 2)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>43</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to Attachment 3 to Plaintiffs filing of 10/11/05 filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>44</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to Court Order of August 8th, 2005 Attachments 4 and 5 filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachments 5)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>45</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to Attachment 6, parts 1 and 2 to Court Order of August 8th, 2005 filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 6, parts 1 and 2)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>46</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to Attachments 7 and 8 A to Court Order of August 8th, 2005 filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachments 8 A)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)

10/11/2005	<u>47</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 B to Plaintiffs filing pursuant to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>48</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 C to Court Ordered Response of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>49</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachments 8 D and 8 E to Response pursuant to Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 8 E)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>50</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 F, to Plaintiffs Response pursuant to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>51</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 G to Response of Plaintiffs filed 10/11/05, pursuant to Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>52</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 H, part 1 to Plaintiffs filing of 10/11/05, pursuant to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>53</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 8 H part 2, to Plaintiffs 10/11/05 response to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>54</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 9 to Plaintiffs filing of 10/11/05</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN,

		SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>55</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 10 to Plaintiffs filing of 10/11/05, pursuant to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>56</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 11, part 1 of 3, Plaintiffs filing of 10/11/05</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>57</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 11, part 2 of 3 to Plaintiffs filing in response to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>58</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 11, part 3 of 3, filed by Plaintiffs in response to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/11/2005	<u>59</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . RESPONSE to <i>Attachment 12 to Plaintiffs Filing of 10/11/05, in response to Court Order of August 8th, 2005</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/12/2005 (td, ). (Entered: 10/11/2005)
10/12/2005	<u>60</u>	NOTICE of filing of exhibits to response by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER re <u>42</u> Response, (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit <u>3</u> <u>2</u> Exhibit <u>4</u> <u>3</u> Exhibit <u>5</u> <u>4</u> Errata <u>6</u> <u>5</u> Exhibit <u>7</u> <u>6</u> Exhibit <u>8</u> <u>7</u> Exhibit <u>9</u> <u>8</u> Exhibit <u>10</u> <u>9</u> Exhibit <u>11</u> <u>10</u> Exhibit <u>12</u> )(td, ) (Entered: 10/14/2005)
10/24/2005	<u>61</u>	REPLY to <u>42</u> <i>Regarding Plaintiff's Failure to Satisfy Administrative Counseling Requirements</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit <u>1</u> <u>2</u> Exhibit <u>2</u> <u>3</u> Exhibit <u>3</u> <u>4</u> Exhibit <u>4</u> <u>5</u> Exhibit <u>5</u> <u>6</u> Exhibit <u>6</u> <u>7</u> Exhibit <u>7</u> <u>8</u> Exhibit <u>8</u> )(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/24/2005)
10/24/2005	<u>62</u>	ERRATA <i>Corrected Exhibit 3 to 61 Defendant's Reply Regarding Plaintiffs' Failure to Satisfy Administrative Counseling Requirements</i> by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit <u>3</u> (revised))(Willner, Kenneth) Modified on 11/14/2005 (lc, ). (Entered: 10/24/2005)

01/18/2006		MINUTE ORDER. The Court is aware that certain motions filed between August 31, 2001, and May 7, 2002, were administratively entered on the Court's Electronic Case Filing System for this case for the first time on January 10 and 11, 2006. Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time filed on August 31, 2001, defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on October 1, 2001, plaintiffs' Motion for Extension of Time filed on October 15, 2001, defendant's Motion for Extension of Time filed on October 30, 2001, plaintiffs' Motion for a Status Call filed on April 8, 2002, and finally defendant's Motion for Leave to File Its Memorandum in Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Status Call are hereby sua sponte denied as moot for they have been previously adjudicated. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 18, 2006. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/18/2006)
09/23/2006	<u>63</u>	MOTION for Order <i>Ruling upon Defendant's Pending Motion to Dismiss</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/23/2006)
09/25/2006	<u>64</u>	RESPONSE to <i>Plaintiffs' Motion Requesting A Ruling On Motion To Dismiss 63</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Willner, Kenneth). (Entered: 09/25/2006)
01/31/2007	<u>66</u>	ORDER granting <u>63</u> Motion for Order, granting 65 Defendant's Renewed Motion to Dismiss. This case is dismissed with prejudice. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 31, 2007. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
01/31/2007	<u>67</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 31, 2007. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/31/2007)
02/01/2007	<u>68</u>	CLERK'S JUDGMENT in favor of defendant. Signed by Carol Votteler, Courtroom Deputy for Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 1/31/07. (clv, ) (Entered: 02/01/2007)
02/14/2007	<u>69</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>With seven Exhibits, Unable to Load last five in ECF</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1 Dorey# <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, Blackwell)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 2/15/2007 (jf, ). (Entered: 02/14/2007)
02/14/2007	<u>70</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....Amended MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>69</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>With seven Exhibits, Unable to Load last five in ECF THIS ENTRY LOADS THE LAST FIVE EXHIBITS, COULD NOT LOAD BECAUSE OF ICE STORM AND ASSOCIATED INTERNET PROBLEMS AS ADDEDEM TO DOC 69 RULE 59(e) MOTION</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Williams# <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5 Hill# <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6, Hardy# <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7, Declaration of Kimberly Hardy Re Counseling Session)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 2/15/2007 (jf, ). (Entered: 02/14/2007)
02/14/2007	<u>71</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....Amended MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>69</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>With seven Exhibits, Unable to Load last five in ECF Final Edit delayed by ice storm, This is corrected version</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 2/15/2007 (jf, ). (Entered: 02/14/2007)



02/14/2007	<u>72</u>	MOTION to Alter or Amend Judgment, and MOTION for Reconsideration Pursuant to FRCP 59(e) by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1# <u>2</u> Exhibit 2# <u>3</u> Exhibit 3# <u>4</u> Exhibit 4# <u>5</u> Exhibit 5# <u>6</u> Exhibit 6# <u>7</u> Exhibit 7)(nmw, ) (Entered: 02/16/2007)
02/15/2007		NOTICE OF CORRECTED DOCKET ENTRY: Document Nos. re <u>69</u> , <u>70</u> & <u>71</u> Amended MOTION to Amend/Correct was entered in error and counsel was instructed to refile said pleading as one complete filing. Counsel should not be filing exhibits, using the event Motion(s). (jf, ) (Entered: 02/15/2007)
02/26/2007	<u>73</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration MOTION for Reconsideration filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/26/2007)
03/05/2007	<u>74</u>	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Reply Memorandum to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' 59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/05/2007)
03/06/2007		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>74</u> Plaintiffs' Motion for Extension of Time to file their reply memorandum. Plaintiffs' reply is due no later than March 12, 2007. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on March 6, 2007. (lcegs2) (Entered: 03/06/2007)
03/06/2007		Set/Reset Deadlines: Replies due by 3/12/2007. (clv, ) (Entered: 03/06/2007)
03/12/2007	<u>75</u>	REPLY to opposition to <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1# <u>2</u> Exhibit 2)(jf, ) (Entered: 03/14/2007)
03/31/2007	<u>76</u>	MOTION Leave to File Supplemental Recent (March 2007) Authorities and Renewal of Request for an Evidentiary Hearing On Disputed Facts by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/31/2007)
04/02/2007		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>76</u> Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file supplemental authorities, which were filed with the Court on March 31, 2007. The Court will notify the parties if the Court determines that an evidentiary hearing is necessary. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 2, 2007. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/02/2007)
02/04/2008		MINUTE ORDER. The Court, sua sponte, schedules a hearing on plaintiff's Motion to Alter and Amend Judgment on February 27, 2008 at 2:30 pm in Courtroom 24A. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 4, 2008. (AS, ) (Entered: 02/04/2008)
02/05/2008		Set/Reset Hearings: Motion Hearing set for 2/27/2008 02:30 PM in Courtroom 24A before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (clv, ) (Entered: 02/05/2008)
02/28/2008		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan: Motion Hearing held on 2/28/2008 re <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration heard and denied; OTBP; (Court Reporter SCOTT WALLACE.) (clv, ) (Entered: 02/28/2008)



02/28/2008		MINUTE ORDER denying without prejudice <u>72</u> Motion to Alter Judgment; denying without prejudice <u>72</u> Motion for Reconsideration. Pursuant to the status conference held on February 27, 2008, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' renewed motion of no more than ten pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than March 12, 2008; defendant's response of no more than ten pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than March 26, 2008; and plaintiffs' reply of no more than 7 pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than April 2, 2008. Please note that counsel shall not simply refer back to or seek to incorporate arguments made in previous briefs (counsel may, however, call the Court's attention to earlier pleadings to make the point that an argument was previously raised, if that point is relevant to the Court's consideration). Finally, the Court reminds counsel in the strongest possible terms that the briefs are to address the specific matter before the Court, namely whether Ms. Hardy timely exhausted her administrative remedies, and any attempts to raise extraneous issues will result in denial of the relief requested, sanctions and/or the party's pleading being struck from the record. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 28, 2008. (AS, ) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
02/28/2008		Set/Reset Deadlines: Responses due by 3/26/2006 Motions due by 3/12/2008. Replies due by 4/2/2008. (clv, ) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
02/29/2008		MINUTE ORDER VACATING the Court's Minute Order dated February 28, 2008. The Plaintiffs' <u>72</u> Motion to Alter Judgment and <u>72</u> Motion for Reconsideration shall be held in abeyance pending supplemental briefing by the parties. Pursuant to the status conference held on February 27, 2008, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' supplemental pleading of no more than ten pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than March 12, 2008; defendant's response of no more than ten pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than March 26, 2008; and plaintiffs' reply of no more than 7 pages (excluding exhibits) shall be filed by no later than April 2, 2008. Please note that counsel shall not simply refer back to or seek to incorporate arguments made in previous briefs (counsel may, however, call the Court's attention to earlier pleadings to make the point that an argument was previously raised, if that point is relevant to the Court's consideration). Finally, the Court reminds counsel in the strongest possible terms that the briefs are to address the specific matter before the Court, namely whether Ms. Hardy timely exhausted her administrative remedies, and any attempts to raise extraneous issues will result in denial of the relief requested, sanctions and/or the party's pleading being struck from the record. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 29, 2008. (AS, ) (Entered: 02/29/2008)
03/11/2008	77	TRANSCRIPT of Motion Hearing Proceedings held on February 27, 2008 before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. Court Reporter: Scott L. Wallace. The public may view the document in the Clerk's Office between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m, Monday through Friday. (jf) (Entered: 03/11/2008)
03/12/2008	<u>78</u>	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM to re <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration <i>Filed pursuant to hearing of February 27th, 2008 and Order of February 29, 2008</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Hardy's "EEO Counseling Report" with attachments, March 4, 1997, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Written Class Allegations, Requested by Counselor, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Excerpts of Federal Regulations, § 268.204, Pre-complaint processing, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Excerpts-Deposition Testimony of Kim Hardy, March 19, 2004, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Letter, Pls Counsel to EEO Director, Ms. Clark January 31, 1997, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Letter, Defendant's Counsel to Plaintiff's Counsel, January 22, 1997, # <u>7</u> Declaration Kim Hardy's Declaration, February 14, 2007 Re: Counseling Events)(Charlton, Walter) (Additional attachment(s) added on 3/13/2008: # <u>8</u> Exhibit 4 Excerpts-Deposition Testimony of Kim Hardy, March 19, 2004) (nmw, ). (Entered: 03/12/2008)
03/12/2008	<u>79</u>	ERRATA <i>To Add Exhibit 3A Omitted in Error on earlier filing (today)</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY,

		MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, SHARON LOGAN, BARBARA CARTER, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, CRYSTAL CLAY, EARNESTINE HILL, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, DONNA ANN DOREY, KIMBERLY HARDY, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, YVETTE WILLIAMS, SHERYL COHEN, LINDA PROCTOR, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, SHARON ELLIS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Replacement Index of Exhibits, Adding Exhibit 3A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3A, Federal Regulations, 29 CFR Sec 1614.105-Omitted In Error In Filing)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/12/2008)
03/26/2008	<u>80</u>	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM to re <u>72</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment MOTION for Reconsideration <i>on Issue of Exhaustion</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Hardy Deposition excerpts, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Artis Affidavit 1-21-1997, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Plfs Opp to Motion to Dismiss, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Bransford Declaration 10-15-1999, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Nelson Dep Excerpts, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Charlton letter to Bransford 1-31-1997, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Matthews Dep Excerpts, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Nelson Aff 2-8-1999, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Hardy Dec 3-12-2007, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Stipulation 2004, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Hardy PMP 1996, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Winter PMP 1996)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 03/26/2008)
04/02/2008	<u>81</u>	SURREPLY to <i>Supplemental Briefing</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 5)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/03/2008	<u>82</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....SURREPLY to <i>Supplemental Brief, Errata to add one document omitted in filing in error (Exhibit 6)</i> filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 4/3/2008 (jf, ). (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/03/2008		NOTICE OF CORRECTED DOCKET ENTRY: Document No. re <u>82</u> Surreply, was entered in error and counsel was instructed to refile said pleading. (jf, ) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/03/2008	<u>83</u>	NOTICE of filing Exhibit # 6, inadvertently omitted from filing of Plaintiffs' Supplemental Reply Brief by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER re <u>81</u> Surreply, (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 6, Omitted in Error from filing on April 2, 2008)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/07/2008	<u>84</u>	Unopposed MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>81</u> Surreply, <i>Requesting Leave of Court to Amend Correcta and Expand to 10 pages and reinstate excised argument beyond page limits then existing (of 7 pages)</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Attachment 1, Proposed Revised and Expanded Plaintiffs Supplemental Reply Brief, Expanded Exceeding Current page limits set by Court from 7 to 10 pages)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/07/2008)
04/07/2008		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>84</u> plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion to Amend/Correct <u>81</u> Surreply. Counsel is instructed to refile his surreply as one document, including the "excised" portion, by no later than April 8, 2008. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan

		on April 7, 2008. (AS) (Entered: 04/07/2008)
04/07/2008		Set/Reset Deadlines: surreply due by 4/8/2008. (clv, ) (Entered: 04/07/2008)
04/07/2008	<u>85</u>	SURREPLY to re <u>84</u> Unopposed MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>81</u> Surreply, <i>Requesting Leave of Court to Amend Correcta and Expand to 10 pages and reinstate excised argument beyond page limits then existing (of 7 pages)</i> Unopposed MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>81</u> Surreply, <i>Requesting Leave of Court to Amend Correcta and Expand to 10 pages and reinstate excised argument beyond page limits then existing (of 7 pages)</i> Unopposed MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>81</u> Surreply, <i>Requesting Leave of Court to Amend Correcta and Expand to 10 pages and reinstate excised argument beyond page limits then existing (of 7 pages)</i> Refiling of Expanded and Corrected Surreply, to Supplemental Filing per leave of Court Granted on April 7, 2008, Main document and refiling of 6 Exhibits, filed by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 5, # <u>6</u> Exhibit 6)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/07/2008)
04/08/2008	<u>86</u>	ERRATA <i>To Correct Signarue Page to Add Signature on Filing of April 7th, 2008 re <u>85</u> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER.</i> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata <i>Signature Page Corrected</i> )(Charlton, Walter) . (Entered: 04/08/2008)
09/25/2008	<u>87</u>	MOTION for Hearing <i>For Status Call on Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter Or Amend the Judgment of Dismissal</i> by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/25/2008)
10/02/2008	<u>88</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>87</u> MOTION for Hearing <i>For Status Call on Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter Or Amend the Judgment of Dismissal</i> filed by ALAN GREENSPAN. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/02/2008)
12/23/2008		MINUTE ORDER. The Court will hold a status hearing in this case on January 30, 2009 at 4:30 p.m. in Courtroom 24A. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on December 23, 2008. (lcegs4) (Entered: 12/23/2008)
12/23/2008		Set/Reset Hearings: Status Conference set for 1/30/2009 04:30 PM in Courtroom 24A before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (clv, ) (Entered: 12/23/2008)
01/28/2009		MINUTE ORDER. The Court is currently presiding over a criminal jury trial that will not conclude this week. Therefore, the Court will continue the Status Hearing previously scheduled for January 30, 2009 to February 20, 2009 at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom 24A. The Court regrets any inconvenience to the parties. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 28, 2009. (AS) (Entered: 01/28/2009)
01/29/2009		Set/Reset Hearings: Status Conference set for 2/20/2009 04:00 PM in Courtroom 24A before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (clv, ) (Entered: 01/29/2009)
02/20/2009		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan: Status Conference held on 2/20/2009. (Court Reporter Jackie Sullivan.) (lm, ) (Entered: 02/25/2009)
03/02/2009	<u>89</u>	ORDER VACATED PURSUANT TO USCA MANDATE FILED 1/11/11.....MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER denying <u>72</u> plaintiffs' MOTION to Alter Judgment. This is a final appealable order. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a). Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on March 2, 2009. (lcegs4) Modified on 3/21/2011 (smm). (Entered: 03/02/2009)

