ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Roman M. Silberfeld, RMSilberfeld@rkmc.com (#62783) Lisa L. Heller, LLHeller@rkmc.com (#126086) David Martinez, DMartinez@rkmc.com (#193183) Hernaldo J. Baltodano, HJBaltodano@rkmc.com (222286) Benjamin M. Weiss, BMWeiss@rkmc.com (#223163) Sarinya Kaewdaunglek, SKaewdaunglek@rkmc.com(#233637) Vincent S. Loh, VSLoh@rkmc.com (#238410) Rebecka M. Biejo, RMBiejo@rkmc.com (#240504) ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3211 Telephone: (310) 552-0130 Facsimile: (310) 229-5800				
	8 9 10 11	George A. Stohner, GStohner@morganlewis.com (#214508) Melinda S. Riechert, MRiechert@morganlewis.com (#65504) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 300 Grand South Avenue, Suite 2200 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (213) 612-1015 Facsimile: (213) 612-2501				
	12 13	Attorneys for Defendant, BEST BUY STORES, L.P. (erroneously sued as BEST BUY CO., INC.)				
	14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
LAN	15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
NS, KAPI	16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
	17					
(OBI	18	JASMEN HOLLOWAY, AMY GARCIA, CHERYL CHAPPEL, ERIC	Case No. C 05-05056 PJH (MEJ)			
	19	BLACKSHER, JESSICA TREAS, LAWRENCE SANTIAGO, JR.,	[Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton]			
	20	MUEMBO MUANZA, MAURICE CALHOUN, NICHOLAS DIXON and	DEFENDANTS BEST BUY CO., INC.' AND BEST BUY STORES, L.P.'S ANSWER TO			
	21	SUSAN MYERS-SNYDER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT			
	22	Plaintiffs,	Action filed: December 8, 2005			
	23	V.	,			
	24	BEST BUY CO., INC., and BEST BUY				
	25	STORES, L.P.				
	26	Defendant.				
	27					
	28					

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

In response to the Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") on file herein, defendants Best Buy Co., Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P. (collectively "Best Buy") hereby answer, aver and plead as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it is a national retail electronics seller that provides technology products to its customers. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the SAC, Best Buy denies the allegations contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 4 of the SAC, Best Buy denies the allegations contained 4 therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it promotes many managers from within the company. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the SAC, Best Buy denies all allegations of discriminatory practices or policies and all claims for relief, including back pay, front pay, punitive damages, injunctive relief and/or rightful place relief. Therefore, Best Buy denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 7 of the SAC.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

26

27

Best Buy Co., Inc. avers that it is an improper Defendant and should be dismissed. Based on a reasonable inquiry under the circumstances, and except where otherwise specifically noted, Best Buy Co., Inc. avers, pleads and answers jointly with Best Buy Stores, L.P. See Defendant Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s (erroneously sued as Best Buy Co., Inc.) Amended Certification of Interested Entities or Persons.

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs have stated claims or are otherwise entitled to relief under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000(e), et. seq., 42 U.S.C. § 1981 and/or the California Fair Employment & Housing Act, Government Code §§ 12940, et seq. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that venue is proper in this district, per the provisions of 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(3) and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1404. Best Buy further denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or that Best Buy engaged in any wrongful conduct. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that, based on the allegations in the SAC, intra-district assignment is proper in the San Francisco/Oakland Division. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

