

SCANNED

Priority _____
 Send _____
 Enter _____
 Closed _____
~~JS-1~~/JS-6 _____
 JS-2/JS-3 _____
 Scan Only _____

ENTERED
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 AUG - 1 2005
 BY *ke*
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 DEPUTY

FILED
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 JUL 29 2005
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 BY *[Signature]*
 DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 WESTERN DIVISION

JEFF D. PAIGE,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA;

CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL,

Defendants.

No. CV 94-0083 CBM (CTx)

JUDGMENT

THIS CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF ENTRY AS REQUIRED BY FRCP, RULE 77(d).

This action came before the Court, sitting with a jury, in Courtroom 2 of the United States District Court, the Hon. Consuelo Bland Marshall presiding, Plaintiff JEFF D. PAIGE and Defendants STATE OF CALIFORNIA and CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL appearing through counsel. The jury trial was limited to the issues of Defendants' liability to the class on a theory of disparate treatment and to Plaintiff Paige individually on theories of disparate treatment and retaliation. A separate trial, conducted without a jury, was held regarding the claims of disparate impact brought by the class and Plaintiff Paige.

The issues having been duly tried and the jury having duly rendered verdicts on

860

1 November 3 and November 5, 2003, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED
2 that:

3 Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants on the claims of disparate treatment brought
4 by the class and the claim of disparate treatment brought by Plaintiff Paige. Judgment is entered
5 in favor of Plaintiff Paige on his retaliation claim; however, Plaintiff may recover no damages
6 from either Defendant.

7 It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

8 Consistent with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law made in this case, upon
9 consideration of the testimony and documentary evidence received, the Court's evaluation of the
10 demeanor and credibility of the witnesses, and the pleadings and other papers on file herein,
11 judgment is entered in favor of Defendants on the claims of disparate impact brought by the class
12 and Plaintiff Paige.

13
14 DATE: July 29th 2005



15
16 **CONSUELO B. MARSHALL**
17 **CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28