04/07/2009	<u>90</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to <u>89</u> Order, Memorandum & Opinion by GEORGIANNA TERRELL, EARNESTINE HILL, YVETTE WILLIAMS, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, LINDA PROCTOR, SHERYL COHEN, CYNTHIA ARTIS, SHARON LOGAN, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, CRYSTAL CLAY, MICHELLE MCGHEE, DONNA ANN DOREY, BARBARA CARTER. Filing fee \$ 455, receipt number 4616019604. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (jf, ) (Entered: 04/08/2009)
04/08/2009		Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re <u>90</u> Notice of Appeal, (jf, ) (Entered: 04/08/2009)
04/10/2009		USCA Case Number 09-5121 for <u>90</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, SHARON LOGAN, BARBARA CARTER, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, CRYSTAL CLAY, EARNESTINE HILL, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, DONNA ANN DOREY, KIMBERLY HARDY, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, YVETTE WILLIAMS, SHERYL COHEN, LINDA PROCTOR, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, SHARON ELLIS. (jf, ) (Entered: 04/13/2009)
03/16/2011	<u>91</u>	MANDATE of USCA (certified copy) as to <u>90</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, SHARON LOGAN, BARBARA CARTER, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, CRYSTAL CLAY, EARNESTINE HILL, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, DONNA ANN DOREY, KIMBERLY HARDY, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, YVETTE WILLIAMS, SHERYL COHEN, LINDA PROCTOR, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, SHARON ELLIS ; USCA Case Number 09-5121. ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court appealed from in this cause is hereby vacated and the case remanded for further proceedings. (smm) Modified on 9/12/2011 to correct the file date. (ztnr, ) (Entered: 03/21/2011)
06/07/2011		MINUTE ORDER. In light of the remand to this Court for further proceedings, the parties are hereby directed to file a joint status report, including a recommendation for further proceedings, by no later than July 7, 2011. In the event that counsel are unable to agree on a joint recommendation, each party shall file individual recommendations by that time. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 7, 2011. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/07/2011)
06/07/2011		Set/Reset Deadlines: Status Report due by 7/7/2011 (clv, ) (Entered: 06/07/2011)
07/07/2011	<u>92</u>	MEET AND CONFER STATEMENT. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/07/2011)
07/07/2011	<u>93</u>	MEET AND CONFER STATEMENT. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement Attachment I--Report on Meeting, and Subjects Discussed Pursuant to LCvR16(c), # <u>2</u> Supplement Attachment II--Detailed Step by Step Discovery Plan, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order Attachment III--Plaintiffs Proposed Scheduling Order)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/07/2011)
07/10/2011	<u>94</u>	NOTICE <i>Of Unavailability of Plaintiffs' Counsel, For Medical Reasons, Surgery</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/10/2011)
07/21/2011	<u>95</u>	ORDER. Upon consideration of <u>92</u> and <u>93</u> the separate meet and confer reports filed by the parties, and substantially for the reasons articulated by the defendant, the Court enters this Scheduling Order. The parties are directed to read the Order in its entirety. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 21, 2011. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/21/2011)
07/22/2011		Set/Reset Deadlines/Hearings: defendant responsive pleading or motion due by 9/8/11; Parties Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures due by 9/22/11; Plaintiffs class definition due by 9/22/11; Phase I fact discovery due by 7/31/12; Plaintiffs' expert report in support of class certification due by 8/31/12; defendant's expert report opposing class certification due by 10/1/12; depositions of plaintiffs' experts due by 11/1/12; depositions of defendants' experts due by 11/30/12; plaintiff's motion for class certification due by 1/4/13; defendants response due by 2/4/12; plaintiffs' reply due by 2/18/13; Status Conference set for 8/17/2012 12:30 PM in Courtroom 24A before



		Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (clv, ) (Entered: 07/22/2011)
07/29/2011	<u>96</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR. . . .NOTICE of Firm Name Change by BEN S. BERNANKE (Willner, Kenneth) Modified on 7/29/2011 (td, ). (Entered: 07/29/2011)
07/29/2011		NOTICE OF CORRECTED DOCKET ENTRY: re <u>96</u> Notice (Other) was entered in error per counsel. Document not for this case. (td, ) (Entered: 07/29/2011)
07/29/2011	<u>97</u>	NOTICE of Firm Name Change by BEN S. BERNANKE (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/29/2011)
09/08/2011		MINUTE ORDER. It has come to the attention of the Court that paragraph 4(c) of <u>95</u> the scheduling order entered on July 21, 2011 contained a typo. The correct deadline for defendant's opposition to plaintiff's motion for class certification is February 4, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 8, 2011. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/08/2011)
09/08/2011		Set/Reset Deadlines: Responses due by 2/4/2013 (clv, ) (Entered: 09/08/2011)
09/08/2011	<u>98</u>	MOTION to Dismiss <i>Counts II, IV and V of Second Amended Complaint and to Strike the Corresponding Sub-class Allegation</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth). Added MOTION to Strike on 9/9/2011 (jf, ). (Entered: 09/08/2011)
09/22/2011	<u>99</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>98</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Counts II, IV and V of Second Amended Complaint and to Strike the Corresponding Sub-class Allegation</i> MOTION to Strike filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/22/2011)
09/22/2011	<u>100</u>	MEMORANDUM re <u>95</u> Order, by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/22/2011)
09/22/2011	103	MOTION for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (See Docket Entry <u>100</u> to view document) (jf, ) (Entered: 10/12/2011)
10/03/2011	<u>101</u>	REPLY re <u>98</u> MOTION to Dismiss <i>Counts II, IV and V of Second Amended Complaint and to Strike the Corresponding Sub-class Allegation</i> MOTION to Strike <i>Defendant's Reply in Support</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/03/2011)
10/11/2011	<u>102</u>	RESPONSE re 103 MOTION for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint , filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth). (Entered: 10/11/2011)
11/09/2011		MINUTE ORDER denying without prejudice 103 plaintiff's motion to file a third amended complaint for failure to comply with Local Rule 7(i). Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on November 9, 2011. (lcegs2 ) (Entered: 11/09/2011)
02/03/2012	<u>104</u>	MOTION to Enter Confidentiality Protective Order by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order (Exhibit A), # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Exhibit G, # <u>8</u> Exhibit H, # <u>9</u> Exhibit I, # <u>10</u> Exhibit J, # <u>11</u> Exhibit K)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/03/2012)
02/17/2012	<u>105</u>	RESPONSE re <u>104</u> MOTION to Enter Confidentiality Protective Order <i>with Objections and Alternate Simplified Definitions and Proposed Agreement, Eliminating</i>



		<i>Open Ended Burden Shifting Provisions as proposed by Plaintiffs</i> filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A--Itemized Objections to Defs Proposed Protective Order, and Plaintiffs' Draft Alternative, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B--Proposed Provisions of Confidentiality Order 021712, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit C--Proposed Confidentiality Declaration, Required Prior to Disclosures of any Confidential Information, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit D--Draft of Agreement Confidentiality, with Provision Court's final approval Draft)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/17/2012)
02/27/2012	<u>106</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>104</u> MOTION to Enter Confidentiality Protective Order filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Defendant's Motion Exhibits A-K w/exhibit sheets, # <u>2</u> Exhibit L, # <u>3</u> Exhibit M, # <u>4</u> Exhibit N, # <u>5</u> Exhibit O, # <u>6</u> Exhibit P)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/27/2012)
02/28/2012	<u>107</u>	MOTION to Expedite <i>CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER PERTAINING TO INDIVIDUAL PERSONAL INFORMATION, RESERVING ALL OTHER DISPUTED DECISIONS (IF ANY THERE BE) TO THE COURT, AND FOR HEARING, IF NECESSARY UPON THE AGENCY'S PROLIX PROPOSED ORDER</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/28/2012)
02/28/2012	<u>108</u>	RESPONSE re <u>107</u> MOTION to Expedite <i>CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER PERTAINING TO INDIVIDUAL PERSONAL INFORMATION, RESERVING ALL OTHER DISPUTED DECISIONS (IF ANY THERE BE) TO THE COURT, AND FOR HEARING, IF NECESSARY UPON THE AGENCY'S PROLIX PROPOSED ORDER</i> MOTION to Expedite <i>CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER PERTAINING TO INDIVIDUAL PERSONAL INFORMATION, RESERVING ALL OTHER DISPUTED DECISIONS (IF ANY THERE BE) TO THE COURT, AND FOR HEARING, IF NECESSARY UPON THE AGENCY'S PROLIX PROPOSED ORDER</i> MOTION to Expedite <i>CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER PERTAINING TO INDIVIDUAL PERSONAL INFORMATION, RESERVING ALL OTHER DISPUTED DECISIONS (IF ANY THERE BE) TO THE COURT, AND FOR HEARING, IF NECESSARY UPON THE AGENCY'S PROLIX PROPOSED ORDER</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/28/2012)
03/05/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting, over objection, <u>104</u> defendant's Motion to Enter Confidentiality Protective Order. Having carefully considered the motion, the response, and the reply thereto, the Court is persuaded that the Protective Order will sufficiently protect the confidential information contemplated therein without imposing an undue burden on either party. Accordingly, the terms set forth in the document lodged with the Court as Exhibit A to <u>104</u> defendant's motion are hereby incorporated by reference into this Court's Order. It is FURTHER ORDERED that <u>107</u> plaintiffs' Motion to Expedite is denied as moot. The parties are reminded of their continuing obligation to confer in good faith to resolve any discovery disputes before bringing the disputes to the Court. See Local Civ. R. 7(m); Scheduling Order 6. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on March 5, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 03/05/2012)
05/24/2012	<u>109</u>	MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>all claims contained in Plaintiffs Second Amended Complaint, pending since 2001 with no answer filed by Defendant, and based upon that default condition, a Motion to Show Cause as to Why Summary Judgment should not be entered, based upon the fact that there is no cognizable defense to the allegations of plaintiffs in that the claims of racial discrimination do in fact exist and are verified by the very own electronic data of defendant just furnished to defendant's herein, and therefor readily available to defendants to support any claims that such discrimination claimed does not exist, but defendant cannot do that because such discrimination does in fact exist;</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE,

		TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Charlton, Walter). Added MOTION for Entry of Default, MOTION for Order to Show Cause on 5/25/2012 (znmw, ). (Entered: 05/24/2012)
05/24/2012	<u>110</u>	MOTION to Amend/Correct <i>Renewal of Motion Denied Initially without prejudice, pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 15, To Amend Complaint to update facts and incorporate new law</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit I, Draft Proposed Third Amended Complaint, Per Local Rule 7(i))(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/24/2012)
05/25/2012	<u>111</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>109</u> MOTION for Default Judgment as to <i>all claims contained in Plaintiffs Second Amended Complaint, pending since 2001 with no answer filed by Defendant, and based upon that default condition, a Motion to Show Cause as to Why Summary Judgment should not MOTION for Entry of Default MOTION for Order to Show Cause Defendant's Opposition to Motion filed by BEN S. BERNANKE.</i> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/25/2012)
06/08/2012	<u>112</u>	RESPONSE re <u>110</u> MOTION to Amend/Correct <i>Renewal of Motion Denied Initially without prejudice, pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 15, To Amend Complaint to update facts and incorporate new law Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' Renewed Motion to File a Third Amended Complaint</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 06/08/2012)
06/20/2012	<u>113</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>Reply to Defendant's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint of seven days, or until June 29, 2012</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/20/2012)
06/21/2012	<u>114</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Out of Time by two days, Opposed by Defendant, Proposed MOTION for Extension of Time to File Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Revised and Corrected Motion for Extension of Time, Replacing Original Motion filed on June 20, 2012, Filed Out of Time, Requesting Extension for Filing Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion Requesting Leave to File Third Amended Complaint, erroneously filed on June 20, 2012)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/21/2012)
06/22/2012	<u>115</u>	RESPONSE re <u>114</u> MOTION for Leave to File <i>Out of Time by two days, Opposed by Defendant Proposed MOTION for Extension of Time to File Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 06/22/2012)
06/22/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>114</u> plaintiffs' motion for an extension of time to file a reply. Plaintiffs shall file their reply by no later than June 29, 2012. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 22, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/22/2012)
06/25/2012		Set/Reset Deadlines: Replies due by 6/29/2012. (clv, ) (Entered: 06/25/2012)
06/25/2012	<u>116</u>	MOTION to Stay <i>All dispositivie Motions Pending Completion of Discovery</i> , by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA

		PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Recent Authority, Richard Convertino v DOJ et al)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/25/2012)
06/29/2012	<u>117</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re 103 MOTION for Leave to File, <u>110</u> MOTION to Amend/Correct <i>Renewal of Motion Denied Initially without prejudice, pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 15, To Amend Complaint to update facts and incorporate new law and to Reply to Defendant's RESPONSE/OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT (Document 112, Filed June 8th, 2012 For Leave to File a Third Amended Complaint</i> filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/29/2012)
07/11/2012	<u>118</u>	RESPONSE re <u>116</u> MOTION to Stay <i>All dispositive Motions Pending Completion of Discovery</i> , filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/11/2012)
07/18/2012	<u>119</u>	Unopposed MOTION to Reschedule Status Conference by BEN S. BERNANKE (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/18/2012)
07/24/2012		VACATED PURSUANT TO THE MINUTE ORDER ENTERED 8/8/12 MINUTE ORDER. Upon consideration of <u>119</u> Defendant's Unopposed Motion to Reschedule Status Conference, the Court hereby vacates the August 17, 2012 status conference. The parties are directed to submit a joint recommendation for further proceedings by no later than August 14, 2012. In the event that counsel are unable to agree on a joint recommendation, each party shall submit its own recommendation at that time. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 24, 2012. (lcegs2, ) Modified on 8/9/2012 (clv, ). (Entered: 07/24/2012)
07/27/2012	<u>120</u>	MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 01, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 02, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 03, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 04, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 05, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit 06, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit 07, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit 08, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Exhibit 09, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Exhibit 10, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Exhibit 11, # <u>13</u> Exhibit Exhibit 12, # <u>14</u> Exhibit Exhibit 13, # <u>15</u> Exhibit Exhibit 14, # <u>16</u> Exhibit Exhibit 15)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/27/2012)
08/08/2012		MINUTE ORDER. In view of the motion to compel filed on July 27, 2012, the Court hereby vacates its July 24, 2012 Minute Order directing the parties to file a joint recommendation for further proceedings. If the Court determines that a joint recommendation is necessary following the resolution of the motion to compel, another order will be entered at that time. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 8, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/08/2012)
08/09/2012	<u>121</u>	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>file responses to defendant's motion to compel discovery of seven days, or until August 17, 2012</i> by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 08/09/2012)
08/10/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>121</u> consent motion for an extension of time. Plaintiffs shall respond to defendant's motion to compel by no later than August 17, 2011. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 10, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/10/2012)
08/13/2012		Set/Reset Deadlines: Responses due by 8/17/2012 (clv, ) (Entered: 08/13/2012)
08/16/2012		MINUTE ORDER. Pending before the Court is <u>98</u> defendant's partial motion to dismiss Counts II, IV and V of the Second Amended Complaint and to strike the sub-class allegation related to Count II. For substantially the reasons articulated by the defendant, the Court agrees that Counts II, IV and V do not state legally cognizable

	<p>causes of action. See Def.'s Mot. to Dismiss at 4, 7, ECF No. 98. The Court need not reach this issue, however, because the Court finds that plaintiffs have conceded the motion to dismiss by failing to respond to defendant's arguments in their opposition to the motion. See Pls.' Opp. to Def.'s Mot to Dismiss at 1-3, ECF No. 99; Hopkins v. Women's Div., Gen. Bd. of Global Ministries, 238 F. Supp. 2d 174, 178 (D.D.C. 2002) (citing FDIC v. Bender, 127 F.3d 58, 67-68 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (holding that arguments not responded to by a plaintiff in opposition to a motion to dismiss are deemed conceded). Plaintiffs have further conceded these claims by omitting them from a proposed Third Amended Complaint and stating in their Reply to their Motion for Leave to Amend that "Counts II, IV and V are not and were never intended to be claims independent of the racial discrimination charges." Pls.' Reply in Supp. of Mot. to Amend at 6, ECF No. 117. Accordingly, <u>98</u> defendant's partial motion to dismiss is GRANTED and Counts II, IV and V of the Second Amended Complaint and the corresponding sub-class allegation related to Count II are hereby dismissed with prejudice. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 16, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/16/2012)</p>
08/16/2012	<p>MINUTE ORDER. Pending before the Court is <u>110</u> plaintiffs' renewed motion for leave to file a Third Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs argue, inter alia, that amendment is warranted due to the passage of time, changes in the law, and damages that have increased since the filing of the Second Amended Complaint in 2001. Defendant's main argument in opposition, that it would be prejudiced by amendment because it would be required to re-brief its partial motion to dismiss, is now moot in view of the Court's ruling today granting the motion to dismiss. The Board otherwise makes clear that it does not categorically object to plaintiffs' ability to amend but argues that amendment should be postponed until the parties have briefed the issue of class certification. The Court finds that in view of the passage of time, plaintiffs should be permitted to amend the complaint. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2) (leave to amend "should [be] freely give[n]... when justice so requires"). This does not, however, end the Court's inquiry. Defendant further argues that leave to amend should be denied on the separate ground that the proposed Third Amended Complaint fails to comply with the Federal Rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) (a complaint must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief"); 8(d) ("Each allegation must be simple, concise, and direct."). The Court agrees. The proposed Third Amended Complaint is repetitive and confusing and has increased significantly in length, despite containing fewer causes of action than the Second Amended Complaint. It devotes significant space to legal argument, see e.g., Pls.' Mot. to Amend, ECF No. 110, Ex. 1, at 11-26 (discussing the "effect of the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act on this case"), and makes repeated assertions regarding discovery of electronic databases and the purported data that has been wrongfully withheld by defendant. See id. 4-5, 11-12, 34, 38, 51, 59, 69-70, 79, 136. The complaint also appears to contain substantially outdated information. See, e.g., id. 40 (referring to named plaintiff as "recently retired" who was also listed as "recently retired" in the Second Amended Complaint). For these reasons and for substantially the reasons articulated by the defendant, the Court finds that the proposed Third Amended Complaint fails to comply with Rule 8. Accordingly, <u>110</u> plaintiffs' motion to amend is DENIED without prejudice. It is FURTHER ORDERED that if plaintiffs seek to file another amended complaint, plaintiffs must do so by no later than August 24, 2012. Any such proposed amendment must comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court. It is FURTHER ORDERED that defendant shall not be required to file an Answer to plaintiffs' complaint until the resolution of any future motion to amend or further order from this Court. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 16, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/16/2012)</p>
08/16/2012	<p>MINUTE ORDER denying <u>109</u> Motion for Default Judgment and Motion for Order to Show Cause. Plaintiffs argue that default should be entered because defendant has not filed an Answer to the complaint. In the Court's July 11, 2011 Scheduling Order, the Court expressly ordered that the filing of an answer would be stayed pending a ruling on defendant's partial motion to dismiss. Scheduling Order at 2, ECF No. 95. Because this motion seeks to challenge the Court's Scheduling Order, the Court will characterize the motion as a motion for reconsideration. "[R]elief upon reconsideration... pursuant to Rule 54(b) is available 'as justice requires.'" Hoffman v. District of Columbia, 681 F. Supp. 2d 86, 90 (D.D.C. 2010) (quoting Childers v. Slater, 197 F.R.D. 185, 190 (D.D.C. 2000)). "Reconsideration may be warranted where there was a patent misunderstanding of the parties, where a decision was made that</p>



		exceeded the issues presented, where a court failed to consider controlling law, or where a significant change in the law occurred after the decision was rendered. The moving party has the burden of showing that reconsideration is warranted, and that some harm or injustice would result if reconsideration were to be denied." Pueschel v. Nat'l Air Traffic Controllers' Ass'n, 606 F. Supp. 2d 82, 85 (D.D.C. 2009) (internal citations omitted). Plaintiffs do not cite to the Order, nor do they attempt explain why it is erroneous and should be considered. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for default judgment is DENIED. In the same motion, plaintiffs also move for an Order to defendant to show cause why summary judgment should not be entered. Put simply, there is no pending motion for summary judgment and the Court has deferred a determination on the merits and on liability until Phase II of this case. The case is currently only in Phase I. For those reasons, and substantially for the reasons articulated by the defendant, plaintiffs' motion for an order to show case is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 16, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/16/2012)
08/16/2012		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>116</u> Motion to Stay All Dispositive Briefing Pending Completion of Discovery. Plaintiffs argue that all dispositive briefing should be stayed pending full discovery, to which plaintiffs vigorously assert they are entitled. Because this motion seeks to challenge the Court's Scheduling Order, the Court will characterize the motion as a motion for reconsideration. "[R]elief upon reconsideration... pursuant to Rule 54(b) is available 'as justice requires.'" Hoffman v. District of Columbia, 681 F. Supp. 2d 86, 90 (D.D.C. 2010) (quoting Childers v. Slater, 197 F.R.D. 185, 190 (D.D.C. 2000)). Motions for reconsideration "are not simply an opportunity to reargue facts and theories upon which a court has already ruled." Black v. Tomlinson, 235 F.R.D. 532, 533 (D.D.C. 2006); accord Moses v. Dodaro, 840 F. Supp. 2d 281, 286 (D.D.C. 2012) (denying motion for reconsideration where plaintiff's arguments in support of motion were "merely rehashing previous arguments already rejected by this Court"). Prior to the Court's entry of the Scheduling Order, the Court requested recommendations for further proceedings from the parties. Plaintiffs asserted that they should be allowed full discovery on the merits prior to the resolution of any motion for class certification or motion to dismiss. The Court disagreed, and bifurcated discovery into phases. In their Motion for a Stay, plaintiffs do not assert any new arguments in support of their contention that they are entitled to full discovery at this time. The case cited by plaintiffs, Convertino v. Department of Justice, 684 F.3d 93 (D.C. Cir. 2012), concerns motions for discovery filed pursuant to Federal Rule 56(d) and is not on point. Accordingly, plaintiffs' request for a stay of dispositive motions pending completion of discovery is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 16, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/16/2012)
08/16/2012		Set/Reset Deadlines: Amended Complaint due by 8/24/2012. (clv, ) (Entered: 08/16/2012)
08/17/2012	<u>122</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>120</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (ztnr, ) (Entered: 08/20/2012)
08/17/2012	<u>123</u>	CROSS-MOTION to Correct and Supplement Non-Responsive and Incorrect Electronic Data Production Required by Rule 34(a) by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (ztnr, ) (Entered: 08/20/2012)
08/20/2012	<u>124</u>	NOTICE OF FILING EXIHBITS, AS ATTACHMENTS TO RESPONSE AND CROSS MOTION TO COMPEL by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Ex # 1, letter of July 17, 2012, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Ex #2, letter August 12, 2012, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Ex #3, letter of August 16, 22012, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Ex. #4 Interrog Answers, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Ex # 5 Interrog Answers, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Ex # 6 Interrog Answers, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Ex # 7 Interrog Answers, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Ex # 8 Interrog Answers, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Ex # 9 Interrog Answers, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Ex # 10, Interrog Answers, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Ex # 11, Interrog Answers, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Ex # 12, Interrog Answers, # <u>13</u> Exhibit Ex # 13, Interrog Answers, # <u>14</u> Exhibit Ex # 14, Interrog Answers, # <u>15</u> Exhibit Ex # 15, Interrog Answers, # <u>16</u> Exhibit Ex 16, Interrog Answers, # <u>17</u> Exhibit Ex 17, Interrog Answers, # <u>18</u> Exhibit Ex 18, Interrog Answers, # <u>19</u> Exhibit Ex 19, Interrog Answers, # <u>20</u> Exhibit Ex 21, Resume Expert, # <u>21</u>



		Exhibit Exhibit 20, Letter, # <u>22</u> Supplement Ex 4-19A)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 08/20/2012)
08/24/2012	<u>125</u>	NOTICE of Filing Fourth Amended Complaint denoted "Class Complaint" by CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS re Order on Motion to Amend/Correct,,,,,, (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Amended Class Complaint, Per Leave to File Granted 08/16/2012, Minute Order, # <u>2</u> Supplement Addendum to Notice of Filing Stating Supplemental Authority For This Pattern and Practice Case)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 08/24/2012)
08/24/2012	<u>127</u>	FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT against BEN S. BERNANKE filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(jf, ) (Entered: 08/27/2012)
08/27/2012	<u>126</u>	REPLY re <u>120</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery Reply Memorandum in Support</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 08/27/2012)
08/27/2012		MINUTE ORDER. Defendant shall file an Answer or otherwise respond to plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint by no later than September 11, 2012. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 27, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/27/2012)
09/03/2012		Set/Reset Deadlines: Answer due by 9/11/2012, (clv, ) (Entered: 09/03/2012)
09/04/2012	<u>128</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>123</u> MOTION to Modify <i>Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Correct</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A (20110922 Defendant's Initial Disclosures), # <u>3</u> Exhibit B (20111027 Letter to Walter Charlton), # <u>4</u> Exhibit C (20111122 Defs Initial Objections and Responses to Plfs 1st Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production), # <u>5</u> Exhibit D (20120215 Supplemental Discovery Responses), # <u>6</u> Exhibit E (20120523 Cover letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>7</u> Exhibit F (20120726 Letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>8</u> Exhibit G (20110913 Letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>9</u> Exhibit H (20120309 Letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>10</u> Exhibit I (20111118 Letter from Walter Charlton), # <u>11</u> Exhibit J (20111122 Letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>12</u> Exhibit K (20120816 Letter from Ken Willner to Walter Charlton), # <u>13</u> Exhibit L (2001 Annual EEO Report Cover Page), # <u>14</u> Exhibit M (20120704 Attachment C to letter from Walter Charlton), # <u>15</u> Exhibit N (20120806 Defendant's Objections to Plaintiffs' Third Request for Production))(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/04/2012)
09/11/2012	<u>129</u>	ANSWER to <u>127</u> Amended Complaint by BEN S. BERNANKE. Related document: <u>127</u> Amended Complaint filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS.(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/11/2012)
09/11/2012	<u>130</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>120</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery</i> , <u>123</u> MOTION to Modify filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS, CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, CRYSTAL CLAY, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 1, A, & B,& C, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Attachment 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Attachment 3, A & B, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Attachment 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Attachment 5)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/11/2012)
09/12/2012	<u>131</u>	ERRATA TO REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' <i>CROSS-MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCION OF ELECTRONIC AND OTHER DATA, AND SUPPLEMTARLY AUTHORITY PRESENTED</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>120</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE, <u>123</u> MOTION to Modify filed by CYNTHIA ARTIS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, SHARON LOGAN, BARBARA CARTER, DONNA LOVE-BLACKWELL, CRYSTAL CLAY, EARNESTINE HILL, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, DONNA ANN DOREY, KIMBERLY HARDY, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, YVETTE WILLIAMS, SHERYL COHEN, LINDA PROCTOR, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, SHARON ELLIS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Corrections, and location in Reply Memorandum)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/12/2012)