PARTIES

- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Jasmen Holloway is an African-American female who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from January 2001 to September 2005. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Holloway's allegation that she resided in Vallejo, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Amy Garcia is a female who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from August 2001 to October 2006. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Garcia's allegation that she resides in Chico, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Cheryl Chappel is an African-American female who resides in Chula Vista, California and has been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. since July 2001. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Eric Blacksher is an African-American male who applied to Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Santa Clarita store in November 2005. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Jessica Treas is a Latina female who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from July 1999 to February 2006, during which time she worked at its Pleasant Hill, Pinole, Union City, and Emeryville stores. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Treas's allegation that she resides in Richmond, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 16 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Lawrence 16. Santiago, Jr. is a Latino male who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from July 2003 to May 2006 at its Blossom Hill store. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Santiago's allegation that he resided in San Jose, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Muembo Muanza is an African-American male who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from September 2004 to June 2006. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Muanza's allegation that he resides in Hercules, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Maurice Calhoun is an African-American male who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from October 2003 through April, 2007. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Calhoun's allegation that he resides in Oakland, California. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

///

- 3 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 19 Answering Paragraph 19 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Nicholas Dixon is an African-American male who was employed by Best Buy Stores, L.P. from October 2003 to June 2005. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Dixon's allegation that he resides in Oakland, California. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Susan Myers-Snyder is a female who applied to Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Palm Desert store in July 2005. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Myers-Snyder's allegation that she resides in Palm Springs, California. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the SAC, Best Buy Co., Inc. admits it is a Minnesota corporation. Except as so admitted, Best Buy Co., Inc. denied each every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it owns and operates retail stores in California and throughout the United States. Except as so admitted, Best Buy Stores, L.P. denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits the allegations contained therein.

ALLEGATIONS OF DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICE OR POLICY

- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 25 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 25. contained therein
- Answering Paragraph 26 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 26. contained therein.
- 27 Answering Paragraph 27 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 28 Answering Paragraph 28 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it employs several job classifications. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it employs several job classifications. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that major electronic sales represent a significant proportion of Best Buy's business. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 32 Answering Paragraph 32 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 35 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 35. contained therein.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 37 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 37. contained therein
- 38 Answering Paragraph 38 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

	7
근	8
L.L	9
RESI	10
CI	11
ER & T LAV ES	12
[ILL] EYS A Angel	13
Z. ORNI Los /	14
PLAN	15
KAI	16
NS,	17
(OBI	18
	19

2

3

4

5

6

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 40 Answering Paragraph 40 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it has a bonus program for employment referrals. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it uses tests in certain facets of employment. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it uses an internal Job Opening System ("JOS"). Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 44 Answering Paragraph 44 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

CLAIMS OF ALLEGEDLY REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFFS

Jasmen Holloway

- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. affirmatively avers that Ms. Holloway was employed at the Marin City Best Buy store from January 2001 until September 2005. Best Buy denies that Ms. Holloway was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway accepted a position at Best Buy Stores, L.P. as part time customer service specialist / cashier. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2

3

4

- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein
 - 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway has complained about lack of coaching.
 - B. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the following allegations:
 - Whether a senior position in the Wireless Department opened up on or about February 2005 and/or whether Ms. Holloway was informed that she was eligible and should apply for said position;
 - 2. Whether the position thereafter became unavailable; and/or
 - 3. Whether the position was listed on JOS and/or given to a Caucasian employee.
 - C. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway applied and was interviewed for a full-time Operations Senior position in August 2005. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Ms. Holloway withdrew her application for promotion to this position during and/or immediately after her interview. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
 - 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway was not employed in any Car Audio Supervisor, Appliance Sales Representative, and/or Administrative Corporate positions in or around September 2004, April 2005 and August 2005, respectively.
 - Best Buy denies that Ms. Holloway sought promotion to any Appliance
 Sales representative position in or around April 2005.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

2

3

- C. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Holloway's allegations that she sought promotions to Car Audio Supervisor and Administrative Corporate positions.
- D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained within said paragraph.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 55. Answering Paragraph 55 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway did not receive a raise in March 2005.
 - B. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the following allegations:
 - Whether Ms. Holloway requested a pay increase in March 2005;
 and/or
 - 2. Whether Ms. Holloway was informed that she had reached her maximum salary cap.
 - C. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
 - 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway was not promoted in August 2005 and affirmatively avers that Ms. Holloway withdrew her application for promotion to this position during and/or immediately after her interview.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Ms. Holloway is no longer employed with Best Buy Stores, L.P.
 - C. Best Buy admits that, on May 17, 2005, Ms. Holloway lodged a complaint through Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s "Open Line" alleging employee harassment.

