

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EDWARD ANDERSON, <u>et al.</u>	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ESTELLE B. RICHMAN, <u>et al.</u>	:	NO. 00-CV-4148

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of October, 2004, upon consideration of Plaintiffs' unopposed Amended Motion for Certification of the Settlement Class (docket no. 63) and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, it is **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED**.¹ The proposed class is accordingly certified for settlement purposes only.

BY THE COURT:

BRUCE W. KAUFFMAN, J.

¹ A District Court may certify a class purely for settlement purposes “so long as the four requirements of [Rule] 23(a) and the appropriate requirements of [Rule] 23(b) are met[.]” In re General Motors Corp. Pick-up Truck Fuel Tank Prod. Litig., 55 F.3d 768, 778 (3d Cir. 1995). The requirements set out in Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23(a) are (1) numerosity, (2) commonality, (3) typicality, and (4) adequacy of representation. The Court finds that each of the four requirements is satisfied in this case. The Court further finds that Defendants have acted in a manner generally applicable to the class such that injunctive relief is appropriate, and that, accordingly, the requirements of Rule 23(b)(2) have been met.