09/18/2012	<u>132</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Sur-Reply</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Defendant's <i>Sur-Reply</i> In Opposition to Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Correct and Supplement Non-Responsive and Incorrect Electronic Data Production Required by Rule 34(a), # <u>3</u> <i>Sur-Reply</i> Exhibit A, # <u>4</u> <i>Sur-Reply</i> Exhibit B, # <u>5</u> <i>Sur-Reply</i> Exhibit C, # <u>6</u> <i>Sur-Reply</i> Exhibit D)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/18/2012)
09/18/2012	<u>133</u>	RESPONSE re <u>132</u> MOTION for Leave to File <i>Sur-Reply Admission of Error, in filing unserved Exhibit 1 C, to Plaintiffs Reply, and request to strike Ex 1 C, from the record</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/18/2012)
09/21/2012	<u>134</u>	MOTION for Hearing <i>Incident to Status Call, Phase I Discovery</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Letter of Arthur Kendall, PhD, Re Data Status)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/21/2012)
09/26/2012	<u>135</u>	RESPONSE re <u>134</u> MOTION for Hearing <i>Incident to Status Call, Phase I Discovery</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/26/2012)
09/26/2012	<u>136</u>	MOTION Suspend Expert Discovery Deadlines by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/26/2012)
09/27/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>132</u> defendant's consent motion for leave to file a sur-reply. The sur-reply attached to defendant's motion is hereby deemed filed. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 27, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
09/27/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>136</u> defendant's consent motion to suspend expert discovery deadlines. The following deadlines are hereby suspended until further notice: (1) defendant's October 1, 2012 deadline for an expert report opposing class certification; (2) the November 1, 2012 deadline for deposing plaintiff's expert; and (3) the November 30, 2012 deadline for deposing defendant's expert. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 27, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
09/27/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>134</u> plaintiffs' motion for a status hearing. The Court, sua sponte, also schedules a hearing on <u>120</u> and <u>123</u> cross-motions to compel discovery. The hearings will take place on October 10, 2012 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 24A. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 27, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
09/27/2012		Set/Reset Hearings: Status Conference set for 10/10/2012 01:00 PM in Courtroom 24A before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan. (clv, ) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
09/27/2012	<u>137</u>	SURREPLY to re <u>120</u> MOTION to Compel <i>Discovery</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D)(rdj) (Entered: 09/27/2012)
10/03/2012	<u>138</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>136</u> MOTION Suspend Expert Discovery Deadlines <i>requesting that the same deadlines apply to plaintiffs as well as defendants as a matter of fairness</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/03/2012)
10/10/2012		Minute Entry for proceedings held before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan: Status Conference held on 10/10/2012. Order to be presented. (Court Reporter CATALINA KERR.) (clv, ) (Entered: 10/10/2012)
10/12/2012	<u>139</u>	ORDER granting <u>120</u> Motion to Compel; denying <u>123</u> Motion to Modify. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on October 12, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/12/2012)
10/22/2012	<u>140</u>	MOTION to Take Deposition from several unknown names , <i>estimated to be no more than five persons yet to be designated by defendant as most knowledgeable IT and or HR persons, pursuant to discussions at the status hearing on October 10, 2012</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, Letter of Dr. Arthur Kendall, October 8, 2012, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, Resume' of Expert, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3, Letter to Opposing Counsel)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/22/2012)
11/07/2012	<u>141</u>	MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, Resubmission of Class-Action Complaint., # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, Hill, Supplemental Interrog and Documents, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3, Hardy-Barnes, Supplemental Intererog, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4 Artis

		Supplemental Interrog, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4-1, Supplemental Docs, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4-2, Declaration, Verification)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/07/2012)
11/08/2012	<u>142</u>	RESPONSE re <u>140</u> MOTION to Take Deposition from several unknown names , <i>estimated to be no more than five persons yet to be designated by defendant as most knowledgeable IT and or HR persons, pursuant to discussions at the status hearing on October 10, 2012</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A, # <u>3</u> Exhibit B)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 11/08/2012)
11/09/2012	<u>143</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....ERRATA <i>To Motion to Alter Judgment filed on November 7, 2012</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Errata Sheet, for clarifications and corrections to Motion to Alter or Amend, Rule 59(e) Motion)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 11/13/2012 (dr). (Entered: 11/09/2012)
11/09/2012	<u>144</u>	ERRATA by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Corrected Motion to Alter Judgment)(dr) (Entered: 11/13/2012)
11/13/2012		NOTICE OF CORRECTED DOCKET ENTRY: re <u>143</u> Errata, was entered in error and counsel is instructed for future reference, to file an Errata as the Main Document page. The Clerk's Office will refile your document correctly. (dr) (Entered: 11/13/2012)
11/13/2012	<u>145</u>	NOTICE of Defendant's Calculation of Expenses by BEN S. BERNANKE re <u>139</u> Order on Motion to Compel, Order on Motion to Modify (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration of Kenneth M. Willner, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 11/13/2012)
11/16/2012	<u>146</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>140</u> MOTION to Take Deposition from several unknown names , <i>estimated to be no more than five persons yet to be designated by defendant as most knowledgeable IT and or HR persons, pursuant to discussions at the status hearing on October 10, 2012</i> , <i>Reply to Opposition, and Cross-Motion For Leave to Notice a Rule 30(b)(6) Motion for Deposition of Defendant Board</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 1, Transcript of Hearing 10/10/2012)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/16/2012)
11/16/2012	<u>147</u>	MOTION Cross-Motion for Leave to Take a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Defendant Board re <u>146</u> Reply to opposition to Motion,, <i>Cross-Motion for Leave to Take a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/16/2012)
11/19/2012	<u>148</u>	ERRATA <i>to plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to take Depositions, and Cross-Motion for a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant Board</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>147</u> MOTION Cross-Motion for Leave to Take a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Defendant Board re <u>146</u> Reply to opposition to Motion,, <i>Cross-Motion for Leave to Take a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of defendant</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS, <u>140</u> MOTION to Take Deposition from several unknown names , <i>estimated to be no more than five persons yet to be designated by defendant as most knowledgeable IT and or HR persons, pursuant to discussions at the status hearing on October 10, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Main Document, as Corrected by Errata, with Draft Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1 to Main Reply Document, Transcript of Hearing, 10/10/2012)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/19/2012)
11/20/2012		MINUTE ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>140</u> plaintiffs' Motion to Take Deposition from Several Unknown Names and <u>147</u> plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Leave to Take a Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Defendant. The Court will permit plaintiffs to serve one out-of-time Rule 30(b)(1) or 30(b)(6) notice of deposition on defendant, subject to the following limitations. The deposition shall be limited to questions regarding the electronic data the Board has produced to plaintiffs in response to their requests for production. To the extent that plaintiffs seek to inquire into documents or data allegedly not produced by defendant, plaintiffs are strictly limited to documents and data that plaintiffs formally requested from defendant in Rule 34 Requests for Production served prior to the July 31, 2012 close of class discovery. Plaintiffs shall serve the notice of deposition on defendant by no later than December 3, 2012. The deposition shall take place at a time and place mutually agreeable to the parties, but in

		any event, shall occur by no later than January 18, 2012. All other deadlines set by the Court remain in effect. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on November 20, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 11/20/2012)
11/26/2012	<u>149</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 11/26/2012)
11/26/2012	<u>150</u>	MOTION Extention of Deadline for Two Plaintiffs, Dorey and Williams, to Serve Supplementary Interrogatory Respsnes as Ordered, for one week, by this Motion out of time, and to Dismiss a third Plaintiff's claims Without Prejudice, who for good cause shown, health issues, was unable to respond to the Court Order, and requests dismissal re <u>139</u> Order on Motion to Compel, Order on Motion to Modify by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/26/2012)
11/27/2012	<u>151</u>	RESPONSE TO ORDER OF THE COURT re <u>139</u> Order on Motion to Compel, Order on Motion to Modify <i>Assessing against Counsel and Granting Fees and Costs a violation of applicable standards of, unwarranted by the facts, requiring an evidentiary hearing unlawful and excessive</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/27/2012)
11/28/2012	<u>152</u>	ERRATA <i>Correcting and supplementing Opposition to Cost and Fee Award, and requesting miscellaneous relief</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>139</u> Order on Motion to Compel, Order on Motion to Modify. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Memorandum in Opposition to Assessment of Costs and Fees, and request for Miscellaneous Relief as the Result of New Facts Supporting Plaintiffs Position that the Alleged Violations of Discovery Practice Standards, did not occur, and request for reconsideration)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/28/2012)
12/03/2012	<u>153</u>	STRICKEN PURSUANT TO MINUTE ORDER FILED ON 12/04/2012.....REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) Modified on 12/6/2012 (jf, ). (Entered: 12/03/2012)
12/04/2012	<u>154</u>	STRICKEN PURSUANT TO MINUTE ORDER FILED ON 12/04/2012.....ERRATA <i>To Add Exhibits to Filing of December 3, Reply Brief in Support of F.R. Civ. Rule 59(e) Motion</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration Plaintiff S. Logan Supplemental Verification of Completeness, and New Documents Just received from Employer, # <u>2</u> Supplement Documents Just Received, Part 1, # <u>3</u> Supplement Documents Just Received, Part 2, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3Letter Re Desposiions and Scope of Discovery, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Excerpts from Scheduling Order)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 12/6/2012 (jf, ). (Entered: 12/04/2012)
12/04/2012	<u>155</u>	REPLY re <u>151</u> Response to Order of the Court, filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/04/2012)
12/04/2012		MINUTE ORDER striking <u>153</u> Reply and <u>154</u> Errata. Counsel is directed to file an amended reply brief by no later than December 5, 2012. Going forward, and in view of the Court's limited resources, counsel is hereby directed not to file any errata absent exigent circumstances and without seeking and obtaining leave of the Court. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on December 4, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 12/04/2012)
12/04/2012		Set/Reset Deadlines: Amended reply brief due by 12/5/2012. (clv, ) (Entered: 12/04/2012)
12/05/2012	<u>156</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>Orders Entered on October 10, 2012 Amended Reply Filed Per Court Order, December 5, 2012</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration Exhibit 1, Declaration of Sharon Logan, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, part 1, Exhibits Received from Board, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, part 2, Exhiits Received From Board, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3, Letter Re Deposiions from Opposing Counsel, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Excerpts of Scheduling Order)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 12/05/2012)
12/06/2012	<u>157</u>	STRICKEN PURSUANT TO ORDER FILED 12/17/2012..... MOTION for Protective Order <i>allolwing three remotely located plaintiffs and one disabled plaintiff to be deposed by telephone</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) Modified on



		12/19/2012 (dr). (Entered: 12/06/2012)
12/11/2012	<u>158</u>	RESPONSE re <u>150</u> MOTION Extention of Deadline for Two Plaintiffs, Dorey and Williams, to Serve Supplementary Interrogatory Respsnes as Ordered, for one week, by this Motion out of time, and to Dismiss a third Plaintiff's claims Without Prejudice, who for good cau filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/11/2012)
12/14/2012	<u>159</u>	STRICKEN PURSUANT TO ORDER FILED 12/17/2012..... MOTION Supplement Record by Necessary Evidentiary Exhibits, and Protective Order For Complete Disclosure in Topics for 30(b)(6) Depositionn re Order on Motion to Take Deposition,,,,, Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief,,,,, <i>as warranted by the circumstances</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment 1–Plaintiffs' First Request for Electronic Personnel Systems Discovery, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Attachment 2–Plaintiffs' Second Electronic Discovery Request, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Attachment 3–Electronic Files, not attached, but incorporated by this reference, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Attachment 4–Defendant's Initial Objections to First Request for Electronic Systgem and Documents Reqt, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Attachment 5–Defendant's Initial Objections to Plaintiffs' Second E Discovery Request, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Attachment 6, Defendant's Objections to Plaintiffs Third Request for Production of E Documents, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Attachment 7, Notice of 30(b)(6) Depposition of Board, with List of Topics)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 12/19/2012 (dr). (Entered: 12/14/2012)
12/17/2012		MINUTE ORDER striking <u>159</u> plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement Record for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(b) and Local Civil Rule 7(m). Plaintiffs' filing fails to include a separate motion that states "with particularity the grounds for seeking the order" as required by Federal Rule 7(b)(1)(B) and states "the relief sought" as required by Federal Rule 7(b)(1)(C). In this case, the Rule is not a mere formality, as it is difficult to discern what relief plaintiffs are actually seeking in this filing. The text of the memorandum argues for supplementation of the evidentiary record, while the proposed order only seeks to adopt a timeline for objections to a notice of deposition. The Court also finds that plaintiffs' motion fails to comply with the meet and confer requirements of Local Rule 7(m) under these circumstances. If plaintiffs seek to re–file this document, plaintiffs are hereby directed to provide more detail as to which specific issues were raised in good faith with defendant and the specific issues to which defendant is opposed. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on December 17, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 12/17/2012)
12/17/2012		MINUTE ORDER striking <u>157</u> plaintiffs' motion for a protective order for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(b) and Local Civil Rule 7(c). Plaintiffs' filing fails to include both a motion and a proposed order as required by those Rules. The Court also finds that plaintiffs have not satisfied Rule 7(m) in this instance because their statement that defense counsel opposes the motion and "expects to depose all plaintiffs on all subjects without any limits" does not appear to address the issues raised by plaintiffs in the filing: whether certain plaintiffs' depositions may be taken by telephone. Accordingly, if plaintiffs chose to re–file this document, plaintiffs' Local Rule 7(m) certification must address which specific issues were raised in good faith with defendant and the specific issues to which defendant is opposed. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on December 17, 2012. (lcegs2) (Entered: 12/17/2012)
12/19/2012	<u>160</u>	MOTION for Protective Order <i>Regarding Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/19/2012)
12/24/2012	<u>161</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 12/24/2012)
12/28/2012	<u>162</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>To Supplement the Evidentiary Record for Discovery Requests by Plaintiffs Upon Defendant Board for E Discovery (three sets) pursuant to LCvR5.2(b) and to Correct Misinformation in the Record as to the Scope of Plaintiffs Discovery Requests and Responses, and to refile this Motion in Accordance with the Court's Instructions per Minute Order of December 17, 2012, and to supplement the record for re–verifications by all plaintiffs regarding a Ruling of the Court on October 10, 2012, requiring inquiry and re–verification</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1–Plaintiffs First Set of ESI Discovery, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2–Plaintiffs Second Set of ESI Discovery, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3–Ds R E