J
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

1

2

3

4

5

- D. Best Buy admits that, on June 4, 2005, Ms. Holloway lodged two complaints through Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s "Open Line," one alleging race discrimination and the other alleging gender discrimination.
- E. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Holloway's allegation that she filed a formal discrimination complaint with Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Human Resources department in April 2005 and/or any discrimination complaint in August 2005.
- F. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained therein.

Amy Garcia

- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Garcia was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of the SAC, Best Buy avers that it is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Garcia's allegation that she repeatedly expressed interest in a promotion to a manager position. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
 - 61. Answering Paragraph 61 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Garcia was not promoted to Product Process Manager in January 2005.
 - B. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Garcia's allegation that she stated in early January 2005 that she wanted to apply for a Product Process Manager position.

	7
.	8
L. L	9
KESI	10
	11
T LAV	12
IILLI EYS A Angel	13
NORNI LOS /	14
LAN ATT	15
KA	16
Z.	17
(OBI	18
- 1	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

2

3

4

5

6

- \mathbf{C} Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 63 Answering Paragraph 63 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 64. Answering Paragraph 64 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Garcia filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC on or about October 6, 2005. According to Exhibit B to the SAC, Ms. Garcia signed her charge on September 17, 2005, which was received on October 14, 2005. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

Cheryl Chappel

- 67. Answering Paragraph 67 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Chappel was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.
 - 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Ms. Chappel applied for the job of Operations Supervisor at the Chico Store in July 2003.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Ms. Chappel had prior experience in the Operations Department.
 - C. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Ms. Chappel was not promoted to Operations Supervisor in July, 2003, but denies that said decision was in any way wrongful, illegal and/or discriminatory in any manner.
 - D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
 - 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:

27

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

28

1

2

3

4

- A. Best Buy denies that Ms. Chappel applied for a supervisor position in September 2004.
- B. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Chappel's allegation that she learned that a supervisor position was available in September 2004 at the Best Buy retail store in Mira Mesa, California.
- C. Best Buy admits that Ms. Chappel was not promoted to a supervisor position in September 2004, but denies that said decision was in any way wrongful, illegal and/or discriminatory in any manner; further, Best Buy affirmatively avers that the position was given to a female and a male.
- D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Chappel filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC on or about April 1, 2005. According to Exhibit C to the SAC, Ms. Chappel signed her charge on March 21, 2005, which was received on April 21, 2005. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

- 11 -

Eric Blacksher

- 73. Answering Paragraph 73 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Plaintiff Eric Blacksher is an African-American male.
 - B. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Mr. Blacksher applied to work at the Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Santa Clarita store in November 2005, and was subsequently interviewed.

	6
	7
_ :	8
L.L	9
(ESI	10
Ë J>	11
ES ES	12
ILLE YS A	13
ORNE Los A	14
'LAN ATT	15
KAI	16
NS,	17
OBI	18
-	19
	20
	21
	22

2

3

4

5

23

24

25

26

27

28

- C. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Mr. Blacksher represented in his employment application that he only had cashier and customer service experience from working at Six Flags in California.
- D. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of the SAC, Best Buy Best Buy avers as follows:
 - Best Buy admits that Mr. Blacksher submitted an on-line application for a A. position at the Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Santa Clarita store. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Mr. Blacksher took and passed Best Buy's prehiring assessment.
 - B. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Mr. Blacksher subsequently participated in two in-person interviews.
 - C. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Blacksher's allegations that he was informed that the store had job openings, that he has not been contacted regarding his application, or that he has been refused any open position.
 - D. Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it did not employ Mr. Blacksher.
 - E. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Mr. Blacksher filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC on or about April 11, 2006. According to Exhibit D to the SAC, Mr. Blacksher signed his charge on March 27, 2006. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

