

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MAY 25 2001
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY [Signature]

Priority
Send
Enter
Closed
JS-5/JS-6
JS-2/JS-3
Scan Only

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY)
COMMISSION,)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
) vs.)
)
) ROBERT L. REEVES AND ASSOCIATES,)
) A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION,)
)
) Defendant.)

CASE NO. CV 00-10515 DT (RZx)

ORDER DENYING EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR PROTECTIVE
ORDER

Plaintiff's Ex Parte Application for Protective Order, filed May 23, 2001, is DENIED. No basis for ex parte relief appears. *In re Intermagnetics America, Inc.*, 101 B.R. 191 (C.D. Cal. 1989); *Mission Power Engineering Co. v. Continental Cas. Co.*, 883 F. Supp. 488 (C.D. Cal. 1995). Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, discovery may take place in any sequence. FED. R. CIV. P. 26(d). However, documents which pertain to a party's claims or defenses, which are required to be disclosed under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a) (or, prior to December 1, 2000, under Local Rule 6.2.2), or in a supplemental disclosure under FED. R. CIV. P. 26(e), but which have not been disclosed,

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

ENTERED ON ICMS
MAY 29 2001
[Signature]
CV

1 may not be used in connection with a deposition. FED. R. CIV. P. 37(c)(1) and Advisory
2 Committee Notes to 2000 Amendments to FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1).

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 DATED: May 25, 2001

6
7 
8 _____
9 RALPH ZAREFSKY
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28