		Responses–Partial, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4–D's Second Responses to Discovery, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5–Ds Second E–Responses, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6–Ds Responses to Plaintiffs third set of E Discovery, Requests for All Data for All employees and officers, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7– Notice of Deposition 30(b)(6), # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit 10–Ps Third E–Discovery Request (Labeled 2nd, Schedule C) Served July 4, 2012, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit 9–Emails of December, # <u>10</u> Declaration Exhibit 8–Part 1, Hill and Logan Declararions and Docs, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8, Part 2, New Exhibits Furnished by Board to Logan, Part I, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8, Part 3, New Exhibits Furnished by Board to Logan, Part 2, # <u>13</u> Declaration Exh 8, Part 4, New Declarations Adams,Carter,Clay, Cohen, Dorey, # <u>14</u> Declaration Exhibit 8,Part 5, Hardy, Maathews McGhee, Proctor, Cohen, Dorey, # <u>15</u> Declaration Exhibit 8,Part 6, Artis, Terrell, Williams, Supplementary Filings and Responses as Ordered by Court 10/10/2012)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 12/29/2012)
01/02/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>162</u> motion for leave to file motion to supplement record with discovery requests. On December 19, 2012, defendant filed a motion for a protective order, alleging that plaintiffs' 30(b)(6) notice of deposition did not comply with the Court's November 20, 2012 Order limiting the 30(b)(6) notice to "documents and data that plaintiffs formally requested from defendant in Rule 34 Requests for Production served prior to the July 31, 2012 close of class discovery." Court's Minute Order of November 20, 2012. Instead of opposing defendant's motion, plaintiffs filed this motion to "supplement the record" with certain discovery requests that plaintiffs allege were properly served on defendant. Plaintiffs contend that supplementing the record is necessary so that the Court may "determine the scope of the [30(b)(6)] deposition, which by definition the court has limited to prior timely discovery requests...." Pl.'s Mot. for Leave to File at 2. The Court disagrees. Plaintiffs' arguments regarding the scope of the 30(b)(6) deposition are more appropriately addressed in an opposition to defendant's December 19, 2012 motion for a protective order, and not in this separate motion. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for leave to file is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 2, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/02/2013)
01/02/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>161</u> Motion for Extension of Time to File Motion to Certify Class. The Court hereby suspends the January 4, 2013 deadline for the filing of a motion for class certification. The Court will set a new deadline at a later date. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 2, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/02/2013)
01/02/2013	<u>163</u>	RESPONSE re <u>160</u> MOTION for Protective Order <i>Regarding Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice Opposition to Motion for Protective Order,with Evidentiary Exhibits Demonstrating Meeting of All Criteria for Relief Requested in Form of Wide Scope of Deposition under rules set by this Court, and for Stay of Other Discovery Pending Resolution</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A, Summary of Evidence Exhibits Opposition to Protective Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B–Cross–Index, 30(b)(6) "Topics" Cross–Indexed to Discovery Actually Requested, Plaintiffs Three Sets of Discovery as Served, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs Set I of ESI Discovery, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2A, Plaintiffs Set II of ESI Discovery, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7, Plaintiffs Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition, with Topics–See Also Exhibit B, Above, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2B, Plaintiffs Set III, Discovery, Requests for Detailed ESI Files, all Personnel, July 4, 2012)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 01/02/2013)
01/11/2013	<u>164</u>	REPLY in support of re <u>160</u> MOTION for Protective Order <i>Regarding Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A – Defendant's Objections to Plaintiffs' Third Request for Production)(Willner, Kenneth) Modified on 1/13/2013 to correct docket event(jf, ). (Entered: 01/11/2013)
01/15/2013	<u>165</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Supplemental Factual Authority Supporting Its Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter or Amend (Dkt. 149), In Order To Correct Material Misstatements Of Fact By Plaintiffs</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit 5)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 01/15/2013)
01/16/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>165</u> defendant's motion for leave to file a supplemental memorandum. The memorandum lodged with defendant's motion for leave to file is hereby deemed filed. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 16, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/16/2013)

01/16/2013	<u>166</u>	SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM to re <u>149</u> Memorandum in opposition to re <u>141</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment Orders Entered on October 10, 2012 filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (jf, ) (Entered: 01/16/2013)
01/18/2013	<u>167</u>	MOTION to Strike <u>166</u> Supplemental Memorandum <i>and evidentiary materials filed in Doc 165, and Motion Doc # 165, with attachments as containing false and infamaory materials in violation of Rule 11, and local rule 7(m)</i> , Counter MOTION for Leave to File Evidentiary Materials and Declaration of Deponent on an incomplete and Suspended Deposition, where Cross-Examination has not occurred in violation of the Civil Rules of Civil Procedure, and where misleading statements have tainted the evidence submitted by the Federal Agency, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Declaration Exhibit "A" Evidentiary Supplement, Declaration of Earnestine Hill, January 16, 2013, # <u>2</u> Supplement Exhibit "B" Evidentiary Supplement, Job History Report, Board of Governors Cumulative Ellis, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit "1" Hill Deposition, Read and Sign Notice 010913, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Attachment 1-1 to Hill Declaration, After-Discovered Documents Vol 1, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Attachment 1-2, to Hill Declaration Vol 2, After-Discovered Documents)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 01/18/2013)
01/18/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>167</u> Motion to Strike. Plaintiffs seek to strike the supplemental memorandum that the Court accepted for filing on January 16, 2013, apparently on a theory that information contained in the supplemental memorandum violates Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11. Plaintiffs have not, however, followed any of the procedures required for a Rule 11 motion. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c)(2). Accordingly, to the extent that the motion seeks any relief pursuant to Rule 11, the motion is denied. Plaintiffs have also moved for leave to file a response to Docket 166, defendant's supplemental memorandum in opposition to Docket 141. It is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion for leave to file is GRANTED. The memorandum lodged with plaintiffs' motion to strike is hereby deemed filed as a supplement to Docket 156, plaintiffs' reply in further support of motion to alter or amend. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 18, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/18/2013)
01/18/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>160</u> defendant's motion for protective order. For substantially the reasons identified by defendant, plaintiffs' motion exceeds the narrow scope of inquiry permitted by the Court's November 20, 2012 Order. In particular, the Court granted the request for a 30(b)(6) deposition in view of plaintiffs' representation that the electronic data produced by the Board was missing critical elements and was not useable. The Court ordered that the deposition was "limited to questions regarding the electronic data the Board has produced" but that plaintiffs may inquire about information allegedly formally requested but not produced. See November 20, 2012 Minute Order. The proposed 30(b)(6) notice, however, far exceeds that scope. For example, requests number seventeen through twenty-five indicate that they are "reserved for new information." Other requests ask broad questions such as "how a person becomes a manager or supervisor" without indicating whether the request seeks information about data already produced or data requested but not produced. Having requested and received leave of court to serve an out-of-time deposition notice, plaintiffs have failed to establish that the discovery they seek fits within the narrow scope of the Court's Order. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' December 4, 2012 Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition is stricken. In its motion, defendant proposes that plaintiffs be given another opportunity to serve a proper Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice. Accordingly, the Court will permit plaintiffs to serve a revised Rule 30(b)(6) notice of deposition by no later than January 28, 2013. The notice shall specify with particularity the areas of inquiry plaintiffs intend to pursue in order to understand the data that the Board has already produced to the plaintiffs. Each line of inquiry shall make reference to specific questions about specific documents and data produced by the Board. To the extent that plaintiffs seek to inquire as to Rule 34 discovery properly served on the Board but allegedly not produced, each line of inquiry must specifically identify the request for production, the alleged deficiency in the Board's response, and how that deficiency impacts plaintiffs' ability to use specific aspects of the electronic data produced by the Board. This will be plaintiffs' final opportunity to serve an out-of-time Rule 30(b)(6) notice of deposition. If the revised 30(b)(6) notice fails to comply with this Order, it will be stricken with prejudice. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 18, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/18/2013)

02/06/2013	<u>168</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Joshua P. Chadwick on behalf of BEN S. BERNANKE (Chadwick, Joshua) (Entered: 02/06/2013)
02/12/2013	<u>169</u>	MOTION for Protective Order <i>for Three Remotely Located Plaintiffs to Avoid Burdensome and Expensive Depositions by Telephonic or Video Depositions, and documents in advance of depositions</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Redacted Job History Report_Board Employee/Plaintiff)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/12/2013)
02/14/2013	<u>170</u>	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS before Judge Emmet G. Sullivan held on 10/10/12; Page Numbers: 1–58. Date of Issuance:2/14/13. Court Reporter/Transcriber Catalina Kerr, Telephone number 202–354–3258, Court Reporter Email Address : catykerr@msn.com.<P></P>For the first 90 days after this filing date, the transcript may be viewed at the courthouse at a public terminal or purchased from the court reporter referenced above. After 90 days, the transcript may be accessed via PACER. Other transcript formats, (multi–page, condensed, CD or ASCII) may be purchased from the court reporter.<P> <b>NOTICE RE REDACTION OF TRANSCRIPTS:</b> The parties have twenty–one days to file with the court and the court reporter any request to redact personal identifiers from this transcript. If no such requests are filed, the transcript will be made available to the public via PACER without redaction after 90 days. The policy, which includes the five personal identifiers specifically covered, is located on our website at ww.dcd.uscourts.gov.<P></P> Redaction Request due 3/7/2013. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 3/17/2013. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 5/15/2013.(Kerr, Catalina) (Entered: 02/14/2013)
02/26/2013	<u>171</u>	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>Depose Two Named Plaintiffs</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/26/2013)
02/28/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>171</u> unopposed motion for extension of time to depose Donna Dorey and Chrystal Clay. Donna Dorey and Chrystal Clay shall appear for deposition by no later than March 29, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 28, 2013. (lcegs4) (Entered: 02/28/2013)
02/28/2013		Set/Reset Deadlines: Donna Dorey and Chrystal Clay to appear for deposition by 3/29/2013. (mac) (Entered: 02/28/2013)
02/28/2013	<u>172</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>169</u> MOTION for Protective Order <i>for Three Remotely Located Plaintiffs to Avoid Burdensome and Expensive Depositions by Telephonic or Video Depositions, and documents in advance of depositions</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/28/2013)
03/07/2013	<u>173</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>169</u> MOTION for Protective Order <i>for Three Remotely Located Plaintiffs to Avoid Burdensome and Expensive Depositions by Telephonic or Video Depositions, and documents in advance of depositions to be pre–marked and submitted by defendant prior to each deposition</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/07/2013)
03/11/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>169</u> plaintiffs' motion for a protective order. In the reply, plaintiffs' counsel represented that Ms. Matthews is willing to travel to the D.C. area to be deposed after her doctor has approved such travel. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for a protective order as to the deposition of Ms. Matthews is DENIED. The deposition of Ms. Matthews shall be scheduled at a date and time consistent with medical advice. It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in view of the remote locations and personal circumstances of plaintiffs Georgianna Terrell and Tracy Newton–Adams, the motion for a protective order is GRANTED IN PART as follows: the depositions of Ms. Terrell and Ms. Newton–Adams shall be taken by videoconference before a licensed court reporter, with plaintiffs' counsel and defense counsel both participating remotely. Plaintiffs' counsel remains responsible for ensuring his clients' appearance for and participation in the depositions. Plaintiffs' counsel is hereby advised that the failure of either Ms. Terrell or Ms. Newton–Adams to appear for and participate in their video depositions may result in their dismissal from the case and/or other sanctions. The parties remain free to elect to conduct the depositions in person but only if plaintiffs' counsel and defense counsel are both physically present. It is FURTHER ORDERED that the depositions of Ms. Terrell and Ms. Newton–Adams shall take place by no later than April 12, 2013. To the extent not



		otherwise indicated herein, plaintiffs' motion is DENIED. In particular, the Court declines to establish a procedure for sending exhibits prior to depositions taken by videoconference. The parties shall confer in good faith with the goal of determining a procedure that is reasonable under the circumstances of each deposition. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on March 11, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 03/11/2013)
03/18/2013	<u>174</u>	MOTION for Protective Order <i>Regarding Plaintiffs Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition notice</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A, # <u>3</u> Exhibit B, # <u>4</u> Exhibit C, # <u>5</u> Exhibit D, # <u>6</u> Exhibit E, # <u>7</u> Exhibit F, # <u>8</u> Exhibit G, # <u>9</u> Exhibit H)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 03/18/2013)
03/30/2013	<u>175</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion for A Protective Order Pursuant to 30(b)(6) Deposition of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/30/2013)
04/02/2013	<u>176</u>	NOTICE <i>Of Unopposed Motion for seven day extension</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS re <u>175</u> MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant's Motion for A Protective Order Pursuant to 30(b)(6) Deposition of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System</i> (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/02/2013)
04/04/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting as unopposed <u>175</u> plaintiffs' motion for extension of time to file opposition to defendant's motion for a protective order. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition by no later than April 8, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 4, 2013. (lcegs4) (Entered: 04/04/2013)
04/04/2013		Set/Reset Deadlines: Responses due by 4/8/2013 (clv, ) (Entered: 04/04/2013)
04/05/2013	<u>177</u>	MOTION In-Camera Inspection by the Court or a Master, with investigation of Privileged and not Privileged Documents and Electronic Disk <i>pursuant to allegations of misconduct of Counsel for plaintiffs</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A, Email Alleging Alteration, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B, Email Alleging False Documents and Boilerplate)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/05/2013)
04/05/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying without prejudice <u>177</u> plaintiffs' motion for in-camera inspection for failure to comply with Local Civil Rule 7(m). In addition to the meet and confer obligations required by Rule 7(m), the Court strongly encourages the parties to attempt to resolve such discovery disputes among themselves prior to bringing such matters before the Court. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 5, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/05/2013)
04/05/2013	<u>178</u>	ORDER granting <u>150</u> plaintiffs' motion for voluntary dismissal of Donna Love-Blackwell. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 5, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/05/2013)
04/08/2013	<u>179</u>	RESPONSE re <u>174</u> MOTION for Protective Order <i>Regarding Plaintiffs Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition notice with responsive exhibits</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibits 1, 2, 3, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4-Amended Rule 26, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6-People Soft Brochure)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/08/2013)
04/09/2013	<u>180</u>	MOTION Correct Exhibit Upload, Strike 179-5 and Substitute PeopleSoft Brochure as Exhibit 6 to Doic # 179 re <u>179</u> Response to motion, by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6-Brochure People Soft ESI HRM system, Internet Download)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/09/2013)
04/09/2013		MINUTE ORDER treating as opposed and granting over objection <u>180</u> motion to correct exhibit upload. Plaintiffs' counsel is hereby directed to contact the Clerk's Office to effectuate the substitution of the exhibit referenced in plaintiffs' motion. Plaintiffs' counsel is responsible for ensuring that the exhibit is properly substituted. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 9, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/09/2013)
04/12/2013	<u>181</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Corrected Opposition to Defendants Motion for a Protective Order and six Exhibits thereto, and to Strike Oposition as Filed (Doc # 179) as replaced by this material for which leave to file is requested</i> , MOTION Substitution of Corrected Opposition for Erroneous Submission to Eliminate Exhibit 4 and references as needed to reflect facts re <u>179</u> Response to motion, <i>for a protective order regarding scope of Defendant's 30(b)(6) deposition</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS

		(Attachments: # <u>1</u> Memorandum in Support Corrected Opposition, to Replace Previously Filed but Incorrect Opposition to Motion of Defendant for A Protective Order, this Memorandum Replaces Erroneous Version if Court Allows Substitution, with 6 Exhibits Following, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibits 1, 2, 3, Plaintiffs' three sets of discovery served upon Def., # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5, Job History Report # 022, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6–PeopleSoft Brochure,, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7, Meet and Confer Regarding Scope of 30(b)(6) Lines of Inquiry, for Electronic System Inquiries (Topics))(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/12/2013)
04/15/2013	<u>182</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>181</u> MOTION for Leave to File <i>Corrected Opposition to Defendants Motion for a Protective Order and six Exhibits thereto, and to Strike Oposition as Filed (Doc # 179) as replaced by this material for which leave to file is requested</i> MOTION Substitution of Corrected Opposition for Erroneous Submission to Eliminate Exhibit 4 and references as needed to reflect facts re <u>179</u> Response to motion, <i>for a protective order regarding scope of Defendant's 30(b)(6) deposition&lt; filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 04/15/2013)</i>
04/16/2013	<u>183</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>181</u> MOTION for Leave to File <i>Corrected Opposition to Defendants Motion for a Protective Order and six Exhibits thereto, and to Strike Oposition as Filed (Doc # 179) as replaced by this material for which leave to file is requested</i> MOTION Substitution of Corrected Opposition for Erroneous Submission to Eliminate Exhibit 4 and references as needed to reflect facts re <u>179</u> Response to motion, <i>for a protective order regarding scope of Defendant's 30(b)(6) deposition&lt; filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 04/16/2013)</i>
05/06/2013	<u>184</u>	ORDER granting <u>174</u> Motion for Protective Order; denying <u>181</u> Motion for Leave to File. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on May 6, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 05/06/2013)
05/06/2013		MINUTE ORDER directing the parties to submit a joint status report regarding the status of class discovery and proposing dates for the briefing of plaintiff's motion for class certification by no later than May 17, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on May 6, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 05/06/2013)
05/06/2013		Set/Reset Deadlines: Status Report due by 5/17/2013 (mac) (Entered: 05/06/2013)
05/13/2013	<u>185</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Joint Status Report regarding Class Certification Discovery, with proposed dates for Brief of Motion for Class Certification, of seven days, until May 24, 2013</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/13/2013)
05/14/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>185</u> plaintiffs' unopposed motion for extension of time to file joint status report. The status report shall be filed by no later than May 24, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on May 14, 2013. (lcegs4) (Entered: 05/14/2013)
05/14/2013		Set/Reset Deadlines: Status Report due by 5/24/2013 (clv, ) (Entered: 05/14/2013)
05/24/2013	<u>186</u>	STATUS REPORT by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/24/2013)
05/24/2013	<u>187</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Plaintiffs' Part, as to Non–Completion of Phase I discovery, regarding Class Certification, and Necessity for Data Correction and Production of Omitted and Apparently Withheld Data (ESI) and data descriptions, with Attachments describing what was requested and opinion of Expert Witness as to data deficiencies and errors</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 1–Plaintiffs First Request for Production and Interrogatories, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 2–Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit #3–Plaintiffs Third Request for Production, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 4–Deposition Exhibit, Evidence of Available Data and Information Not Produced, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 5–Evidence of Available Information Not Produced, # <u>6</u> Declaration Exhibit # 6–Evidence of Class Similarity–Long–Term Pattern and Practices, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 7–Index to Redacted WorkPapers and Results of Expert Opinion, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 8–Report Opinions on Data Deficiencies Contemporaneous, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit # 9–Resume of Data and Statistical Expert, Arthur J. Kendall)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/24/2013)

06/04/2013	<u>188</u>	Second MOTION to Compel <i>Renewing and Expanding Previous Motion to Compel Production of Usable Electronic Personnel Systems Information, by reason of New Evidence and Unusable Data and Failure to Produce Explanatory Materials</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1–First Set of ESI Production Requests, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2–Second Set of ESI Production Requests, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3–Third Set of ESI Production Requests, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Ellis Job History, Report # 022, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5–Matthews Request for Employment Action, with Job Code, 06/23/89, # <u>6</u> Declaration Exhibit 6, Declaration of Matthews Job Experiences since 1963, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit 7, Dr. Kendall, Workpapers and Comments, Not Usable Data, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8, Dr. Kendall Correspondence and findings, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit 9, Def. Reply Letter Re Motion to Compel an Rule 7(m))(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/04/2013)
06/06/2013	<u>189</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>ERRATA AND CORRECTED SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Corrected Version of Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel, Entitled Plaintiffs' Motion Renewing Earlier Motion to Compel–Based Upon New Evidence–Not to Be Filed Until Leave Granted by Court, Per Prior Order)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/06/2013)
06/07/2013		NOTICE OF ERROR re <u>189</u> Motion for Leave to File; emailed to charltonwt@comcast.net, cc'd 10 associated attorneys --- The PDF file you docketed contained errors: 1. DO NOT REFILE---Exhibit(s) are a separate attachment & do not use all caps (jf, ) (Entered: 06/07/2013)
06/10/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>189</u> motion for leave to file errata. In the Court's December 4, 2012 Minute Order, the Court ordered plaintiffs' counsel not to file any errata absent exigent circumstances and without seeking and obtaining leave of Court. On June 6, 2013, plaintiffs moved for leave to file an errata in connection with plaintiffs' June 4, 2013 Motion to Compel. The proposed errata seeks to correct various typographical errors in the motion to compel and, as such, does not reflect exigent circumstances. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 10, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/10/2013)
06/20/2013	<u>190</u>	Memorandum in opposition to re <u>188</u> Second MOTION to Compel <i>Renewing and Expanding Previous Motion to Compel Production of Usable Electronic Personnel Systems Information, by reason of New Evidence and Unusable Data and Failure to Produce Explanatory Materials</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Exhibit G, # <u>8</u> Exhibit H, # <u>9</u> Exhibit I, # <u>10</u> Exhibit J, # <u>11</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 06/20/2013)
06/27/2013	<u>191</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>188</u> Second MOTION to Compel <i>Renewing and Expanding Previous Motion to Compel Production of Usable Electronic Personnel Systems Information, by reason of New Evidence and Unusable Data and Failure to Produce Explanatory Materials</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/27/2013)
06/29/2013	<u>192</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Leave to File <i>Errata, Corrections and Exhibits to Reply Brief, For Reasons stated in Motion, alleged to be exigent circumstances</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 06/29/2013)
07/01/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>192</u> plaintiffs' motion for leave to file errata. Counsel represents that a medical issue prevented him filing his reply brief in the finalized state that he desired and with all intended attachments. Counsel requests "two business days from the Court's favorable ruling" on this motion for leave to file errata. The Court notes that counsel filed his motion for leave to file two days ago and the motion describes the intended changes as being mostly ministerial in nature (correcting "5 typographical errors and also about 4 pages of duplications of argument and repetitious statements" and the omission of seven exhibits). Accordingly, the Court does not find that an additional two business days are warranted for the filing of an amended reply brief, and it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs shall file their amended reply by no later than July 2, 2013. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 1, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/01/2013)
07/02/2013	<u>193</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>192</u> Unopposed MOTION for Leave to File <i>Errata, Corrections and Exhibits to Reply Brief, For Reasons stated in Motion, alleged to be</i>

		<i>exigent circumstances</i> , <u>189</u> MOTION for Leave to File <i>ERRATA AND CORRECTED SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL</i> , <u>188</u> Second MOTION to Compel <i>Renewing and Expanding Previous Motion to Compel Production of Usable Electronic Personnel Systems Information, by reason of New Evidence and Unusable Data and Failure to Produce Explanatory Materials Amended Reply Brief</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3 B, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/02/2013)
07/08/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>188</u> plaintiffs' motion renewing earlier motion to compel. Plaintiffs argue that new evidence requires the Court to revisit and grant plaintiffs' August 17, 2012 motion to compel, which the Court denied on October 10, 2012. Accordingly, the Court will treat plaintiffs' motion as one for reconsideration. Although courts have discretion to reconsider their interlocutory orders, Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), they should be "loathe to do so in the absence of extraordinary circumstances such as where the initial decision was clearly erroneous and would work a manifest injustice." <i>Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp.</i> , 486 U.S. 800, 817 (1988) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted). "In particular, a court should grant reconsideration only when the movant demonstrates (1) an intervening change in the law; (2) discovery of new evidence not previously available; or (3) a clear error of law in the first order." <i>In re Guantanamo Bay Detainee Litig.</i> , 706 F. Supp. 2d 120, 122–23 (D.D.C. 2010) (internal citations and quotations omitted). Here, the Court denied plaintiffs' initial motion to compel because plaintiffs failed to identify any discovery request to which defendant did not appropriately respond. On reconsideration, plaintiffs argue that "job codes" existed in the electronic data held by the Board, but were not produced to plaintiffs. In their initial motion, however, plaintiffs did not argue that job codes had been wrongfully withheld. Moreover, plaintiffs again fail to identify a properly–served discovery request for such information. Rather, the only relevant document request appears to have asked for "job code or title" and the Board responded by producing job titles for all years and job codes and titles for other years. Plaintiffs also argue that reconsideration is appropriate because they have recently completed an analysis of the electronic data produced by defendant. That data, however, was produced before the close of discovery on July 31, 2012 and, therefore, plaintiffs' recent analysis of it is not a basis for reconsideration. Finally, even taking plaintiffs' arguments as true, plaintiffs have never explained how the electronic data they have received is "unusable" without job codes. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 8, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/08/2013)
07/08/2013	<u>194</u>	ORDER denying <u>141</u> Motion to Alter Judgment and awarding attorneys' fees to defendant. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 8, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/08/2013)
07/08/2013		MINUTE ORDER. The Court hereby modifies the scheduling order as follows: plaintiffs' expert report is due by no later than September 3, 2013. The deposition of plaintiff's expert shall occur by no later than September 30, 2013. Defendant's expert report is due by no later than October 31, 2013. The deposition of defendant's expert shall occur by no later than November 27, 2013. Plaintiffs' motion for class certification shall be filed by no later than January 3, 2014. Defendant's opposition shall be filed by no later than February 10, 2014. Plaintiffs' reply shall be filed by no later than February 28, 2014. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 8, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/08/2013)
07/09/2013		Set/Reset Deadlines: Plaintiff's expert report due by 9/3/13; defendant's expert report due by 10/31/13; Plaintiff's motion for class certification due by 1/3/2014; defendant's response due by 2/10/2014; plaintiff's reply due by 2/28/2014. (clv, ) (Entered: 07/09/2013)
08/05/2013	<u>195</u>	MOTION to Stay <i>All Pending Matters, to allow time for review, by United States Court of Appeals For the District of Columbia Circuit and for Enforcement of Mandate, MOTION for Reconsideration</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A– Verbatim Copy of Court's Minute Order–Scanned by Plaintiffs for reference purposes, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B–Color Scan, Red Emphasis Added to Discovery Production Reqeusted –Job Codes)(Charlton, Walter). Added MOTION for Reconsideration on 8/6/2013 (jf, ). (Entered: 08/05/2013)



08/06/2013		NOTICE OF ERROR re <u>195</u> Motion to Stay; emailed to charltonwt@comcast.net, cc'd 10 associated attorneys --- The PDF file you docketed contained errors: 1. Counsel is reminded to select all parts of their motion (jf, ) (Entered: 08/06/2013)
08/06/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying without prejudice <u>195</u> plaintiffs' Motion to Stay All Pending Matters for failure to comply with Local Civil Rule 7(m). Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 6, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/06/2013)
08/06/2013	<u>196</u>	Amended MOTION to Stay , Amended MOTION for Reconsideration by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A-Verbatim Minute Order, used to reference to page and line of this Motion., # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B-Plaintiffs requests for Job Codes, by Name and Also Documents some of which necessarily must use or contain Job Codes-Emphasis and Notes in Red Added for Clarity of Presentation)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 8/6/2013 to correct docket text (jf, ). (Entered: 08/06/2013)
08/20/2013	<u>197</u>	RESPONSE re <u>196</u> Amended MOTION to Alter Judgment as to Order on Motion to Stay, Order on Motion for Reconsideration <i>alleged factual errors demonstrated by new evidence</i> MOTION to Amend/Correct to conform to new evidence Amended MOTION to Stay All proceedings pending review and consideration of Motion to Enforce Mandate, by United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Supplemental MOTION for Reconsideration based upon <i>alleged factual errors of Court made without evidentiary hearing</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 08/20/2013)
08/27/2013	<u>198</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>195</u> MOTION to Stay All Pending Matters, to allow time for review, by United States Court of Appeals For the District of Columbia Circuit and for Enforcement of Mandate MOTION for Reconsideration, <u>196</u> Amended MOTION to Alter Judgment as to Order on Motion to Stay, Order on Motion for Reconsideration <i>alleged factual errors demonstrated by new evidence</i> MOTION to Amend/Correct to conform to new evidence Amended MOTION to Stay All proceedings pending review and consideration of Motion to Enforce Mandate, by United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Supplemental MOTION for Reconsideration based upon <i>alleged factual errors of Court made without evidentiary hearing including Expert Witness Preliminary Report intended to refute represnetations of Defendant intheir opposition</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Attachment # 1, Matthews Job Code Evidence, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Attachment # 2, EllisJob History Report #022, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Attachment 3, Request Set III All ESI Information July 4, 2012, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Attachment 4, Data Processing Expert, Preliminary Opinion/Report, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Data Processing Expert's Report of Results and Missing Data, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Cirriculum Vita, and Experience of Expert)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 08/27/2013)
08/28/2013	<u>199</u>	ORDER denying <u>196</u> Motion to Stay; denying <u>196</u> Motion for Reconsideration; directing that any future motions for reconsideration or similarly frivolous motions filed by plaintiffs that simply repeat arguments previously raised considered by the Court or that present arguments that should have been raised earlier will be stricken by the Court. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on August 28, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 08/28/2013)
09/03/2013	<u>200</u>	NOTICE of Filing Expert Reports by ALL PLAINTIFFS re Order., (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/03/2013)
09/04/2013	<u>201</u>	LARGE ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENT(S) To Filing of September 3, 2013, upload failed by ALL PLAINTIFFS Order.,. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1- Opinion of Dr. James M. Ballard, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2-Dr. Ballard-Curriculum Vitae, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3-Richard K. Hampson, Data Processing Expert-Letter Opinion, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Curriculum Vatae, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibits, 5, 6, 7 Graphs Based on Partial data, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8-Dr. Kendall-Partial Data Opinion, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit 9-Kendall Resume, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit A-Set I of Plaintiffs Discovey Requests, 10/20/2011, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit B-Plaintiffs Set II, Production 11/08/2011, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Exhibit C-Plaintiffs Set III Discovey Requests July 4, 2012, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Exhibit D, Def's Initial Response to Set I Discovey, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Exhibit E, Def's Initial Reponses to Set II Discovey, # <u>13</u> Exhibit Exhibit F, Def's Initial Reponses to Set III-Discovey)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/04/2013)