Jessica Treas

77. Answering Paragraph 77 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Treas worked at Best Buy Stores, L.P. as a customer service senior. Best Buy denies that Ms. Treas was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

- 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - Best Buy admits that Ms. Treas stated in her employment application that A. she had worked in assistant manager positions.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Ms. Treas accepted a job offer for the position of CSR II (operations service specialist II).
 - C. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 79. Answering Paragraph 79 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Ms. Treas was promoted to customer service manager (operations manager) at the Pleasant Hill store, and then transferred as customer service manager (operations manager) to the Pinole, Union City, and Emeryville stores. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 80. Answering Paragraph 80 of the SAC, Best Buy affirmatively avers that Ms. Treas voluntarily stepped down from manager to supervisor, and from supervisor to senior. Best Buy admits that Ms. Treas was replaced by white male employees on the two occasions on which she voluntarily stepped down. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 81. Answering Paragraph 81 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 82. Answering Paragraph 82 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 83. Answering Paragraph 83 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Ms. Treas asserted complaints, but denies that said complaints alleged any discrimination. Except as otherwise averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 84. Answering Paragraph 84 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

	7
<u>.</u>	8
	9
(ESI	10
<u></u>	11
I LAVES	12
YS A	13
ORNI Los /	14
ATT	15
Z	16
NS,	17
NO B	18
7	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

85 Answering Paragraph 85 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Ms. Treas filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC on or about September 15, 2006. According to Exhibit E to the SAC, Ms. Treas signed her charge on September 13, 2006, which was received on September 21, 2006. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

Lawrence Santiago

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 86. Answering Paragraph 86 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Mr. Santiago was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.
 - 87. Answering Paragraph 87 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Mr. Santiago stated in his employment application that he had worked as a slot supervisor at Atlantis Hotel and Casino for six months and at Fitzgerald's Hotel and Casino for an unknown period between May 2000 and August 2002.
 - В Best Buy admits that Mr. Santiago accepted a job offer at Best Buy Stores, L.P. for the position of operations service specialist II.
 - C. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 88. Answering Paragraph 88 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 89. Answering Paragraph 89 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - Best Buy is without sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief as A. to the truth of the following allegations:
 - 1. Whether Mr. Santiago submitted five applications for promotion in 2003 and was denied either interviews and/or promotions to those positions for which he allegedly applied; and/or
 - 2. Whether Mr. Santiago applied for ten positions from late 2004 through 2006 and was denied promotions to any of these positions for which he allegedly applied.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

	6
	6 7
	8
	9
	10
>	11
T LAV ES	12
EYS A Angel	13
ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES	14
ATI	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

2

3

4

5

- B. Best Buy Stores, L.P. affirmatively avers that Mr. Santiago was promoted to Appliance Supervisor in April 2004 and to Appliance Pro in June 2005.
- C. Except as otherwise averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 90. Answering Paragraph 90 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 91. Answering Paragraph 91 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 92. Answering Paragraph 92 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - 93. Answering Paragraph 93 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that on December 22, 2004, Mr. Santiago lodged a complaint alleging race discrimination.
 - B. Best Buy admits that on April 25, 2006, Mr. Santiago lodged a complaint regarding the elimination of his position.
 - C. Best Buy admits that on May 15, 2006, Mr. Santiago lodged a complaint regarding the delivery of his severance package.
 - D. Best Buy affirmatively avers that it maintains a confidential internal reporting and complaint system called The Open Line.
 - E. Except as otherwise averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 94. Answering Paragraph 94 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 95. Answering Paragraph 95 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained therein.

Muembo Muanza

96. Answering Paragraph 96 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Mr. Muanza was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.

	7
	/
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

2

3

4

5

6

97.	A	Dama amamla 07	aftha CAC	Doot Day	avers as follows
9/	Answering	Paraorann 97	or the SAC	Beel BIII	/ avers as iniinws
71.	1 1115 W C1 1115	I did Sidpii) /	or the bric,	Desciba	a void ab ioilo wa

- A. Best Buy admits that Mr. Muanza represented in his job application that he worked in sales and inventory at The Good Guys from September 1999 through February 2001.
- B. Best Buy admits that Mr. Muanza represented in his job application that he worked in lumber sales at Home Depot from May 2002 through September 2003.
- C. Best Buy admits that Mr. Muanza accepted a position at Best Buy as a Product Processing Specialist.
- D. Best Buy admits that, as of February, 2006, three out of eighteen employees in the Product Processing department were Caucasian.
- E. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Muanza's allegation that he was informed that there were no sales openings.
- F. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 98. Answering Paragraph 98 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 99. Answering Paragraph 99 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Mr. Muanza worked in the positions of part-time merchandising specialist and part-time inventory specialist during his employment with Best Buy Stores, L.P. Best Buy avers that Mr. Muanza was offered a full time position but declined it. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 100. Answering Paragraph 100 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 101. Answering Paragraph 101 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained therein.

///

26

27

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law Los angeles

Maurice Calhoun

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 102. Answering Paragraph 102 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Mr. Calhoun was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.
 - 103. Answering Paragraph 103 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun stated in his employment application A. that he: (1) held a sales/customer service job at D.S. Max from June, 2003 through September, 2003; (2) held a delivery, customer service and sales job at Conway Western Express from September 2000 through April 2002; and (3) held a loading job at UPS from June 1999 through October 2000.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun accepted a position in Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Inventory Department.
 - C. Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun expressed an interest in sales, as well as in a driver/delivery position.
 - D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 104. Answering Paragraph 104 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun expressed an interest in sales, but is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether Mr. Calhoun expressed his interest repeatedly. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Calhoun's allegations about what he allegedly witnessed and/or that he was repeatedly informed that there were no openings for transfer to sales. Therefore, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 105 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 105 contained therein.
 - Answering Paragraph 106 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows: 106.
 - A. Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun was assigned to the position of project team specialist.

	6
	7
_ :	8
L.L	9
ESI	10
Ĵ .:>	11
I LAV ES	12
ILLI YS A	13
N. M. O. R. M. LOS /	14
PLAN ATT	15
X	16
NS,	17
(OB)	18
4	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

2

3

4

5

- В Best Buy admits that Mr. Calhoun requested and was given a transfer to the Digital Imaging Department where he sold cameras, and that his pay did not increase upon transfer.
- C. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Calhoun's allegation that his evaluation was delayed.
- D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 107. Answering Paragraph 107 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 108. Answering Paragraph 108 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained therein.

Nicholas Dixon

- 109. Answering Paragraph 109 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Mr. Dixon was employed by Best Buy Co., Inc. Best Buy otherwise admits the allegations contained therein.
 - 110. Answering Paragraph 110 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that Mr. Dixon represented in his employment application that he had three months of experience at WorldCom, including some experience in cellular sales.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Mr. Dixon's position was not on the sales floor.
 - C. Best Buy admits that Mr. Dixon was offered a part time position but was mistakenly classified as occasional/seasonal during a three month period, after which time he was classified as permanent. Best Buy denies that Mr. Dixon was paid less as a result of his classification as occasional/seasonal and/or that said misclassification was in any way intentional and/or discriminatory.
 - D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.