09/25/2013	<u>202</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....MOTION for Order <i>Defendant's Verified Motion for Civil Contempt Order</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) Modified on 9/26/2013 (jf, ). (Entered: 09/25/2013)
09/25/2013	<u>203</u>	MOTION for Order <i>Corrected Defendant's Verified Motion for Civil Contempt Order</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order, # <u>2</u> Exhibit A, # <u>3</u> Exhibit B)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 09/25/2013)
10/04/2013	<u>204</u>	Consent MOTION for Continuation of Deposition by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/04/2013)
10/07/2013		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>204</u> Defendant's Consent Motion for Continuation of Deposition. It is hereby ORDERED that defendant may proceed with a continuation of the deposition of plaintiffs' expert witness, Richard K. Hampson. The parties have agreed that defendant may assert any objection to Mr. Hampson's Updated Expert Report other than timeliness. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on October 7, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/07/2013)
10/09/2013	<u>205</u>	RESPONSE re <u>203</u> MOTION for Order <i>Corrected Defendant's Verified Motion for Civil Contempt Order AND for PERMISSION TO DEPOSIT FUNDS INTO COURT REGISTRY PENDING CIRCUIT COURT'S RULING ON LODGED MOTION FOR STAY OF ALL PROCEEDINGS AND RELIEF</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit C-1, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit D, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit E, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit F, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, # <u>10</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, # <u>12</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5, # <u>13</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6, # <u>14</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6-1, # <u>15</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8 (there is no 7), # <u>16</u> Exhibit Exhibit 9, # <u>17</u> Exhibit Exhibit 10, # <u>18</u> Exhibit Exhibit 11, # <u>19</u> Exhibit Exhibit 12, # <u>20</u> Exhibit Exhibit 13, # <u>21</u> Exhibit Exhibit 14, # <u>22</u> Exhibit Exhibit 15, # <u>23</u> Exhibit Exhibit 16, # <u>24</u> Exhibit Exhibit 17, # <u>25</u> Exhibit Exhibit 18)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/09/2013)
10/18/2013		DEPOSIT of Funds into the Court's Registry in the amount of \$ \$8,849.38, Receipt Number 4616059978 received from Walter Charlton on behalf of Plaintiffs and Class they seek to represent. (jf, ) (Entered: 10/18/2013)
10/21/2013	<u>206</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>203</u> MOTION for Order <i>Corrected Defendant's Verified Motion for Civil Contempt Order</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/21/2013)
10/22/2013	<u>207</u>	MOTION for Leave to File a <i>surreply to defendant Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System's</i> , MOTION Leave to File and Accept Sur-Reply re <u>206</u> Reply to opposition to Motion <i>implying contempt of court where none exists</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/22/2013)
10/25/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying as moot <u>203</u> defendant's motion for civil contempt. On October 18, 2013, the Court received notice that plaintiff's counsel deposited funds in the amount of \$8,849.38 into the Court's Registry. Although <u>194</u> the Court's order awarding attorney's fees directed that the funds be paid to the defendant by September 3, 2013, the Court finds that plaintiff's counsel has substantially complied with the order. The Court will issue a separate paper order directing the Clerk of Court to disburse the funds to the defendant. It is FURTHER ORDERED that <u>207</u> plaintiff's motion for leave to file a surreply is granted. The plaintiff's proposed surreply is hereby deemed filed in this case. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on October 25, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/25/2013)
10/25/2013	<u>208</u>	SURREPLY filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (jf, ) (Entered: 10/27/2013)
10/28/2013	<u>209</u>	REGISTRY DISBURSEMENT ORDER signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 10/25/2013. (mac) (Entered: 10/28/2013)
10/31/2013	<u>210</u>	MOTION for Leave to File <i>Errata as per Court Order, the attachment hereto is corrected upload</i> , by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Exhibit Uploaded incorrectly in Courtesy Filing, Exhibit 4 previously filed should replace Ex 14, Corrected Version is Exhibit 14-1, A redacted employee name, JOB HISTORY REPORT # 022)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/31/2013)
11/26/2013		MINUTE ORDER denying as moot <u>210</u> plaintiffs' motion for leave to file errata. In light of the D.C. Circuit's denial of plaintiffs' motion to enforce the mandate and stay

		proceedings before this Court, the plaintiffs' request to file this errata appears to be moot. If plaintiffs have a reason for seeking to file the errata with this Court, they may explain their reasons in an appropriate motion. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on November 26, 2013. (lcegs2) (Entered: 11/26/2013)
01/03/2014	<u>211</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....MOTION to Certify Class by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit A email, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit B–Fourth Amended Complaint, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit C–1, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit C, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit E, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit F, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit F–1, # <u>8</u> Exhibit Exhibit D)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 9/29/2014 (jf, ). (Entered: 01/03/2014)
01/06/2014	<u>212</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....LARGE ADDITIONAL ATTACHMENT(S) to <i>Motion to Certify Class</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>211</u> MOTION to Certify Class filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs Set I E–Discovery,served upon Defedant, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, Plaintiffs E–Discovery, Set II, served upon defendant, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 3, E–Discovery Set II served upon defendant July 4, 2012, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Exhibit 4, Def's Responses and Objections to Set I, # <u>5</u> Exhibit Exhibit 5, Def's Responses and Objections to E–Discovery Set II, # <u>6</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6, Def's Responses and Objections to Set III E–Discovery–Untimeliness and Burdensome, # <u>7</u> Exhibit Exhibit 6–1, LCvR 5 Regarding Service of Process and Documents, # <u>8</u> Affidavit Exhibit 7, Kathleen Matthews Declaration Just Prior to her Deposition, re Pattern and Practices applicable to her at Board, # <u>9</u> Exhibit Exhibit 8, Matthews Def's Exhibit # 4, Proof of Existence of Job Codes in 1989, not produced for first 9 years., # <u>10</u> Exhibit Exhibit 9, Ellis Job History Report # 022, Proof of Readily Available Enntire Job Histories, not produced, # <u>11</u> Exhibit Exhibit 15, Excerpts from Requests, which pertain to Job Code Actual Requests, or must contain that information, but not produced (Marked in Red))(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 9/29/2014 (jf, ). (Entered: 01/06/2014)
02/10/2014	<u>213</u>	RESPONSE re <u>211</u> MOTION to Certify Class <i>Opposition to Motion for Class Certification</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit 1, # <u>2</u> Exhibit 2, # <u>3</u> Exhibit 3, # <u>4</u> Exhibit 4, # <u>5</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/10/2014)
02/12/2014	<u>214</u>	SEALED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL filed by BEN S. BERNANKE (This document is SEALED and only available to authorized persons.) (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Redacted Copy of Baker Report, # <u>2</u> Exhibit UNDER SEAL Copy of Baker Report, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 02/12/2014)
02/19/2014	<u>215</u>	ORDER granting <u>214</u> SEALED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on 2/18/2014. (tcb) (Entered: 02/19/2014)
02/19/2014	<u>216</u>	SEALED Baker Report filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (This document is SEALED and only available to authorized persons.)(zjf, ) (Main Document 216 replaced on 2/21/2014) (zjf, ). (Additional attachment(s) added on 2/21/2014: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Sealed Version) (zjf, ). (Entered: 02/20/2014)
02/21/2014	<u>217</u>	Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Board's Opposition to Class Certification</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 02/21/2014)
02/21/2014		MINUTE ORDER granting <u>217</u> plaintiffs' unopposed motion for extension of time to file their reply in support of class certification. Plaintiffs shall file their reply by no later than March 31, 2014. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on February 21, 2014. (lcegs4) (Entered: 02/21/2014)
02/23/2014		Set/Reset Deadlines: Plaintiff Reply due by 3/31/2014. (mac) (Entered: 02/23/2014)
03/27/2014	<u>218</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>File Plaintiffs Reply Brief of five (5) work days</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/27/2014)
03/28/2014	<u>219</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>211</u> MOTION to Certify Class <i>With Rebuttal Expert Opinion and Exhibits</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Rebuttal Expert's Report, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Dates Class Representatives Terminated, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Board Purported Data Map cross–walk, # <u>4</u> Exhibit Data Available Evidence

		Sealed)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 03/28/2014)
03/29/2014	<u>220</u>	ENTERED IN ERROR.....ERRATA <i>replacing wrong file uploaded as Attachment 1 to Reply, this is the Correct and signed Exhibit A, Rebuttal Experts Report</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>211</u> MOTION to Certify Class filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Corrected Exhibit A, replaces wrong file uploaded yesterday as attachment 1)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 9/29/2014 (jf, ). (Entered: 03/29/2014)
04/02/2014		MINUTE ORDER denying as moot <u>218</u> plaintiffs' motion for an extension of time. Pursuant to the Court's February 21, 2014 minute order, plaintiffs' reply in support of <u>211</u> their motion to certify class was due on March 31, 2014. On March 27, 2014, plaintiffs filed <u>218</u> their motion requesting that the Court extend that deadline to April 4, 2014, and indicated that the defendant opposed the motion. On March 28, 2014, plaintiffs filed <u>219</u> their reply in support of their motion to certify class. Because plaintiffs' reply brief was timely filed, the Court finds that <u>218</u> their request for an extension of the filing deadline is moot. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on April 2, 2014. (lcegs2) (Entered: 04/02/2014)
05/01/2014	<u>221</u>	UN-SEALED MOTION filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit B-Under Seal, with Attachment 1 thereto)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/17/2014 (zjf, ). (Entered: 05/01/2014)
05/14/2014	<u>222</u>	RESPONSE re <u>221</u> SEALED MOTION filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/14/2014)
05/21/2014	<u>223</u>	UN-SEALED REPLY TO OPPOSITION filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS re <u>221</u> SEALED MOTION filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1, Experts Revised Report, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2, Experts Report, # <u>3</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2-1, List of Verifying Sample-15%)(Charlton, Walter) Modified on 10/17/2014 (zjf, ). (Entered: 05/21/2014)
09/29/2014	<u>224</u>	ORDER denying <u>211</u> motion to certify class, denying <u>221</u> motion to supplement the record. The parties are directed to read this Order in its entirety. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 29, 2014. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/29/2014)
09/29/2014	<u>225</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 29, 2014. (lcegs2) (Entered: 09/29/2014)
10/01/2014		Set/Reset Deadlines: Parties Proposed Schedule due by 10/13/2014. Plaintiffs Show Cause due by 10/13/2014. (mac) (Entered: 10/01/2014)
10/13/2014	<u>226</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>Defendant's Phase II Status Report</i> by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 10/13/2014)
10/14/2014	<u>227</u>	STATUS REPORT <i>and Proposed Scheduling Order Phase II, In Response to Court Order pertaining to Phase II Discovery</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS, CYNTHIA ARTIS, BARBARA CARTER, SHERYL COHEN, DONNA ANN DOREY, SHARON ELLIS, KIMBERLY HARDY, EARNESTINE HILL, SHARON LOGAN, KATHLEEN A. MATTHEWS, MICHELLE MCGHEE, TRACY NEWTON-ADAMS, LINDA PROCTOR, GEORGIANNA TERRELL, YVETTE WILLIAMS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 10/14/2014)
10/17/2014		MINUTE ORDER. On September 29, 2014, this Court denied <u>211</u> plaintiffs' motion for class certification. In <u>224</u> its Order, this Court directed the parties to file proposed schedules for Phase II of this case and also directed the plaintiffs to show cause why certain documents should not be unsealed. The Court set a deadline of Monday, October 13, 2014 for both of these filings. Plaintiffs did not respond to the Court's Order to show cause. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that ECF Nos. 221, 221-1, 223, 223-1, 223-2, and 223-3 shall be UNSEALED. The parties have filed <u>226</u> , <u>227</u> competing status reports containing their recommendations for further proceedings. In <u>227</u> plaintiffs' status report, the plaintiffs asserted that they intended to file a Rule 23(f) appeal of the Court's Order denying class certification on October 14, 2014 and to file a motion to stay proceedings on October 15, 2014. The Court has received neither a motion to stay nor a notice of any appeal. Accordingly, the Court will proceed to resolve the parties' competing proposals. Defendant asserts that plaintiffs' complaint



		<p>does not set forth sufficient factual description of the plaintiffs' individual claims of discrimination and therefore requests that the Court order the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint setting forth such facts. Plaintiffs counter that their complaint states a general pattern-or-practice claim and that they cannot supply any additional facts absent further discovery. Plaintiffs nonetheless ask that the Court institute a schedule whereby they would be permitted to amend their complaint at the close of merits-related discovery. To begin, the Court notes that a complaint serves to provide a defendant with notice of the claims asserted against it and therefore to structure the discovery process. See <i>Chennareddy v. Dodaro</i>, 282 F.R.D. 9, 12 (D.D.C. 2012) ("plaintiffs are simply not entitled to discovery on the merits of their claims until they have properly pled such claims"). Accordingly, if plaintiffs intend to file an amended complaint, that complaint must be filed before Phase II discovery begins. Plaintiffs are therefore ORDERED to file any amended complaint by no later than November 7, 2014. The defendant shall file its response to any amended complaint or, if no amended complaint is filed, any motion requesting whatever relief the defendant feels is appropriate in connection with <u>127</u> the currently operative complaint, by no later than December 8, 2014. The Court STAYS discovery pending further Order of this Court. It is FURTHER ORDERED that, in light of the passage of time since this case was filed, the fact that two plaintiffs have been dismissed from the case for failing to participate in litigation, and the previous representation of another plaintiff that she intended only to participate in a class action, plaintiffs shall file signed affidavits from each of the fourteen named plaintiffs indicating whether that plaintiff intends to continue with this litigation. Such affidavits shall be filed by no later than November 7, 2014. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on October 17, 2014. (lcegs2) (Entered: 10/17/2014)</p>
10/20/2014		<p>Set/Reset Deadlines: Amended Complaint. due by 11/7/2014. Answer or Motion Requesting Whatever Relief The Defendant Feels Is Appropriate In Connection With Currently Operative Complaint due by 12/8/2014. Affidavits due by 11/7/2014. (mac) (Entered: 10/20/2014)</p>
11/07/2014	<u>228</u>	<p>MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>Contact four out of town and/or out of touch plaintiffs regarding their required affidavits as per Minute Order of October 17, 2014</i>, MOTION to Stay re Order,,,,,,,,,,,,, of October 17, 2014 for those four plaintiffs who were currently unreachable to convey requirements of the Court's Order by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Affidavit Exhibit 1, 8 Responsive Plaintiffs' Affidavits to Minute Order of October 17, 2014)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/07/2014)</p>
11/10/2014	<u>229</u>	<p>NOTICE <i>correcting and/or amending response of two plaintiffs (Ellis and Logan) for correction of their previous responses</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS re <u>228</u> MOTION for Extension of Time to <i>Contact four out of town and/or out of touch plaintiffs regarding their required affidavits as per Minute Order of October 17, 2014</i> MOTION to Stay re Order,,,,,,,,,,,,, of October 17, 2014 for those four plaintiffs who were currently unreachable to convey requirements of the Court's Order (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Affidavit Exhibit 1-Affidavits of Mrs. Ellis and Mrs. Logan, supplementing and/or correcting their responses filed on November 7, 2014)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 11/10/2014)</p>
11/10/2014		<p>MINUTE ORDER denying <u>228</u> plaintiffs' motion for extension of time. This Court has previously warned plaintiffs' counsel of the need to comply with the meet-and-confer requirements of Local Rule 7(m). See Minute Orders of December 17, 2012. That Rule requires that "[b]efore filing any nondispositive motion in a civil action, counsel shall discuss the anticipated motion with opposing counsel in a good-faith effort to determine whether there is any opposition to the relief sought and, if there is, to narrow the areas of disagreement.... A party shall include in its motion a statement that the required discussion occurred, and a statement as to whether the motion is opposed." Local Civ. R. 7(m). Plaintiffs' request for extension of time, which was filed at 11:10 p.m. on the date plaintiffs' filings were due, contains no description of any such discussions and does not indicate whether the motion is opposed by the defendant. In light of plaintiffs' failure to comply with Local Rule 7(m), the request for an extension of time is DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on November 10, 2014. (lcegs2) (Entered: 11/10/2014)</p>
12/08/2014	<u>230</u>	<p>MOTION to Strike Class Allegations and for an Order Directing Plaintiffs to File an Amended Complaint by BEN S. BERNANKE (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 12/08/2014)</p>