///

27

	3
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
)	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

2

3

4

- 111. Answering Paragraph 111 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A Best Buy admits that Mr. Dixon received some positive evaluations and affirmatively avers that Mr. Dixon also received several negative evaluations.
 - B. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Dixon's allegation that he was awarded store MVP.
 - C. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 112. Answering Paragraph 112 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy affirmatively avers that Mr. Dixon accepted a permanent position at Best Buy Stores, L.P. within three months of initial employment.
 - B. Best Buy affirmatively avers that in June 2004 Mr. Dixon applied and interviewed for a full-time operations position, to which he was subsequently promoted.
 - C. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Mr. Dixon's allegations that he applied for promotion to the digital imaging and wireless specialist positions in early 2004.
 - D. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained within said paragraph.
- 113. Answering Paragraph 113 of the SAC, Best Buy avers as follows:
 - A. Best Buy admits that cashiers are encouraged to sell merchandise to customers.
 - B. Best Buy admits that Mr. Dixon's development plan included the training of other employees.
 - C. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 114. Answering Paragraph 114 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law Los angeles

Answering Paragraph 115 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained 115 therein.

Susan Myers-Snyder

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 116. Answering Paragraph 116 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Susan Myers-Snyder is a female who applied to Best Buy Stores, L.P.'s Palm Desert store. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 117. Answering Paragraph 117 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that Ms. Myers-Snyder represented in her application that she possessed 16 years of experience at Circuit City. Best Buy is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of Ms. Myers-Snyder's allegation that she was recommended to Best Buy for employment. Best Buy avers that Ms. Myers-Snyder passed Best Buy's pre-hiring assessment. Except as so averred, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 118. Answering Paragraph 118 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 119. Answering Paragraph 119 of the SAC, Best Buy admits the allegations contained in said paragraph.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 120. Answering Paragraph 120 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 121. Answering Paragraph 121 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action and that Plaintiffs have brought their claims on a class basis. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 122 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 122. contained therein.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

26

27

28

1

2

3

- 123. Answering Paragraph 123 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 124. Answering Paragraph 124 of the SAC, Best Buy Stores, L.P. admits that it employed approximately 128,000 employees in approximately 742 stores in 2006. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 125. Answering Paragraph 125 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 126. Answering Paragraph 126 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 127. Answering Paragraph 127 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 128. Answering Paragraph 128 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 129. Answering Paragraph 129 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Race, Color and/or National Origin Discrimination)

(42 U.S.C. § 2000e <u>et seq.</u>)

- 130. Answering Paragraph 130 of the SAC, Best Buy incorporates its denials as asserted in Paragraphs 1-129 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 131. Answering Paragraph 131 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 132. Answering Paragraph 132 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

	6
	7
	8
LOS ANGELES	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

2

3

4

5

- 133. Answering Paragraph 133 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 134. Answering Paragraph 134 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 135. Answering Paragraph 135 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 136. Answering Paragraph 136 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Race, Color, and/or National Origin Discrimination)

(42 U.S.C. § 1981)

- 137. Answering Paragraph 137 of the SAC, Best Buy incorporates its denials as asserted in Paragraphs 1-136 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 138. Answering Paragraph 138 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 139. Answering Paragraph 139 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 140. Answering Paragraph 140 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 141. Answering Paragraph 141 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Race, Color, and/or National Origin Discrimination)

(Fair Employment & Housing Act, Cal. Govt. Code § 12940 et seq.)

142. Answering Paragraph 142 of the SAC, Best Buy incorporates its denials as asserted in Paragraphs 1-141 above as though fully set forth herein.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

	U
	7
L	7 8
L.L	9
CIRESI I	10
.; C∐	11
, MILLER & (RNEYS AT LAW OS ANGELES	12
	13
ZORNI LOS Z	14
PLAI ATI	15
$K_{ m AJ}$	16
Robins, KA	17
30B]	18
-	19
	20
	21
	22 23
	23

2

3

4

5

6

24

25

26

27

28

- 143 Answering Paragraph 143 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 144 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 144. contained therein.
- 145. Answering Paragraph 145 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 146. Answering Paragraph 146 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 147 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 147. contained therein
- 148 Answering Paragraph 148 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Gender Discrimination)

(42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.)