12/22/2014	<u>231</u>	RESPONSE re <u>230</u> MOTION to Strike Class Allegations and for an Order Directing Plaintiffs to File an Amended Complaint <i>as based upon incorrect facts and inapplicable law in a pattern and practice case of racial discrimination proceeding for 19 years as a pattern and practice case</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 12/22/2014)
01/02/2015	<u>232</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>230</u> MOTION to Strike Class Allegations and for an Order Directing Plaintiffs to File an Amended Complaint filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 01/02/2015)
01/07/2015	<u>233</u>	MOTION for Trial by Jury on Disputed Factual Issues Except the Magnitude of All Damages and Physical and/or Emotional Harm, with appropriate relief expediting the trial by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 01/07/2015)
01/15/2015	<u>234</u>	RESPONSE re <u>233</u> MOTION for Trial by Jury on Disputed Factual Issues Except the Magnitude of All Damages and Physical and/or Emotional Harm, with appropriate relief expediting the trial filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 01/15/2015)
01/23/2015	<u>235</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>233</u> MOTION for Trial by Jury on Disputed Factual Issues Except the Magnitude of All Damages and Physical and/or Emotional Harm, with appropriate relief expediting the trial by the Court or if available to a Federal Agency on these issues a Jury Trial filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 01/23/2015)
01/25/2015	<u>236</u>	ERRATA Correcting Reply Brief as Attachment 1 hereto, required by Erroneous and Duplicative Materials as Originally Filed caused by Counsel's Illness among other causes by ALL PLAINTIFFS <u>233</u> MOTION for Trial by Jury on Disputed Factual Issues Except the Magnitude of All Damages and Physical and/or Emotional Harm, with appropriate relief expediting the trial filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Errata Attachment # 1, Corrected Reply Brief, Replaces Reply Brief to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs Request for a Trial at this time)(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 01/25/2015)
01/26/2015		MINUTE ORDER. In <u>225</u> the Court's most recent Memorandum Opinion in this case, the Court recounted that "[p]laintiffs have repeatedly wasted this Court's and the defendant's time and resources by filing timely, but incomplete, versions of pleadings and then filing one or more untimely 'corrected' versions." Opinion, ECF No. 225 at 15 n.8. Indeed, the Court previously entered a Minute Order, on December 4, 2012, requiring plaintiffs to seek leave of Court before filing untimely errata. In <u>225</u> the Court's Opinion, the Court ordered that additional untimely errata filed in connection with <u>211</u> plaintiffs' motion for class certification be stricken from the docket. See Opinion, ECF No. 225 at 15 nn. 8–9. The Court also warned that "future failures to comply with Court Orders may result in this case being dismissed with prejudice." Id. at 15 n.8. The Court has also warned plaintiffs' counsel about the need to comply with Local Civil Rule 7(m), which requires that "[b]efore filing any nondispositive motion in a civil action, counsel shall discuss the anticipated motion with opposing counsel in a good-faith effort to determine whether there is any opposition to the relief sought and, if there is, to narrow the areas of disagreement.... A party shall include in its motion a statement that the required discussion occurred, and a statement as to whether the motion is opposed." See also Minute Order of November 10, 2014. Plaintiffs' counsel, however, persists in flouting these warnings. On January 23, 2015, plaintiffs filed <u>235</u> their reply brief in further support of <u>233</u> their motion for a jury trial. Two days later, plaintiffs filed <u>236</u> a pleading seeking leave to file as "errata" a "corrected reply brief." Although the pleading, styled "errata and motion for leave to file," was filed within the deadline for filing a reply brief, it did not indicate whether defendant's counsel had been consulted in connection with the motion as required by Local Civil Rule 7(m). The pleading, moreover, indicated that "a substantial drafting error" in the first reply brief "required substantial corrections of referenced materials." This is not the first time the plaintiffs have filed with the Court briefs that contained misstatements of referenced materials. See Opinion, ECF No. 225 at 19 n.10 (noting in connection with an untimely expert report that "plaintiffs concede that they 'provided the court with inaccurate statistical data'"). Because plaintiffs have again failed to follow Local Civil Rule 7(m), it is hereby ORDERED that <u>236</u> plaintiffs' motion for leave to file errata is DENIED. The Court reiterates its warning to plaintiffs' counsel that future failures to comply with Court Orders may result in this case being

		dismissed with prejudice, <i>Bristol Petroleum Corp. v. Harris</i> , 901 F.2d 165, 167–68 (D.C. Cir. 1990); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), and the imposition of additional sanctions as appropriate. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on January 26, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 01/26/2015)
05/04/2015	<u>237</u>	MOTION for Hearing to <i>Expedite Phase II of Scheduling Order, and to Specifically Order that "Merits Discovery" includes disclosure of employee pattern and practice data for all similarly situated employees and competitors for advancements and upward transfers, with full disclosure of previously requested but withheld electronic evidence on Pattern and Practice Racial Disparate Treatment and Disparate Impact, with reconsideration of prior Orders as necessary to conform the Agency's Actions to applicable evidentiary production Rules and Title VII law and Constitutional Procedural Due Process of Law and miscellaneous relief as determined by the Court and/or the jury at subsequent expedited hearings or proceedings with a view toward early resolution of this 19 year old action by ALL PLAINTIFFS</i> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Exhibit 1–Excerpt Amended Complaint Paragraph 44, averments of Original Plaintiffs as to Patterns and Practices of Racial Discrimination, 204 person–years of observation, # <u>2</u> Exhibit Exhibit 2–Excerpt–Relevant Law on Invidious Pattern and Practices Procedures and Burdens USSC & (2nd Cir 2013))(Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/04/2015)
05/21/2015	<u>238</u>	RESPONSE re <u>237</u> MOTION for Hearing to <i>Expedite Phase II of Scheduling Order, and to Specifically Order that "Merits Discovery" includes disclosure of employee pattern and practice data for all similarly situated employees and competitors for advancements filed by BEN S. BERNANKE</i> . (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 05/21/2015)
05/28/2015	<u>239</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>237</u> MOTION for Hearing to <i>Expedite Phase II of Scheduling Order, and to Specifically Order that "Merits Discovery" includes disclosure of employee pattern and practice data for all similarly situated employees and competitors for advancements and to expedite filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS</i> . (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 05/28/2015)
06/22/2015	<u>240</u>	ORDER granting <u>230</u> defendant's motion to strike and for a more definite statement, denying <u>233</u> , <u>237</u> plaintiffs' motions for trial and for a hearing. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 22, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/22/2015)
06/22/2015	<u>241</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on June 22, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 06/22/2015)
07/06/2015	<u>242</u>	MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>and orders entered on June 22, 2015, for Reconsideration of Facts believed to be erroneous and for Recusal</i> by ALL PLAINTIFFS (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/06/2015)
07/07/2015		MINUTE ORDER. The defendant is directed to file her response to <u>242</u> the plaintiffs' motion to alter or amend by no later than July 13, 2015. Plaintiffs' reply brief shall be filed by no later than July 20, 2015. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 7, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/07/2015)
07/07/2015		Set/Reset Deadlines: Defendant Response due by 7/13/2015. Plaintiff Reply due by 7/20/2015. (mac) (Entered: 07/07/2015)
07/13/2015	<u>243</u>	RESPONSE re <u>242</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>and orders entered on June 22, 2015, for Reconsideration of Facts believed to be erroneous and for Recusal</i> filed by BEN S. BERNANKE. (Willner, Kenneth) (Entered: 07/13/2015)
07/20/2015	<u>244</u>	REPLY to opposition to motion re <u>242</u> MOTION to Alter Judgment <i>and orders entered on June 22, 2015, for Reconsideration of Facts believed to be erroneous and for Recusal and for a hearing on these motions</i> filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 07/20/2015)
07/22/2015		MINUTE ORDER denying <u>242</u> plaintiffs' motion to alter or amend. On June 22, 2015, this Court granted the defendant's motion to strike the class allegations raised in the plaintiffs' complaint and ordered the plaintiffs to submit an amended complaint setting forth their individual claims of discrimination, in light of this Court's denial of class certification and the D.C. Circuit's refusal of the plaintiffs' petition for appeal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f). See <i>Artis v. Yellen</i> , No. 1–cv–400, 2015 WL 3826818 (D.D.C. June 22, 2015). In pleadings filed in connection with those motions,

		<p>the plaintiffs had indicated that they intended to defy any Order of this Court directing the submission of an Amended Complaint. See Pls.' Mot. for Status Hearing, ECF No. 237 at 16. Although the plaintiffs appeared to request that if the Court were to grant the defendant's motion, it should enter judgment against them to allow an appeal to the D.C. Circuit, the Court concluded that allowing plaintiffs one final chance was warranted. Accordingly, the Court ordered the plaintiffs to submit a Fifth Amended Complaint, "which shall include a short and plain statement of the individual discrimination claims of each plaintiff who intends to continue to prosecute this case" by no later than July 6, 2015, and noted that "if plaintiffs do not file an Amended Complaint in response to the Court's Order, or if their Amended Complaint does not comply with the requirements set forth in the Court's Opinion, this case will be dismissed with prejudice." Order, ECF No. 240 at 1–2. Plaintiffs have failed to file any amended complaint and instead move, yet again, for reconsideration of this Court's years–old discovery orders. Plaintiffs' motion to alter or amend fails entirely to analyze the legal standards applicable under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 59 and 60. In any event, its contentions are rejected. Plaintiffs' oft–repeated challenges to this Court's class–discovery rulings are rejected for the reasons previously stated by this Court. See, e.g., Artis, 2015 WL 3826818, at *5; Artis v. Yellen, No. 1–cv–400, 2014 WL 4801783, at *8 (D.D.C. Sept. 29, 2014). Plaintiffs' requests for an evidentiary hearing or jury trial regarding these issues are also rejected for the reasons previously stated by the Court. See, e.g., Artis, 2015 WL 3826818, at *6. Finally, plaintiffs' argument that this Court should recuse is rejected because plaintiffs' challenges are to legal rulings with which they disagree; they have raised no matter that could reasonably be the subject of a request for recusal. See Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994) ("judicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid basis for a bias or partiality motion"). For these reasons, plaintiffs' motion is DENIED. In view of plaintiffs' outright refusal to comply with this Court's Order, the Court concludes that this case must be dismissed with prejudice. Such dismissals should be rare, but the circumstances of this case warrant a more drastic sanction. As this Court recently chronicled, plaintiffs and their counsel have repeatedly failed to comply with Court orders and engaged in other troublesome behavior. See Artis, 2015 WL 3826818, at *6. These actions, culminating in plaintiffs' outright refusal to amend their complaint to state an appropriate claim, have unquestionably burdened the Court's docket with unnecessary filings and delay, have prejudiced the defendant by forcing her to respond to unnecessary and improper filings, and constitute defiant behavior that calls out for deterrence. See Bristol Petroleum Corp. v. Harris, 901 F.2d 165, 167 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (Considerations relevant to ascertaining when dismissal, rather than a milder disciplinary measure, is warranted include the effect of a plaintiff's dilatory or contumacious conduct on the court's docket, whether the plaintiff's behavior has prejudiced the defendant, and whether deterrence is necessary to protect the integrity of the judicial system.); Shea v. Donohoe Const. Co., 795 F.2d 1071 (D.C. Cir. 1986). This case will therefore be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. An appropriate Order accompanies this Minute Order. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 22, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/22/2015)</p>
07/22/2015	<a href="#">245</a>	ORDER dismissing case with prejudice. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on July 22, 2015. (lcegs2) (Entered: 07/22/2015)
09/19/2015	<a href="#">246</a>	NOTICE OF APPEAL TO DC CIRCUIT COURT by ALL PLAINTIFFS. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number 0090–4250469. Fee Status: Fee Paid. Parties have been notified. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/19/2015)
09/19/2015	<a href="#">247</a>	Amended NOTICE OF APPEAL by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/19/2015)
09/19/2015	<a href="#">248</a>	Amended NOTICE OF APPEAL by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (Charlton, Walter) (Entered: 09/19/2015)
09/21/2015	<a href="#">249</a>	Transmission of the Notice of Appeal, Order Appealed, and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals fee was paid this date re <a href="#">246</a> Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court, <a href="#">247</a> Amended Notice of Appeal, <a href="#">248</a> Amended Notice of Appeal. (jf) (Entered: 09/21/2015)
09/22/2015		USCA Case Number 15–5260 for <a href="#">246</a> Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. (md) (Entered: 09/23/2015)



12/21/2015	<u>250</u>	ORDER of USCA (certified copy) ORDERED that the motion for summary remand be denied and the district court's order, filed July 22, 2015, dismissing the case with prejudice, be summarily affirmed as to <u>246</u> Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. USCA Case Number 15-5260. (zrdj) (Entered: 12/21/2015)
04/01/2016	<u>251</u>	MANDATE of USCA (certified copy) ORDERED that the motion for summary remand be denied and the district court's order, filed July 22, 2015, dismissing the case with prejudice, be summarily affirmed as to <u>246</u> Notice of Appeal to DC Circuit Court filed by ALL PLAINTIFFS. USCA Case Number 15-5260. (zrdj) (Entered: 04/04/2016)