- 149. Answering Paragraph 149 of the SAC, Best Buy incorporates its denials as asserted in Paragraphs 1-148 above as though fully set forth herein.
- Answering Paragraph 150 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as 150. a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- Answering Paragraph 151 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 151. contained therein.
- 152 Answering Paragraph 152 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 153 Answering Paragraph 153 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 154. Answering Paragraph 154 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 155 Answering Paragraph 155 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Gender Discrimination)

(Fair Employment & Housing Act, Cal. Govt. Code § 12940 et seq.)

- 156. Answering Paragraph 156 of the SAC, Best Buy incorporates its denials as asserted in Paragraphs 1-155 above as though fully set forth herein.
- Answering Paragraph 157 of the SAC, Best Buy admits that this case is brought as a purported class action. Except as so admitted, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' allegations are suitable for class treatment under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and further denies each and every allegation contained in said paragraph.
- 158. Answering Paragraph 158 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 159 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 159. contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 160 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 160. contained therein.
- Answering Paragraph 161 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation 161. contained therein
- Answering Paragraph 162 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled 162. to the relief requested or any relief.

ALLEGATIONS REGARDING RELIEF

163. Answering Paragraph 163 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

164 Answering Paragraph 164 of the SAC, Best Buy denies each and every allegation contained therein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 165. Answering Paragraph 165 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- 166. Answering Paragraph 166 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- 167. Answering Paragraph 167 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- 168. Answering Paragraph 168 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- Answering Paragraph 169 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled 169 to the relief requested or any relief.
- 170. Answering Paragraph 170 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- 171. Answering Paragraph 171 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- 172. Answering Paragraph 172 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.
- Answering Paragraph 173 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled 173. to the relief requested or any relief.
- Answering Paragraph 174 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled 174. to the relief requested or any relief.
- Answering Paragraph 175 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled 175. to the relief requested or any relief.
- 176 Answering Paragraph 176 of the SAC, Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested or any relief.

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. Attorneys At Law Los angeles

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

177. Except as to matters herein expressly admitted, Best Buy denies each and every allegation of the SAC.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Best Buy asserts the following affirmative defenses:

- The SAC, and each claim set forth therein, fails to state a claim against Best Buy 1 upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. This action cannot proceed as a class action because the allegations in the SAC are not suitable for class adjudication.
- 3. The SAC, and each claim set forth therein, is barred in whole or in part by the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 4. The SAC, and each cause of action set forth therein, is barred in whole or in part by Plaintiffs' failure to exhaust administrative remedies in a timely manner.
- Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover punitive damages because Best Buy has made a good faith effort to comply with all applicable anti-discrimination laws and, at all relevant times, Best Buy has acted reasonably, in good faith and without malice based upon all relevant information and relevant facts and circumstances known by Best Buy at the time it acted.
- 6. All managerial actions were undertaken and exercised with good cause, and for proper, lawful business reasons and necessity, which were not a pretext for discrimination.
- All of Best Buy's employment decisions were based on bona fide factors other than gender, race, color and/or national origin, including, but not limited to, a bona fide merit system.
- 8. If Plaintiffs suffered any damages, which Best Buy disputes, such damages were proximately or legally caused by the misconduct, neglect and fault of Plaintiffs and/or parties other than Best Buy.
- 9. Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover any punitive damages because: (1) Plaintiffs failed to plead facts sufficient to support the recovery of punitive damages; and (2) Best Buy committed no act justifying an award of punitive damages.

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 10 The SAC, and each cause of action asserted therein, is barred in whole or in part because Plaintiffs unreasonably failed to take advantage of any preventative or corrective opportunities provided by Best Buy, or to otherwise avoid harm.
- 11. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because, should any allegations of unlawful conduct contained in the SAC are found to have merit (which Best Buy denies), Best Buy affirms that it took prompt and effective action to remedy any such acts and therefore has no liability for them.
- 12. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches because Plaintiffs, to the extent they suffered any harm, did not act promptly to notify Best Buy of the harm and by sitting on their rights allowed further harm to occur.
- 13. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or estoppel by reason of the conduct and actions of Plaintiffs and/or each of them.
- 14 Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of waiver by reason of the conduct and actions of Plaintiffs and/or each of them.
- 15. Plaintiffs' claims are improperly joined in a single action in violation of Rule 20 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and therefore should be dismissed or severed and proceeded with separately.
- 16. Plaintiffs' claims are improperly venued in the Northern District of California and should be dismissed or transferred to the appropriate district.
- 17. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by their failure to mitigate, or reasonably attempt to mitigate, their alleged damages.
- 18. Some or all of the Plaintiffs' damages, if any, the existence and/or extent of which Best Buy denies, may be barred by the after-acquired evidence doctrine.
- 19. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part by Plaintiffs' failure to perform in accordance with California Labor Code sections 2854, 2856-59, and 2863, as applicable.
- 20. Best Buy denies that Plaintiffs' gender, race, color and/or national origin or any other impermissible factor played any role in the employment decisions relating to Plaintiffs. Alternatively, even if some impermissible factor had played a role in any of those decisions,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

which Best Buy denies, the same decision would have been reached for legitimate nondiscriminatory reasons.

- 21. To the extent Plaintiffs can state a prima facie case of discrimination based on disparate impact, which Best Buy denies, Best Buy's actions generally and with respect to Plaintiffs individually were job related and consistent with business necessity.
- 22. Plaintiffs cannot maintain a class action because they lack standing to assert claims individually and/or on behalf of a putative class.
 - 23. Best Buy Co., Inc. is not a proper defendant to this action.

Best Buy shall seek leave of court to amend its Answer to add additional averments and/or defenses that it may discover as the case progresses.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Best Buy prays that Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of the SAC, that their claims be dismissed with prejudice, that judgment be entered in favor of Best Buy as to all of Plaintiffs' claims, and that Best Buy be awarded its costs and attorneys' fees in the matter and such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

DATED: March 10, 2008 ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

> By: /s/ Roman M. Silberfeld Roman M. Silberfeld

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

George A. Stohner Melinda S. Riechert

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS **BEST BUY COMPANY, INC. AND BEST BUY STORES, L.P.**

1		PROOF OF S	<u>ERVICE</u>	
2	STAT	ΓΕ OF CALIFORNIA)		
3	COUN	NTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.		
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18			
5	and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400, Los Angeles, California 90067-3208.			
6	On March 10, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as DEFENDANTS BEST BUY CO., INC.' AND BEST BUY STORES, L.P.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT on the interested parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:			
7				
8	13			
9	The R	Regents of the University of California S	amilla Louisa Roberson, Esq. chneider & Wallace	
10	Office of the General Counsel 1111 Franklin Street, 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		80 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 an Francisco, CA 94104	
11	Oakland, CA 94607-5200 E-mail: Croberson@schneiderwallace.com			
12	E-mai	il: Christopher.Patti@ucop.edu		
13	James G. Allison, Senior Attorney Office of Legal Counsel			
14	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission			
15	1801 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20507			
16	E-mai	il: James.Allison@eeoc.gov		
17	[X]	BY MAIL: I caused such envelope to be de California. The envelope was mailed with p		
18	the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is			
19			that on motion of party served, service is	
20			or postage meter date is more than one day	
21	[X]		e copy of the document described to be served	
22	n	by electronic mail to the addressee above.		
23	BY FEDERAL EXPRESS - OVERNIGHT: I caused such envelope to be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express in an envelope or package			
24	1371	designated by Federal Express with delivery	•	
25	[X]	(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the whose direction service was made.	e office of a member of the bar of this court at	
26	Executed on March 10, 2008 at Los Angeles, California.			
27		<u>/s/ Ros</u>	a Irajpanah	
28			Rosa Irajpanah	

LA1 60138444.1